

## NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, January 12, 2021 at 7:00 PM via ZOOM Video Conferencing. There will be no anchor point. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87165029307

Meeting ID: 871 6502 9307 One tap mobile +13462487799, 87165029307# US (Houston) +16699006833,,87165029307# US (San Jose)

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

**Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

#### AGENDA ITEMS

- 1. Mayor Declaration: Electronic Meetings Per UCA 1-12-2
- 2. FEMA Covid Relief Presentation: Cindy Wilmhurst
- 3. Dryland Subdivision Final Plat Discussion
- 4. Evelyn's View Subdivision Water Allocation
- 5. Commercial Vehicle Code Discussion
- 6. Accessory Structures10-12-5 Proposed Amendment Discussion
- 7. Harrison Heights Phase 12 Motion
- 8. Council Yearly Training
- 9. Public Meetings Calendar
- 10. City Council Minutes for Dec. 8, 2020 Approval

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 11th day of January 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 11th day of January, 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment

see attachment see attachment

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4   | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>JANUARY 12, 2021   |
|--|---|
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9  | <b>TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING</b><br>This regularly scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u> , <u>January 12</u> , <u>2021</u> , at 7:00 PM. Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic the meeting was held via ZOOM;  |
| 10<br>11   | Join Zoom Meeting<br>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/87165029307  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | Meeting ID: 871 6502 9307<br>One tap mobile<br>+13462487799,,87165029307# US (Houston)<br>+16699006833,,87165029307# US (San Jose)  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20   | Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on Jan. 11, 2021.  |
| 20<br>21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25   | ROLL CALL<br>Mayor: Ty Ellis<br>Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Cory Thompson, Jim Chase, Jared Peterson<br>Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i><br>Public: Lee Haskell, Justin Haskell, Cindy Wilmshurst, Dept of Human Services, State of Utah   |
| 26<br>27<br>28   | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION<br>David Jean offered opening remarks.  |
| 29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33   | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME<br>JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND THE TIME FRAME CORY THOMPSON<br>SECONDED.  |
| 33<br>34<br>35   | VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED   |
| 36   | 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:  |
| <ol> <li>37</li> <li>38</li> <li>39</li> <li>40</li> <li>41</li> <li>42</li> <li>43</li> <li>44</li> <li>45</li> <li>46</li> </ol> | <ol> <li>MAYOR DECLARATION: ELECTRONIC MEETINGS PER UCA 1-12-2 WHEREAS, the Mayor is the Chairman of the Elk Ridge City Council public body, and; WHEREAS, the State of Utah has amended Utah Code 52-4-207 regarding electronic meetings enabling the chairman of a public body to hold public meetings without an anchor location, and; WHEREAS, the COVID-19 pandemic guidelines set forth by the State of Utah remain in effect and Utah County is listed as a High Transmission Area, and; WHEREAS, the Elk Ridge City buildings are severely inadequate to hold a public meeting during a pandemic; THEREFORE, it is determined by the Mayor of Elk Ridge City that all public meetings of the City Council public body will be online and without an anchor location for the next 30 days as permitted by city ordinance. Information on how to connect will be made available on the agenda of the meetings.</li> </ol> |
| 47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52   | 2. FEMA COVID RELIEF PRESENTATION: CINDY WILMHURST<br>Cindy Wilmshurst, with the State of Utah Department of Human Services Division of Substance Abuse and<br>Mental Health, shared a presentation of the FEMA project that, is currently in all 50 states, to help the population<br>struggling through the pandemic with stress, anxiety, feeling extreme isolation etc. This is a free and confidential<br>program. Cory Thompson asked how the city can participate and help get that word to the public. Cindy said   |

through any means available such as social media, newsletter, website. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> asked for business cards and anything the city can give the residents she will bring up business cards for those who are interested, and she will email the presentation.

# 3. DRYLAND SUBDIVISION FINAL PLAT DISCUSSION

Jim Chase asked about how much fill there is and about a barrier at the end of the road. Jared Peterson stated that the development goes up to about 13 feet of fill and there is to be a railing or rocks at the end of the road above the bank. David Jean stated that the fill the contractor is planning to use will be proctored to make sure that it is compactable and or an e-fill will need to brought in. Mayor Ellis is concerned with the amount of fill that is going to be used it will effect the quality of the roads. He wants to ensure that everything is compacted properly, and Elk Ridge is left with good quality roads. Is there a way to independently verify that the contractor has done everything properly? Lee Haskell stated that he will be the contractor and has always put in good roads. IEGS will be doing the proctors and testing to make sure everything is done properly. Jared Peterson asked what the sewer main is from lot 13 up to the Johnson's, they are going through yards. Lee Haskell stated that is a sewer line that goes up to the Johnsons for future development which was requested to be put in by Shay Stark. The easement there has been widened. Jared Peterson stated that the future sewer line from the Johnson's down to the manhole should be private. Discussion ensued on the retaining pond and the encroachment on the Elk Ridge Dr and types of barriers for the pond and the end of Hannah Street. The pond area and this part of the road needs to have a lot more detail. The restoration of the pond will be replacing what is already there and should be in the development agreement. This will go on the agenda the next meeting for final approval.

# 4. EVELYN'S VIEW SUBDIVISION WATER ALLOCATION

# JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO ACCEPT THE 4.204 ACRE-FEET OF WATER FROM WATER RIGHT NO. 59-5996 (A45293), NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED

VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED

# 5. <u>COMMERCIAL VEHICLE CODE DISCUSSION</u>

Discussion ensued on the intent planning commission had on the number of vehicles a resident can have and the other recommendations. Jared Peterson was at the Planning Commission meeting and confirmed that Planning Commission's recommendation was1 commercial vehicle per lot and not to exceed 1 vehicle per ½ acre. Discussion ensued on the enforceability of restricting parking 5 feet away from the property line. Discussion ensued on disabled/unattended vehicles need to be moved immediately, only one vehicle per lot only and remove the 5 foot from property line. Vehicles can only be on the property and not on the road; this removes of continuous parking problem. Jared Peterson will prepare the changes for the next meeting.

# 6. ACCESSORY STRUCTURES10-12-5 PROPOSED AMENDMENT

<u>Royce Swensen</u> stated this is what has been approved by planning commission. Discussion ensued on sheds on property with no primary structure to be grandfathered in.

# 7. HARRISON HEIGHTS PHASE 12 MOTION

Mayor Ellis stated that the recording did not have the second to the motion, it needs to be restated.

# NELSON ABBOTT MOTION TO ACCEPT AND RELEASE HARRISON HEIGHTS PHASE 12 INTO DURABILITY AND ACCEPT IT INTO THE CITY TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED.

VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED

# 8. <u>COUNCIL YEARLY TRAINING</u>

<u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated everything the city council does must be done in public view. Any time there are more than 2 council members together it constitutes a quorum except for social gatherings and happenstance. Royce Swensen read from the handbook on when a council can meet privately per Utah State Code. The agenda is set by the mayor and the mayor has the discretion of what is on it. If council needs anything on the agenda, it must be into the staff in time which is by Monday morning, the day before council meeting.

NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ACCEPT THE TRAINING AS PRESENTED JIM CHASE SECONDED

VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED

## 9. PUBLIC MEETINGS CALENDAR

# NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE PUBLIC MEETINGS CALENDAR FOR 2021 AS PRESENTED CORY THOMPSON SECONDED.

VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED

### 10. CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR DEC. 8, 2020 APPROVAL

Corrections on line 48 and 49 from Golden Eagle Way to Elk Ridge Dr. and the house on lot 3 should front Olympic Lane, page 3 complete sentence by adding concerns and strike all of Nelson Abbotts aye votes as he was mayor pro tem and did not vote.

# NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR OCTOBER 13, 2020 WITH THE CORRECTIONS AS STATED TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED

VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED

NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN COUNCIL MEETING CORY THOMPSON SECONDED

VOTE: AYE (5)

NAY (0) APPROVED

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

| 3 | 8 |
|---|---|
| 3 | 9 |



## **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, January 26, 2021 at 7:00 PM via ZOOM Video Conferencing. There will be no anchor point. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82526780613 Meeting ID: 825 2678 0613 One tap mobile +12532158782,,82526780613# US (Tacoma) +13462487799,,82526780613# US (Houston)

### 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

**Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

#### AGENDA ITEMS

| 1.  | Dryland Subdivision Final Plat                   | see attachment |
|-----|--|----------------|
| 2.  | Dryland Subdivision Water Allocation             | see attachment |
| 3.  | SUVMWA, West Smith Ditch Water Shares            |                |
| 4.  | Accessory Structures10-12-5 Proposed Amendment   | see attachment |
| 5.  | Commercial Vehicle Code/Nuisance Code Discussion | see attachment |
| 6.  | Airbnb and Vrbo Discussion                       | see attachment |
| 7.  | Replace Fire Truck Rescue 222                    | see attachment |
| 8.  | Plow Truck Replacement                           |                |
| 9.  | Oak Lane Booster Station- VFD                    | see attachment |
| 10. | Storm Drain Plan Discussion                      |                |
| 11. | Annual Drinking Water Quality Report             | see attachment |
| 12. | Financial Review                                 | see attachment |
| 13. | City Council Minutes for Jan. 12, 2021 Approval  | see attachment |

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 25th day of January 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 25th day of January, 2021.

Taura Oliver

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

| 1<br>2<br>3 |               |   |                         | ELK RIDGE<br>TY COUNCIL MEET<br>JANUARY 26, 2021 | ING  |
|-------------|---------------|---|-------------------------|--|--|
| 4           |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 5           |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 6           | TIME AN       | D PLACE OF N                            |                         |  |  |
| 7           | T             | This regula                             | rly scheduled Meetin    | ng of the Elk Ridge C                            | City Council was scheduled for Tuesday,            |
| 8           | Jan           | uary 26, 2021, a                        | t /:00 PM. Due to the   | Coronavirus Pandemi                              | c the meeting was held via ZOOM;                   |
| 9<br>10     | Join Zoom M   | eeting                                  |                         |  |  |
| 11          |               | eb.zoom.us/j/825267                     | 80613                   |  |  |
| 12          | Meeting ID: 8 | 325 2678 0613                           |                         |  |  |
| 13<br>14    | One tap mobi  |   | C (Tasama)              |  |  |
| 14          |               | 82,,82526780613# U<br>9,,82526780613# U |                         |  |  |
| 16          | 1010210112    | ,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,,, | o (riouoton)            |  |  |
| 17          | No            | tice of the time,                       | place and Agenda of     | these Meetings, were                             | provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.           |
| 18          | Uta           | ah Ave, Payson,                         | Utah, and to the mem    | bers of the Governing                            | Body on Jan. 25, 2021.                             |
| 19          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 20          | ROLL CA       |   |                         |  |  |
| 21          |               | layor: Ty Ellis                         |                         |  |  |
| 22          |               |   |                         |  | pson, Jim Chase, Jared Peterson                    |
| 23          | 0             | thers: Royce Sv                         | vensen, City Record     | er, David Jean, Publi                            | c Works Director, Deputy Gary Coffee               |
| 24<br>25    | D             | ublic: Lee Uaske                        | 1 Justin Hackell Sta    | n Nelson, Cindi Ellis                            |  |
|             |               |   |                         | in meison, Chiai Enis                            |  |
| 26          |               | REMARKS-I                               |                         |  |  |
| 27          | Ja            | red Peterson offe                       | ered opening remarks    | 5.<br>■ 41<br>2. a                               |  |
| 28<br>29    | APPROV        | AL/AGENDA T                             | IMF FDAME               |  |  |
| 30          | AIINOVI       | MAGENDA I                               |                         |  |  |
| 31          | NE            | LSON ABBOT                              | T MOTIONED TO           | APPROVE THE AG                                   | ENDA AND THE TIME FRAME JIM                        |
| 32          |               | IASE SECOND                             |                         |  |  |
| 33          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 34          |               | VOTE:                                   | AYE (5)                 | NAY (0)  | APPROVED   |
| 35          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 36          | 7:00 PI       | M - <u>REGULAE</u>                      | R CITY COUNCIL N        | MEETING AGENDA                                   | ITEMS:   |
| 37          | 1.            | DRVLAND SI                              | <b>BDIVISION FINAL</b>  | ΡΙΔΤ   |  |
| 38          |               |   |                         |  | le Way and the lot line at lot 5 which needs       |
| 39          |               | to be adjusted o                        | r defined, also the sev | wer line should be defined                       | ned on the sheet 2(mylar) as private. Jared        |
| 40          |               | Peterson stated                         | the word proposed nee   | eds to be removed.                               | ine on the cheer 2(mynar) as private. <u>sured</u> |
| 41          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 42          |               |   |                         |  | SUBDIVISION PLAT A WITH THE                        |
| 43          |               |   |                         |  | T LINE AND THE SEWER LINE ON                       |
| 44          |               | LOT 13 TO SH                            | IOW AS PRIVATE          | JARED PETERSON                                   | SECONDED   |
| 45          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 46          |               | VOTE:                                   | AYE (5)                 | NAY (0)  | APPROVED   |
| 47          |               |   |                         |  |  |
| 48          | 2.            | DRYLAND SU                              | BDIVISION WATE          | <b>CR ALLOCATION</b>                             |  |
| 49          |               | MELCON 121                              |                         |  |  |
| 50          |               |   |                         |  | 73 ACRE FEET OF WATER FROM                         |
| 51          |               |   |                         |  | WATER FROM WATER RIGHT 59-                         |
| 52<br>53    |               |   | M CHASE SECONI          |  | ISION WITHIN THE CITY OF ELK                       |
| 55          |               | NIDUE, UI JI                            | UI CHASE SECON          |  |  |

54 55

VOTE:

NAY (0)

APPROVED

#### 56 57

# 3. SUVMWA, WEST SMITH DITCH WATER SHARES

AYE (5)

Cory Thompson stated the city has 2 water-share issues. The first is a block grant of additional water 58 from the Department of the Interior to SUVMWA that is related to the Mapleton Springville pipeline. 59 The pipeline was completed in 2020 so there is additional water. SUVMWA and Central Utah Water 60 District is assigning all of that additional water to Mapleton and Springville. FYI-It shows over the next 61 30 years, based on the current allocation, Elk Ridge will have a municipal and industrial water shortage. 62 Cory will update the council as needed. The second item is more problematic for the city The West 63 Smith Ditch Co. is a water sharing collective which involves several cities. The governing body of West 64 65 Smith Ditch has decided to close the corporation. Elk Ridge is the owner of 5.232-acre shares of the water in West Ditch. The city received a letter from a law firm (Gordon Law) that the Ditch company is 66 ridding itself of all its members and the water rights from the ditch. The maintenance has gotten too 67 expensive, and the ditch is in disrepair. The company cannot afford to maintain the ditch, so they have 68 decided to close. All other shareholders have backed out the ditch except for Provo and Elk Ridge. The 69 70 letter stated Elk Ridge could sell the water at market rate or transfer the water into another entity. however, it is against the Utah Constitution for a municipality to sell the shares. To transfer the shares, 71 West Ditch wants a fee of \$80,000-\$100,000. The city has a couple of options a.) if the city does nothing 72 73 then Elk Ridge and Provo would have to negotiate with each other to decide what to do with the rights. and maintain the ditch b.) Central Utah Water District stated the water in the ditch belonged to the Provo 74 River basin. Central Utah Water is extremely interested in owning these shares. The city could likely 75 broker a deal with Central Utah Water where Central Utah Water would cover the fees and maintenance 76 of the ditch if Elk Ridge would give them rights to the shares in the Provo River area and they would 77 exchange them for other shares. This is legal for the city to do. c) the city could enter negotiations with 78 79 the law group in the amount of the fees charged to exit the ditch. Cory is disinclined to pay anything at this point. d) Cory spoke with a one of the few water law experts in Utah who would take a look at the 80 letter and go through the options and help the city understand the options and recommend the most 81 expedient and cheapest option. The cost is almost certainly less than \$5000 unless the Gordon Law 82 pushes back. All options have some negatives associated with it. Nelson Abbott stated about 10 years 83 ago the city brokered a deal with the state along the same lines. Surface water rights always lose volume 84 when converted to ground, (10-acre feet of surface water ends up being 6-acre feet for example). Nelson 85 recommends talking with Tony Fuller who the city has worked with. Royce Swensen stated Tony Fuller 86 has retired. Otherwise, Nelson Abbott likes option b. Jim Chase asked if it's a ditch does that means real 87 estate is involved. Cory Thompson will find out if the city owns just water rights or if the city owns any 88 actual real estate. Cory Thompson stated he does not think the city wants to battle/negotiate with Provo 89 but needs to transfer out of the ditch and put the shares in the city's name directly which legal cost should 90 91 be less than \$5000 if there isn't a fight with Gordon Law. The city doesn't want to give away valuable Provo River shares. Mayor Ellis recommends moving forward with the attorney to give the city expert 92 advice and not sell the city short. Jared Peterson stated that the state takes unused shares and thinks the 93 rights need to be transferred but agrees that the city needs legal counsel. Cory Thompson stated the Ditch 94 is having a meeting Jan 30th which Cory is planning to attend. Mayor Ellis stated that the city would need 95 96 to do a budget amendment for legal counsel.

97 98

99

100 101

102 103

104

# 4. ACCESSORY STRUCTURES 10-12-5 PROPOSED AMENDMENT

<u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated as the council goes through this discussion for them to keep in mind that this code affects the whole city and how will it impact the city as it goes forward. This is a city-wide conversation and not a barn conversation and should stand on its own regardless of the animal code. Discussion ensued on the type of buildings that could be built in the front yard behind the front setback of 25 feet, from a barn or shed with junk around it to a tree lined driveway with a garage <u>Tricia Thomas</u> asked what if someone wants to build an apartment in front of the house can you put

| 105        |    | in multiple accesso  | ry buildings and i   | s concerned about unin    | tended consequences. Mayor Ellis              |  |
|------------|----|--|----------------------|---------------------------|---|--|
| 106        |    | stated that the city   | cannot end up wit    | h numerous accessory      | buildings with accessory apartments on a      |  |
| 107        |    | lot. Nelson Abbott   | is in approval of t  | his amendment due to      | property rights of homeowners. Jim            |  |
| 108        |    | Chase asked if this  | should just be for   | the R&L zone and not      | the smaller lots. Tricia Thomas stated        |  |
| 109        |    | that this is a big ch  | ange to let people   | build in front of their h | nomes and she is not in favor of the          |  |
| 110        |    | change. Cory Thon  | npson is concerne    | d about residence putti   | ng in a very objectionable business in an     |  |
| 111        |    | accessory building,  | , in what ways can   | people take advantage     | e of this that would be pleasing to the city  |  |
| 112        |    | other than just build  | ding a shed in from  | nt of a house. Jared Pet  | erson stated that the nuisance code states    |  |
| 113        |    | you cannot have ce   |                      |                           |   |  |
| 114        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 115        |    | JARED PETERSO  | ON MOTIONED          | APPROVE ORDINA            | NCE 21-01 ACCESSORY                           |  |
| 116        |    |  | 2-5 NELSON AB        | BOTT SECONDED             |   |  |
| 117        |    | VOTE:  | AYE (4)              | NAY (1)                   | APPROVED                                      |  |
| 118        |    | Jim Chase  | AYE                  |                           |   |  |
| 119        |    | Jared Peterson   | AYE                  |                           |   |  |
| 120        |    | Cory Thompson  | AYE                  |                           |   |  |
| 120        |    | Tricia Thomas  |                      | NAY                       |   |  |
|            |    | Thera Thomas   |                      | NAI                       |   |  |
| 122        | E  | COMMEDCIAL   |                      |                           | DIGCUGGION                                    |  |
| 123        | 5. |  |                      | E NUISANCE CODE           |   |  |
| 124        |    | Discussion ensued  | on the nuisance co   | ode regarding violation   | s. Discussion of ambiguity of C and the       |  |
| 125        |    |  | ng language, that    | venicles must meet bot    | h B and C and change continuous to            |  |
| 126        |    | attended parking.  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 127        | 6. |  | DO DISCUSSIO         |                           |   |  |
| 128        | 0. | AIRBNB AND VI  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 129        |    | <u>Noyce Swellsen</u> sta  | an issues that she   | nas nad calls wondern     | ng if Airbnb's are allowed in the city.       |  |
| 130<br>131 |    | Discussion ensued on issues that should be part of a code such as parking, business licenses etc. and should the city pursue a code to collect the taxes and protect residences. Per the Council's request |                      |                           |   |  |
| 131        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 132        |    | auministration will  | drant something a    | nd take it to Planning    | Commission.                                   |  |
| 133        | 7. | <b>REPLACE FIRE</b>  | TDUCK DESCU          | F 777                     |   |  |
| 135        | /. |  |                      |                           | due to ongoing mechanical issues and is       |  |
|            |    | not roliable Eine Ch   | isf Coth Walter die  |                           |   |  |
| 136        |    |  |                      |                           | they need will cost around \$105,000. This    |  |
| 137        |    |  |                      | ed to be rebuilt or anyth | ning. There will need to be a budget          |  |
| 138        |    | amendment to purc  | hase the truck.      |                           |   |  |
| 139        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 140        |    | JIM CHASE MOT  | <b>FIONED TO API</b> | PROVE REPLACEM            | ENT OF THE FIRE TRUCK RESCUE                  |  |
| 141        |    |  |                      |                           | NG NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED                     |  |
| 142        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 142        |    | VOTE:  | AYE (5)              | NAV (0)                   | ABBROVED                                      |  |
|            |    | VOIE.  | AIE(3)               | NAY (0)                   | APPROVED                                      |  |
| 144        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
| 145        | 8. |  |                      |                           | 28 D B  |  |
| 146        |    | David Jean stated th   | ne 99 International  | plow truck needs to be    | e replaced due to age. The new truck would    |  |
| 147        |    | be a 10-wheeler, w   | th the plow, sand    | er, bed with more capa    | acity to move material. This is a multi-use   |  |
| 148        |    | truck and can be use   | ed by several depa   | rtments. The funds will   | be paid out of depreciation funds set aside   |  |
| 149        |    |  |                      |                           | ifferent departments. This is for next year's |  |
| 150        |    | budget. The truck m  | nust be ordered and  | a will be picked up aro   | und October 2021. Cory Thompson, Tricia       |  |
| 151        |    | Thomas, Jim Chase  | , Nelson Abbott, a   | and Jared Peterson are    | all in support of the purchase. They cannot   |  |
| 152        |    | officially motion to   | purchase the truck   | at this time.             |   |  |
| 153        |    |  |                      |                           |   |  |
|            |    |  |                      | 3                         |   |  |

| 154 | 9.  | OAK LANE BOC           | <b>OSTER STATION-VFD</b>   |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|-----|------------------------|--|-------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 155 |     | David Jean stated      | when the bid was submitte  | ed the electrician      | left out the cost for the VFDs which        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 156 |     | wasn't noticed whe     | en the bid was reviewed b  | w Aqua The city         | would need to purchase the equipment        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 157 |     | and the electrician    | will put it in at cost If the  | s had been on the       | bid it would have still been the low bid.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 158 |     | There needs to be a    | budget amendment for \$  | 34 500 added to         | the Oak Lane Booster Station for            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 159 |     | equipment purchas      | e of 3 VFDs and soft-star  | t assembly              | the Oak Lane Booster Station for            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 160 |     | equipment purchas      | c of 5 vi Ds and soft-star   | t assenioly             |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 161 |     | JIM CHASED M           | IIM CHASED MOTION TO APPROVE A BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR \$34,500 ADDED   |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 162 |     | TO THE OAK LA          | ANE BOOSTER STATI  | ON FOR FOU              | IPMENT PURCHASE OF 3 VFDS                   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 163 |     | AND SOFT STAF          | RT ASSEMBLY NELSO  | ON ABBOTT SE            | ECONDED                                     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 164 |     | VOTE:                  | AYE (5)  | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 165 | 10. | STORM DRAIN            | PLAN DISCUSSION  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 166 |     |                        | the storm drain plan need  | s to be developed       | 1.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 167 |     | Part of the plan mu    | st be funding the storm di   | rain enterprise fui     | nd. Storm drain is lacking especially       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 168 |     | along Canyon View      | v, and Park Dr. Mayor El   | lis recommends r        | raising the storm drain fee. Council needs  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 169 |     | to think of how to r   | aise the funds to complet  | e the storm drain       | plan.                                       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 170 |     |                        | needed and the second |                         | P.m.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 171 | 11. | ANNUAL DRINK           | ING WATER QUALIT   | <b>FY REPORT</b>        |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 172 |     | David Jean stated t    | hat the water report came  | back, and the cit       | y is in good shape and there are no         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 173 |     | violations. The part   | t of the city that does not  | have a back-flow        | preventer device needs to have them         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 174 |     | installed by the hor   | neowners and they must l   | be inspected year       | ly. This is a state mandate. The city needs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 175 |     | to be completely in    | compliance by the end o  | f vear 5 (2026)         | y. This is a state mandate. The erty needs  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 176 |     | 1 2                    | 1 2  | - j ···· · (_ · _ · j · |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 177 | 12. | FINANCIAL REV          | VIEW   |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 178 |     | There were no concerns |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 179 |     |                        |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 180 | 13. | CITY COUNCIL           | MINUTES FOR JAN. 1   | 12 2021 APPRO           | WAT.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 181 |     | <u>onri cooncili</u>   | MINUTED FOR SALL   | La, 2021 ALI MU         | VAL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 182 |     | CORY THOMPS            | ON MOTIONED TO A   | PPROVE THE              | MINUTES FOR JANUARY 12, 2021                |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 183 |     | WITH THE CHA           | NGE OF RYAN HASK   | FLL TO HISTI            | NHASKELL JIM CHASE                          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 184 |     | SECONDED               |  |                         | IV HASKELL JIM CHASE                        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 185 |     | VOTE:                  | AYE (5)  | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 186 |     |                        |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 187 |     | NELSON ABBOT           | TT MOTIONED TO AI  | JOURN COUN              | NCIL MEETING JARED PETERSON                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 188 |     | SECONDED               |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 189 |     |                        |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 190 |     | VOTE:                  | AYE (5)  | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED                                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 191 |     |                        | The second se  | <u><u>v</u>-v</u>       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 192 |     |                        |  | 0                       | >   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 193 |     |                        |  | Xan                     | ) () liver)                                 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 194 |     |                        |  | Laura Oliver.           | Deputy Recorder                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 195 |     |                        |  |                         | T   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |     |                        |  |                         |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |



### **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a public hearing in conjunction with the regular <u>City Council</u> <u>Meeting on Tuesday, February 9, 2021 at 7:00 PM</u> via ZOOM Video Conferencing. There will be no anchor point. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

# Join Zoom Meeting

https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86321414588

Meeting ID: 863 2141 4588 One tap mobile +13462487799,,86321414588# US (Houston) +16699006833,,86321414588# US (San Jose)

7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS: Opening Remarks if Volunteered Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

#### **PUBLIC HEARING**

**Budget Amendment** 

#### **AGENDA ITEMS**

- 1. Mayor Declaration Per USC 1-12-2
- 2. Budget Amendment
- 3. Proposed Code Amendment Animal code 10-18-6
- 4. Proposed Code Amendment Commercial Vehicle Code 110-12-26
- 5. Nuisance Code Discussion
- 6. Proposed Code Amendment Procurement Amendment

see attachment see attachment see attachment

see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 8th day of February 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of February, 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4 | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>FEBRUARY 9, 2021   |
|------------------|---|
| 5<br>6           | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING   |
|                  |   |
| 7<br>8           | This regularly scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u><br><u>February 9, 2021, at 7:00 PM</u> . Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic the meeting was held via ZOOM; |
| 9                |   |
| 10               | Join Zoom Meeting   |
| 11               | https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86321414588   |
| 12               | Meeting ID: 863 2141 4588   |
| 13               | One tap mobile  |
| 14               | +13462487799,,86321414588# US (Houston)   |
| 15               | +16699006833,,86321414588# US (San Jose)  |
| 16               |   |
| 17               | Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 H  |
| 18               | Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on February 8, 2021.   |
| 19               |   |
| 20               | ROLL CALL   |
| 21               | Mayor: Ty Ellis   |
| 22               | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jim Chase, Jared Peterson, Absent - Cory Thompson  |
| 23               | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director, Deputy Gary Coffee, Bria   |
| 24               | Squires, Code Enforcement   |
| 25               | Public: Trent (?)   |
| 26               | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION   |
| 20               | Jim Chase offered opening remarks.  |
| 28               | sint chuse offered opening femarks.   |
| 29               | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |
| 30               |   |
| 31               | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME JI  |
| 32               | CHASE SECONDED.   |
| 33               |   |
| 34               | VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |
| 35               |   |
| 36               | 7:00 PM - PUBLIC HEARING – Budget Amendment   |
| 37               | Mayor Ellis opened public hearing for budget amendment. There are 3 items 1) replacement of rescue truck for  |
| 37               | the fire department 2) in the water department legal counsel for water rights and 3) VFDs and soft-start for the  |
| 38<br>39         | Booster Station   |
|                  |   |
| 40               | 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:  |
| 41               | 1. MAYOR DECLARATION PER USC 1-12-2   |
| 42               | WHEREAS, the Mayor is the Chairman of the Elk Ridge City Council public body, and;  |
| 43               | WHEREAS, the State of Utah has amended Utah Code 52-4-207 regarding electronic meeting  |
| 44               | enabling the chairman of a public body to hold public meetings without an anchor location, and;   |
| 45               | WHEREAS, the COVID-19 pandemic guidelines set forth by the State of Utah remain in effect an  |
| 46               | Utah County is listed as a High Transmission Area, and;   |
| 47               | WHEREAS, the Elk Ridge City buildings are severely inadequate to hold a public meeting during   |
| 47               | in the set of sum ange one seterer induceduate to nota a public meeting during  |

•

THEREFORE, it is determined by the Mayor of Elk Ridge City that all public meetings of the City 49 Council public body will be online and without an anchor location for the next 30 days as permitted 50 51 by city ordinance. Information on how to connect will be made available on the agenda of the meetings. 52 APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent VOTE: AYE (4) NAY(0)53 54 2. **BUDGET AMENDMENT** 55 56 **APPROVED AFTER ITEM 3** 57 58 3. **PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT ANIMAL CODE 10-18-6** 59 Mayor Ellis stated that it's possible to deal with the Ram's property through a variance since they do 60 have a unique issue with their property that isn't common with the rest of the neighborhood. The removal 61 of the 75 foot rule will be impactful. Discussion ensued on the placement of structures and difficulty of 62 nuisance code. Tricia Thomas stated she does not think the city should throw out the 75-foot requirement 63 and does not think the city should change the code for 1 person. There are areas that butt up against the 64 livestock zones. Mayor Ellis stated that even if this amendment is passed the Rams will still need a 65 variance for landscaping. Is there no concern between the R&L and R-1 Residential? Brian Squires stated 66 that dealing with nuisance code is not cut and dry. The area around Christly in the old Cloward estate in 67 the middle of town being next to R-1 zone is problematic when dealing with complaints from noise, flies, 68 69 smell etc. Mayor Ellis stated that it is important to not take away property rights of landowners with animal rights. Tricia Thomas stated this is a community and there has to be some rules. 70 71 72 **NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 21-04 LIVESTOCK ANIMALS 10-18-6 JARED PEERSON SECONDED** 73 74 VOTE: NAY (1) AYE (3) APPROVED 75 Nelson Abbott AYE 76 Jim Chase AYE 77 Jared Peterson AYE 78 **Tricia** Thomas NAY 79 Cory Thompson Absent 80 81 Ordinance number changed to 21-4, There is already a 21-01. 82 **BUDGET HEARING** 83 JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING NELSON ABBOTT 84 **SECONDED** 85 VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED 86 Nelson Abbott AYE 87 Jim Chase AYE 88 Jared Peterson 89 AYE AYE **Tricia** Thomas 90 Cory Thompson Absent 91 92 NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE BUDGET AMENDMENT AS 93 PRESENTED JIM CHASE SECONDED 94

| 95         |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)            | NAY (0)           | APPROVED                                      |
|------------|----|----------------|--------------------|-------------------|---|
| 96         |    | Nelson Abbott  | AYE                | (0)               |   |
| 97         |    | Jim Chase      | AYE                |                   |   |
| 98         |    | Jared Peterson | AYE                |                   |   |
| 98<br>99   |    | Tricia Thomas  | AYE                |                   |   |
|            |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 100        |    | Cory Thompson  | Absent             |                   |   |
| 101        | 4  | DDODOSED COD   |                    | MATEDCIAL M       | FILCLE CODE 10 12 20                          |
| 102<br>103 | 4. | PROPUSED COL   | E AMENDMENT CO.    | WINERCIAL V       | EHICLE CODE 10-12-26                          |
| 103        |    | JIM CHASE MOT  | TIONED APPROVE OF  | RDINANCE 21_      | 02 STORAGE OF COMMERCIAL                      |
| 104        |    |                | 10-12-26 NELSON AB |                   |   |
| 106        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 107        |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)            | NAY (0)           | APPROVED                                      |
| 108        |    | Nelson Abbott  | AYE                |                   |   |
| 109        |    | Jim Chase      | AYE                |                   |   |
| 110        |    | Jared Peterson | AYE                |                   |   |
| 111        |    | Tricia Thomas  | AYE                |                   |   |
| 112        |    | Cory Thompson  | Absent             |                   |   |
| 112        |    | cory monipson  | rosent             |                   |   |
| 113        | 5. | NUISANCE COD   | FDISCUSSION        |                   |   |
| 114        | J. |                |                    | ed and is possibl | y not legal in some places on the             |
| 116        |    |                |                    |                   | the code this year. <u>Brian Squires</u> went |
| 117        |    |                |                    |                   | re are areas in the nuisance code that need   |
| 118        |    |                |                    |                   | e nuisance code needs to be looked at.        |
| 119        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 120        | 6. | PROPOSED COD   | E AMENDMENT PRO    | DCUREMENT A       | AMENDMENT                                     |
| 121        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 122        |    | JIM CHASE MOT  | FIONED TO APPROV   | E ORDINANCE       | E 21-03 PROCUREMENT 1-10 JARED                |
| 123        |    | PETERSON SEC   | ONDED              |                   |   |
| 124        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 125        |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)            | NAY (0)           | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent                 |
| 10(        |    | NELSON ADDOT   |                    |                   | ICH MEETING LADED DETEDGON                    |
| 126<br>127 |    | SECONDED       | I MOTIONED TO A    | DJOUKN COUL       | NCIL MEETING JARED PETERSON                   |
| 127        |    | SECONDED       |                    |                   |   |
| 129        |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)            | NAY (0)           | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent                 |
| 130        |    | ,              |                    | 1.111 (0)         | The rest of the monipson resent               |
| 131        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
| 132        |    |                |                    | $\rho$            | $\hat{\mathcal{A}}$                           |
| 133        |    |                |                    | Laur              | a Alever                                      |
| 134        |    |                |                    | Laura Oliver,     | Deputy Recorder                               |
| 135        |    |                |                    |                   |   |
|            |    |                |                    |                   |   |



# NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge has cancelled the regular <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, February 23, 2021</u> <u>at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting is normally held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

# CANCELLED

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 19<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021.

Oleve a

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 19<sup>th</sup> day of February 2021.

, Oliver

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.



# NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, March 9,</u> <u>2021 at 7:00 PM</u> via ZOOM Video Conferencing. There will be no anchor point. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86470298600

Meeting ID: 864 7029 8600 One tap mobile +13462487799,,86470298600# US (Houston) +16699006833,,86470298600# US (San Jose)

# 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

# **AGENDA ITEMS**

- 1. Representative Douglas Welton
- 2. Smart Property Concept
- 3. Shuler Plat B Subdivision, Accept into City
- 4. Budget Amendment for Loader
- 5. Municipal Wastewater Planning Program Annual Report

6. City Council Minutes for Jan. 26, 2021 Approval

7. City Council Minutes for Feb. 9, 2021 Approval

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this  $8^{th}$  day of March 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of March 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

see attachment see attachment

see attachment see attachment see attachment

| 1<br>2<br>3 | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>MARCH 9, 2021  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4<br>5      | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6           | This regularly scheduled Meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for Tuesday,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7           | March 9, 2021, at 7:00 PM. Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic the meeting was held via ZOOM;   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8           | Join Zoom Meeting   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9           | https://us02web.zoom.us/j/86470298600   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10          | Meeting ID: 864 7029 8600   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11          | One tap mobile<br>+13462487799,,86470298600# US (Houston)   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12<br>13    | +16699006833,,86470298600# US (San Jose)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13          | (3ai 303c)  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15          | Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16          | Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on March 8, 2021.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18          | ROLL CALL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19          | Mayor: Ty Ellis<br>Council Mambered Nelson Abbett, Tricis Themes, Jim Chass, Javed Beterrow, Absort, Co., Th  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>21    | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jim Chase, Jared Peterson, Absent - Cory Thompson, Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i> , Deputy Gary Coffee |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22          | Public: Rep. Doug Welton, Michelle Christensen, Leslie King, Ryan Bybie, Kristy, lake driver, Paul  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23          | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24          | Ty Ellis offered opening remarks.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26          | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28          | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME NELSON   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29<br>30    | ABBOTT SECONDED.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31          | VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33          | 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34          | 1. <u>REPRESENTATIVE DOUGLAS WELTON</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35          | Representative Welton was elected into office Nov. 2020. He would like to visit council meeting every   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36          | few months to keep communications open to understand the needs of the communities and to see that   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37          | local government needs are heard and taken care of. He wants local governments to continue to be able   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38          | to govern without overreach. Representative Welton invited the council to stay in contact with any and  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39          | all concerns.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40<br>41    | 2. SMART PROPERTY CONCEPT   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41          | <b><u>Ryan Bybie</u></b> owns Cadence Homes, Utah County semi-custom home builder. Cadence homes is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43          | interested in developing the Smart Property on 11200 with higher end homes which average  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44          | \$600,000-\$1.2 million. The area is zoned R-1-12 but their lots are bigger, approximately 15,000 sq  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45          | ft. with single family housing and a smaller commercial component on the corner. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46          | that the only change or exception is a smaller commercial area and stated that once the commercial  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40          | area is gone it's gone. <u>Nelson Abbott</u> stated 11200 is eventually going to be a major corridor  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48          | extending over P mountain. Councils' opinion was split on changing the amount of commercial   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40          | property.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50          | brobard.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51          |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 52 | 3. | SHULER PLAT I         | B SUBDIVISION, ACC            | CEPT INTO TH                  | <u>E CITY</u>   |  |  |
|----|----|-----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|---|--|--|
| 53 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 54 |    |                       |                               | HULER SUBDI                   | VISION PLAT B INTO THE CITY   |  |  |
| 55 |    | JARED PETERS          | ON SECONDED.                  |                               |   |  |  |
| 56 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 57 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 58 |    |                       | (.)                           | (0)                           | A The veb cory monipson Absent  |  |  |
| 59 | 4. | BUDGET AMEN           | DMENT FOR LOADE               | מי                            |   |  |  |
| 60 | т. | Mayor Ellis stated    | that the city has been we     | <u>n</u>                      | for a loader for Public Works. This is a                                      |  |  |
| 61 |    | critical piece of equ | uinment for the sity Original | orking on a plan i            | for a loader for Public Works. This is a                                      |  |  |
| 62 |    | Iean was able to fu   | ad a brand new loader th      | ginal discussion i            | included spending \$90-95,000. David  |  |  |
| 63 |    | without that state d  | is count is about \$250.00    | lough a state con             | ntract which would be \$150,000. Cost<br>price. The funds would come from 40% |  |  |
| 64 |    | from the general fu   | and $30\%$ water fund $15\%$  | V so it s a good p            | % storm drain fund. This needs to go to                                       |  |  |
| 65 |    | nublic hearing for    | a budget amendment for        | opproval                      | <sup>76</sup> storin drain fund. This needs to go to                          |  |  |
| 66 |    | public hearing for a  | a budget amendment for        | approvar.                     |   |  |  |
| 67 |    | JARED PETERS          | ΟΝ ΜΟΤΙΟΝΕΊ ΤΟ Χ              | <b>IOVE THE LO</b>            | ADER TO PUBLIC HEARING FOR  |  |  |
| 68 |    | A BUDGET AME          | NDMENT JIM CHAS               | F SECONDED                    | ADER TO PUBLIC HEARING FOR  |  |  |
| 69 |    | A DODGET AME          |                               | E SECONDED                    |   |  |  |
|    |    | VOTE.                 |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 70 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 71 | -  |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 72 | 5. | MUNICIPAL WA          | STEWATER PLANNI               | NG PROGRAM                    | I ANNUAL REPORT   |  |  |
| 73 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 74 |    |                       |                               |                               | NICIPAL WASTEWATER  |  |  |
| 75 |    | PLANNING REP          | ORT AS PRESENTED              | TRICIA THO                    | MAS SECONDED.   |  |  |
| 76 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 77 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 78 |    |                       |                               | (U)                           | An The VED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 79 | 6. | CITY COUNCIL          | MINUTES FOR JAN. 2            | A 2021 ADDDO                  | NT / A T  |  |  |
| 80 | 0. | CITI COUNCIL.         | MINUTES FOR JAN.              | 20, 2021 APPRO                | <u>IVAL</u>   |  |  |
|    |    | TDICIA THOMA          |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 81 |    | IRICIA IHUMA          | S MOTION TO APPRO             | OVE CITY COU                  | UNCIL MINUTES FOR JAN. 26, 2021   |  |  |
| 82 |    | WITH THE ADD          | ITION OF 2026 TO LI           | NE 175 JIM CH                 | ASE SECONDED  |  |  |
| 83 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 84 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 85 |    |                       |                               |                               | na n                                      |  |  |
| 86 | 7. | CITY COUNCIL          | MINUTES FOR FEB 9             | . 2021 APPROV                 | ZAT.  |  |  |
| 87 |    |                       | in the same of the second     |                               |   |  |  |
| 88 |    | NELSON ABBOT          | Τ ΜΟΤΙΟΝΕΊ ΤΟ ΑΡ              | PROVE THE C                   | CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR FEB.   |  |  |
| 89 |    |                       | TERSON SECONDEL               |                               | TTT COUNCIL MINUTES FOR FEB.  |  |  |
|    |    | 7, 2021 JAKED FE      | LIERSON SECONDEL              | )                             |   |  |  |
| 90 |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 91 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
|    |    |                       |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 92 |    | NELSON ABBOT          | "I' MOTIONED TO AI            | DJOURN COUN                   | NCIL MEETING JIM CHASE  |  |  |
| 93 |    | SECONDED              |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 94 |    | LOTE                  |                               |                               |   |  |  |
| 95 |    | VOTE:                 | AYE (4)                       | NAY (0)                       | APPROVED Cory Thompson Absent   |  |  |
| 96 |    |                       |                               | $\square$                     | A. O  |  |  |
| 97 |    |                       | $-\bigcirc$                   | Jama                          | Oliver  |  |  |
| 98 |    |                       |                               | <b>Oliver</b> , <b>Deputy</b> | Recorder  |  |  |
|    |    |                       | 2                             |                               |   |  |  |



Due to COVID-19 and the consequential risks to the health, safety, and welfare of Elk Ridge City residents the Planning Commission meeting will be held shall be held electronically and there shall be no anchor location.

#### **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a Public Hearing in conjunction with the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, March 23, 2020 at 7:00 PM; via Zoom Video Conferencing. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/82544114790

Meeting ID: 825 4411 4790 One tap mobile +12532158782,,82544114790# US (Tacoma) +13462487799,,82544114790# US (Houston)

7:00 PM -PUBLIC HEARING

**Budget Amendment** 

#### REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS: 7:00 PM -

- **Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Pledge of Allegiance Mayor's Declaration : Electronic Meetings Per UCA 1-12-2 Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call
- 1. Budget Amendment
- 2. Financial Review
- 3. City Council Minutes for March 9, 2021 Approval

see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of March 2021.

Juver aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of March 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment

| 1        | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2<br>3   |                                   | March 23, 2021   |  |  |  |  |
| 4        |                                   | Watch 25, 2021   |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | TIME AND PL                       | ACE OF MEETING   |  |  |  |  |
| 6        |                                   | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for Tuesday, March 23, 2021, at   |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | 7:00 PI                           | M. Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic the meeting was held via ZOOM;  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | Join Zoom Meet                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       | https://us02web.                  | zoom.us/j/82544114790  |  |  |  |  |
| 11<br>12 | Meeting ID: 825                   | 4411 4790  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | One tap mobile                    |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | +12532158782,,                    | 82544114790# US (Tacoma)   |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | +13462487799,,                    | 82544114790# US (Houston)  |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | Notico                            | of the time place and Agende of these Meetings were previded at the Fill Pides City Office the site whether the  |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18 |                                   | of the time, place, and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided at the Elk Ridge City Office, the city website, the ablic Notice Website, and to the members of the Governing Body on Mar. 22, 2021. |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | Otan i t                          | able Notice website, and to the members of the Governing Body on Mar. 22, 2021.  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | ROLL CALL                         |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       |                                   | r: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |                                   | il Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson   |  |  |  |  |
| 23       |                                   | s: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director, Deputy Coffey  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | Public                            | :: Cindi Ellis   |  |  |  |  |
| 25       |                                   | MARKS-INVITATION   |  |  |  |  |
| 26       |                                   | ng remarks were offered by Jared Peterson  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28 | Mayo                              | r Declaration: Electronic Meetings Per UCA 1-12-2  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29 | APPROVAL/A                        | GENDA TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |
| 30       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | JIM C                             | HASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME CORY THOMPSON SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33       |                                   | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED   |  |  |  |  |
| 34       | 7.00 DB4                          |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | 7:00 PM -                         | PUBLIC HEARING:  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       |                                   | BUDGET AMENDMENT   |  |  |  |  |
| 37       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       |                                   | Mayor Ellis opened the public hearing. The budget amendment is for \$150,000 for a loader for the Public Works   |  |  |  |  |
| 39<br>40 |                                   | Department drawing from the following funds \$90,000 road fund, \$15,000 storm drain fund, \$15,000 sewer fund \$30,000 water fund,  |  |  |  |  |
| 40       |                                   | Please comment in the chat if you wish to make a public comment regarding the budget amendment.  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       |                                   | These continent in the ond in you wish to make a public continent regularing the budget untertainent.  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | <b>REGULAR CI</b>                 | TY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:   |  |  |  |  |
| 44       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       | 1.                                | BUDGET AMENDMENT   |  |  |  |  |
| 46       |                                   | Proceeded with the meeting while waiting to see if there was any public comment.   |  |  |  |  |
| 47       | 2                                 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48<br>49 | 2.                                | FINANCIAL REVIEW<br>The financials reflect the adjustment for the loader. There were no concerns.  |  |  |  |  |
| 50       |                                   | The infanetais reflect the adjustment for the loader. There were no concerns.  |  |  |  |  |
| 51       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52       | 3.                                | CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 9, 2021 APPROVAL  |  |  |  |  |
| 53       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54       |                                   | JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 9, 2021 NELSON  |  |  |  |  |
| 55       |                                   | <u>ABBOTT SECONDED</u> .   |  |  |  |  |
| 56       |                                   |  |  |  |  |  |

| 57 | BUDGET AMENDMENT -con't            |                  |                                |                          |          |
|----|------------------------------------|------------------|--------------------------------|--------------------------|----------|
| 58 |                                    |                  |                                |                          |          |
| 59 | Public Hearing - There was no publ | ic comment regar | ding the Proposed Budget Ame   | endment                  |          |
| 60 | 10-1 ( <del>-</del> )              |                  | 0 1 0                          |                          |          |
| 61 | CORY THOMPSON MO                   | TION TO CLOS     | E PUBLIC HEARING FOR           | THE BUDGET AMENDMENT,    | ПМ       |
| 62 | CHASE SECONDED                     |                  |                                |                          |          |
| 63 |                                    |                  |                                |                          |          |
| 64 | NELSON ABBOTT MOT                  | TONED TO PAS     | SS RESOLUTION 21-03-23-        | -1R AS PRESENTED JARED P | ETERSON  |
| 65 | SECONDED                           |                  |                                |                          | Biblioon |
| 66 |                                    |                  |                                |                          |          |
| 67 | VOTE:                              | AYE (5)          | NAY (0)                        | APPROVED                 |          |
| 68 | Jim Chase                          | AYE              |                                |                          |          |
| 69 | Jared Peterson                     | AYE              |                                |                          |          |
| 70 | Cory Thompson                      | AYE              |                                |                          |          |
| 71 | Tricia Thompson                    | AYE              |                                |                          |          |
| 72 | Nelson Abbott                      | AYE              |                                |                          |          |
| 73 |                                    |                  |                                |                          |          |
| 74 | MOTION TO ADJOURN Nelse            | on Abbott motion | ed to adjourn Jared Peterson s | econded.                 |          |
| 75 |                                    |                  | 5                              |                          |          |
| 76 | VOTE:                              | AYE (5)          | NAY (0)                        | APPROVED                 |          |
| 77 |                                    | 10.5             | 0                              | ×                        |          |
| 78 |                                    |                  | (aller m)                      | Oliver,                  |          |
| 79 |                                    |                  | Laura Oliver, Deputy R         | lecorder                 | -        |
|    |                                    |                  |                                |                          |          |



Due to COVID-19 and the consequential risks to the health, safety, and welfare of Elk Ridge City residents the Planning Commission meeting will be held shall be held electronically and there shall be no anchor location.

#### NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a Public Hearing in conjunction with the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, April 13, 2020 at 7:00 PM; via Zoom Video Conferencing. The meeting will be open to the public through the link below.

Join Zoom Meeting https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85015311468

Meeting ID: 850 1531 1468 One tap mobile +12532158782,,85015311468# US (Tacoma) +13462487799,,85015311468# US (Houston)

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

**Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Mayor's Declaration : Electronic Meetings Per UCA 1-12-2 Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

- 1. Ranked Choice Voting Presentation
- 2. Election Interlocal Agreement
- 3. Food Truck Discussion
- 4. Capital Facilities Project Discussion
- 5. Approval of City Council Minutes for March 30, 2021

see attachment

see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 12<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021.

a a

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

#### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 12th day of April 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5<br>6<br>7                          | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>April 13, 2021<br>TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING<br>This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday, April 13, 2021, at 7:00</u><br><u>PM</u> . Due to the Coronavirus Pandemic the meeting was held via ZOOM;   |
|--|--|
| 8<br>9<br>10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15               | Join Zoom Meeting<br><u>https://us02web.zoom.us/j/85015311468</u><br>Meeting ID: 850 1531 1468<br>One tap mobile<br>+12532158782,,85015311468# US (Tacoma)<br>+13462487799,,85015311468# US (Houston)  |
| 16<br>17<br>18<br>19<br>20<br>21<br>22                   | <ul> <li>Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided at the Elk Ridge City Office, the city website, the Utah Public Notice Website, and to the members of the Governing Body on April 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021.</li> <li>ROLL CALL         Mayor: Ty Ellis         Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, , Jim Chase, Cory Thompson, Absent Jared Peterson         Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i>, David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i>, Deputy Coffey     </li> </ul>  |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26<br>27<br>28<br>29                   | Public: David May<br>OPENING REMARKS– INVITATION<br>Opening remarks were offered by Tricia Thomas<br>Mayor Declaration: Electronic Meetings Per UCA 1-12-2<br>APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME   |
| 30<br>31<br>32   | JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA CORRECTING THE DATE TO APRIL 13, 2021 AND<br>TIME FRAME CORY THOMPSON SECONDED. (AGENDA HAD 2020)   |
| 33<br>34<br>25   | VOTE:     AYE (4)     NAY (0)     APPROVED     Absent Jared Peterson   |
| 35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39                               | REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:         1.       RANKED CHOICE VOTING PRESENTATION<br>David May of Utah Ranked Choice Voting gave a presentation on ranked choice voting. Council will study ranked<br>choice voting and vote on it next council meeting.   |
| 40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49 | <ul> <li>ELECTION INTERLOCAL AGREEMENT<br/>Mayor Ellis stated the only thing Elk Ridge has control over is who administers our election. The interlocal agreement<br/>states that Elk Ridge will have the county run the election for approximately \$6191. Final cost is determined on the<br/>number of ballots mailed back.</li> <li>NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVED RESOLUTION 21-04-13-1R INTERLOCAL<br/>COOPERATION AGREEMENT BETWEEN UTAH COUNTY AND ELK RIDGE CITY FOR THE<br/>ADMINISTRATION OF THE 2021 MUNICIPAL ELECTIONS JIM CHASE SECONDED</li> </ul> |
| 50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56<br>57             | VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVEDAbsent- Jared PetersonNelson AbbottAYEJim ChaseAYECory ThompsonAYETricia ThomasAYEJared PetersonAbsent  |

#### 3. FOOD TRUCK DISCUSSION

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66 67 68

69

70

71

72 73 74

75 76

77 78 79

80

81

82

Nelson Abbott stated the city code does not a mechanism allowing food trucks to operate in the city pursuant to state code. Elk Ridge does not have a commercial zone. Nelson contacted Libertas Institute to assist him in drafting code. Nelson feels this should be something the city needs to embrace for the residents Elk Ridge with some controls such as licensing, trash, parking . Tricia Thomas was concerned how the city would collect the tax. Royce Swensen stated food trucks report income from where the truck owner lives. Mayor Ellis stated he would like the city to embrace this and find a place for food trucks to park. Right now, Elk Ridge only has home business that operate within the home and there is not any available commercial property. Nelson Abbott will continue drafting the code and it will be on the next agenda for further discussion.

#### 4. **CAPITAL FACILITES PROJECT DISCUSSION**

Mayor Ellis stated the city is in the process of updating the Capital Facilities Plan which will include updating impact fees and adding storm drain. Nelson stated the Capital Facilities Plan is a 5 year plan: he would like to be know if there is a way to be able have a yearly adjustment in case of a drastic increase or decrease in costs. Royce will talk to city Financial Director to see if and how that would be possible.

#### 5. **APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 30, 2021**

Approval of minutes tabled due to typo of the date which should be March 23rd.

MOTION TO ADJOURN Nelson Abbott motioned to adjourn Cory Thompson seconded.

VOTE: AYE (4)

NAY (0) APPROVED Absent-Jared Peterson wei aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder



## NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, April 27,</u> <u>2021 at 7:00 PM</u>; which will be preceded by a regular <u>City Council Work Session at 6:30 PM</u>. The meetings will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 6:30 PM WORK SESSION

1. 2021/2022 Tentative Budget

#### 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS: Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call

- 1. Ranked Choice Voting Resolution 21-04-27-1R
- 2. Nuisance Code
- 3. 21/22 Tentative Budget
- 4. Financial Review
- 5. Approval of City Council Minutes for March 23, 2021
- 6. Approval of City Council Minutes for April 13, 2021

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021.

Laura Oliver, Députy Recorder

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of April 2021.

aura Laura Öliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment

see attachment see attachment

see attachment

| 1<br>2<br>3                            | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>April 27, 2021   |   |  |  |                              |  |  |
|--|---|---|--|--|------------------------------|--|--|
| 4<br>5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10<br>11 | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING         This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday, April 27, 2021, at 7:00</u> <u>PM</u> , this meeting was preceded by a <u>City Council Work Session at 6:00 pm</u> . The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.         Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on April 26, 2021. |   |  |  |                              |  |  |
| 12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16             | Council Men<br>Others: Royc   | ROLL CALL<br>Mayor: Ty Ellis<br>Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson<br>Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i><br>Public: Cindi Ellis |  |  |                              |  |  |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20                   |   | <b>XS– INVITATION</b><br>aarks were offered by E<br>by Jared Peterson   | David Jean                                 |  |                              |  |  |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24<br>25             | APPROVAL/AGENE<br>NELSON AI<br>SECONDED   | BBOTT MOTIONEI  | ) TO APPROV                                | E THE AGEN                             | DA AND TIME FRAM             | ME JARED PETERSON  |  |
| 23<br>26<br>27                         | VC<br>WORK SESSION  | DTE: AYE (5)  | NAY (0)                                    | APPRO                                  | VED                          |  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30                         | 1   | hearing.  | nt over the 2021-2                         |  | lget to see if council was p | repared to motion for public   |  |
| 31<br>32<br>33                         | REGULAR CITY CO   | ANKED CHOICE V  |  |  | 1D                           |  |  |
| 34<br>35<br>36<br>37                   | C   |   | OTIONED TO A                               | PPROVE RESOL                           | UTION 21-04-27-1R RAN        | VKED CHOICE VOTING   |  |
| 38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43       | V   | OTE:<br>Cory Thompson<br>Tricia Thompson<br>Nelson Abbott<br>Jared Peterson<br>Jim Chase  | AYE (3)<br>AYE<br>NAY<br>NAY<br>AYE<br>AYE | NAY (2                                 | ) APPROVED                   |  |  |
| 44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49       | Ja<br>it<br>ta  | out a little more. There<br>ke home and to read, st   | e are a few other<br>tudy and see what     | changes bringing<br>t other items that | it up to date with State coo | ode easier to read by breaking<br>de. This is for the council to<br>nged. The intent is to make it |  |
| 50<br>51<br>52                         | 3. <u>2</u>   | 21/22 TENTATIVE B   | UDGET                                      |  |                              |  |  |
| 53<br>54<br>55                         |   | ARED PETERSON MO<br>UDGET FOR JUNE 8,   |  |  | HEARING FOR THE 202<br>NDED  | 1-2022 TENTATIVE   |  |
| 55<br>56                               | V   | OTE:  | AYE (5)                                    | NAY (0)                                | APPROVED                     |  |  |

| 57 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
|----|------|---|----------------------|----------------------|--|
| 58 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 59 | 4.   | FINANCIAL REVI  | EW                   |                      |  |
| 60 |      | There were no concer  | rns or questions.    |                      |  |
| 61 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 62 | 5.   | APPROVAL OF C   | ITY COUNCIL N        | <b>IINUTES FOR N</b> | MARCH 23, 2021                         |
| 63 |      | Jared Peterson stated   | I that line 39 neede | d all the amounts    | to changed to 1,000's.                 |
| 64 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 65 |      |   |                      |                      | THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 23, |
| 66 |      | 2021 WITH THE C   | HANGE TO LINE        | <b>39 CORRECTIN</b>  | G THE AMOUNTS JIM CHASE SECONDED.      |
| 67 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 68 |      | VOTE:   | AYE (5)              | NAY (0)              | APPROVED                               |
| 69 | 1.12 |   |                      |                      |  |
| 70 | 6.   | APPROVAL OF C   | ITY COUNCIL N        | <b>IINUTES FOR</b> A | APRIL 13, 2021                         |
| 71 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 72 |      | CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR APRIL 13, 2021<br>WITH THE CORRECTIONS TO LINE 71 NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED |                      |                      |  |
| 73 |      | WITH THE CORRE  | CTIONS TO LINE       | E 71 NELSON AE       | BBOTT SECONDED                         |
| 74 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 75 |      | VOTE:   | AYE (4)              | NAY (0)              | APPROVED Jared Peterson Abstained      |
| 76 |      |   |                      |                      |  |
| 77 | MC   | DTION TO ADJOUI   | RN Nelson Abbott     | motioned to adjo     | urn Jared Peterson seconded.           |
| 78 |      | LOTT  |                      |                      |  |
| 79 |      | VOTE:   | AYE (5)              | NAY (                | 0) APPROVED                            |
| 80 |      |   |                      | /-                   |  |
| 81 |      |   |                      | $ X_a$               | man Mon                                |
| 82 |      |   |                      | Laura Oliver,        | Deputy Recorder                        |
|    |      |   |                      |                      |  |



### **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, May 11, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

- 1. Lighthouse Heights Subdivision Preliminary Plat Discussion
- 2. 21/22 Tentative Budget
- 3. Nuisance Code see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 10th day of May 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 10th day of May 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment see attachment

| 1        | ELK RIDGE   |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2<br>3   | CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>May 11, 2021  |  |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING   |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday, May 11, 2021</b> ,   |  |  |  |  |
| 7<br>8   | at 7:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.<br>Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.                                |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on May 10, 2021.   |  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11 | ROLL CALL   |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis   |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson   |  |  |  |  |
| 14<br>15 | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director<br>Public: Judy Grantham, Dan Towsey, Jan Hellewell, Maria Hawkins, Terry Bjarnson, Kara Bjarnson, Scot Bell,                        |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | Denise Partridge, Wendy Scribner, Linda Buytendorp, Rex Tolman, Gary Winterton, Nate Brusik   |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION   |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | Opening remarks were offered by Cory Thompson   |  |  |  |  |
| 19<br>20 | Pledge lead by Gary Winterton   |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23 | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME JIM CHASE   |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       |   |  |  |  |  |
| 26<br>27 | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED<br>PUBLIC FORUM  |  |  |  |  |
| 28       | Judy Grantham lives in the 55+ community and her backyard faces the proposed Lighthouse Subdivision. Judy stated she  |  |  |  |  |
| 29       | would like a barrier along the upper road in the proposed subdivision; she is concerned about cars sliding off the  |  |  |  |  |
| 30<br>31 | road and into the home below and is concerned about losing the open space and wildlife.<br>Dan Towse stated he also lives in 55+ community he is concerned the city does not have a master plan. He was drawn to the                        |  |  |  |  |
| 32       | area by the wildlife and open space and is concerned about losing these important things. How far back from Hillside  |  |  |  |  |
| 33<br>34 | Dr. is the development and where is the first home located.<br><u>Terry Bjarnson</u> stated he live is the 55+ community and he agrees with everyone before him and is concerned about the  |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | roadway and the erosion problems on the hillside.   |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | Scot Bell passed out a handout showing the elevations of the hillside where Lighthouse Subdivision is and is concerned about  |  |  |  |  |
| 37<br>38 | the natural drainage, concerned about the elevation from one side of the intersection to the other, and the backfill behind Parkside Cove and its stability.  |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | Kent Partridge stated he lives in senior 55+ community and would like some kind of barrier on the elbow of the cul-de-sac   |  |  |  |  |
| 40       | and a site obscuring fence with gaps for the deer, and wants to make sure the retention basin is properly engineered<br>he is right below it and is wondering if there is an overflow for it and where is that water going to go.           |  |  |  |  |
| 41<br>42 | Rex Tolman stated he is concerned for the impact this development would have on the wildlife and the natural drainage of the  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | area.   |  |  |  |  |
| 44<br>45 | Gary Winterton stated that his father started Elk Ridge and he loves the city and watching it grow. His concern is being able to access a piece of land he owns that will be landlocked. He spoke of different easements and is hoping that |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | something can be worked out so that he can continue to be able to access his property.  |  |  |  |  |
| 47       | Nate Brusik stated he is the developer of Lighthouse Subdivision and all the concerns stated are all valid. All of the concerns   |  |  |  |  |
| 48<br>49 | have been addressed and will continue to be addressed. The drainage off the hillside on Elk Ridge Dr. and Hillside Dr will improve. Nate went through the history of finding the property and talking to the landowners behind the          |  |  |  |  |
| 50       | original lots along Elk Ridge Dr. Nate Brusik is putting in place everything needed to protect the existing homes as  |  |  |  |  |
| 51       | well as his own development.  |  |  |  |  |
| 52<br>53 | REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:  |  |  |  |  |
| 54<br>55 | 1. LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT DISCUSSION   |  |  |  |  |
| 55<br>56 | Tricia Thomas stated that she thinks that the concerns are legitimate but also thinks that there is a lot of  |  |  |  |  |
| 57       | "I'm here shut the gates". She feels the same way. But if someone owns property and they meet all the   |  |  |  |  |
| 58       | expectation and rules, Council does not have the right to tell them "no".   |  |  |  |  |

| <ul> <li>First bullet point for the requested exceptions from the city engineer:</li> <li>The proposed project includes a cul-de-sac that is approximately 728 feet in length. Per City Ordinance</li> <li>Section 10-15-C54(2): Cul-De-Sac target <i>T</i> the maximum length of a cul-de-sac thall be five hundred feet (500?) to measured from the nearest right-of-way line of the adjacent street to the center point of the turnaround with its more than size (16) divelling units accessing the cul-de-sac. The Humming Commission may grant an exception up to a maximum length of 20th hundred feet (600?) in single-family duelting divelopments where the configuration into the paraty in the cul-de-sac. The Humming Commission may grant an exception the total street system. The number of dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family duelting divelopments to a cul-de-sac state the configuration to the maximum number of dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family duelting divelopments to a cul-de-sac stall the Flamming Commission may grant an exception at the maximum feet the cul-de-sac stall the Flamming (20) with culo methy divelopments to a cul-de-sac stall the Flamming (20) with culo methy divelopments to a cul-de-sac stall the Flamming (20) with culo methy divelopments to a cul-de-sac stall the Flamming (20) duvelling units. An exception is generated (20) with a culo the topoget length falls within the maximum length of 200 (20) maximum length. In a cas shall the Flamming Commission mas authority to approve the exception.</li> <li>Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a hydram. The fire department will make recommediation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it that the council has to approve it.</li> <li>Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer</li> <li>Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. Howe</li></ul>            | 59  |   |
|--|-----|---|
| <ul> <li>The proposed project includes a cul-de-sac that is approximately 728 feet in length. Per City Ordinance Section 10-15e-5(B): Cul-De-Sac Length: The maximum length of a cul-de-sac shall be five hundred feet (5007) as measured from the marres right-of-yeay line of the adjacent street to the cuenter point of the transnormal with no more than sistem (16) alwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac. The Planning Commission may grant an exception up to a maximum length of eight hundred feet (8007) is single-family threelling developments where the configuration or topography of the land reasonably limits may not be increased from the maximum of statem (16) divelling units when the increased length exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the increased length exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the usenty-four (24) units along the five hundred foot (5007) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of divelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission from a correl system. The proposed length falls within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.</li> <li>Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer</li> <li>Currently, generally, City does not allow cut and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Hakkell Dryland Subdivision and the proposed due to the proposed due to a hydrant. The fire dapathenet will make recommendation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to</li></ul>      |     | First bullet point for the requested exceptions from the city engineer:   |
| <ul> <li>Section 10-15c-5(B): Cul-De-Sac Lengit: The maximum length of a cul-de-sac shall be five hundred for the numaround with no more than sizteen (16) dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac. The Planning Commission may grant an exception up to a maximum length of eight hundred fet (800) in single-family dwelling vertal access point to the local street system. The number of dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the hore of dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family dwelling where the increased length exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the uncertained length exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the uncertained length exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the uncertained length acception expanding the maximum length of a dwelling units. Accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family dwelling developments to a total twenty-four (24) units along the five hundred for (500) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed elength falls within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the exception.</li> <li>Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.</li> <li>Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer</li> <li>Currently, generally, City does not allow cut and fills over 7 fee deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge</li></ul>          |     |   |
| 63       feet (500) as measured from the nearest right-of- way line of the adjacent street to the center point of the turnaround with no more than streen (16) dwelling units accessing the cul-desa. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the advelling units and effect (800) in single-family dwelling developments where the configuration or topography of the land reasonably limits the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The number of dwelling units may not be increased from the maximum of sitteen (16) dwelling units more of dwelling units and the increased lingth on may grant an exception to the maximum number of dwelling units accessing the cul-de-ace in multi-family dwelling developments to a total twenty-four (24) units along the five hundred foot (500) maximum length no case shall the Planning Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length of leanning to dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-ace length due to the topography limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed the exception.         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has alrady approved it that e council has to approve it.         80       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         81       Currently, generally, Giy does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. Hower, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and the proposed cul-de-local street system. The mainteger development has sheet (fild) and subdivision additional cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an   |     |   |
| 64       The turnaround with no more than statem (16) dwelling units accessing the ucid-dessac. The Fluanning         65       Commission mays grant an excend the configuration or topography of the land reasonably limits         66       family dwelling developments where the configuration or topography of the land reasonably limits         67       the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The number of dwelling units         68       may not be increased from the maximum dystatem (16) dwelling units schemested length         69       exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the maximum number of         70       dwelling units accessing the culd-dessac in multi-family dwelling developments to a total neverty-four         71       (24) units along the five hundred foot (300) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning         71       (24) units along the five hundred foot (300) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning         72       Commission grant a combined exception is necessary for the proposed cul-d-sac length due to the topography         73       dwelling units access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         74       limits the maximum length of 800 in which the Planning Commission has autority to approve the         75       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         76       Discussion ensued on fire hoses exception from the city engineer     <   |     |   |
| 65         Commission may grant an exception up to a maximum length of eight humber of 2000 (1000) in single-           66         family dwelling developments where the configuration or topsgraphy of the land reasonably limits the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The number of dwelling units may not be increased from the maximum of stateen (16) dwelling units may not be increased from the maximum of stateen (16) dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family dwelling developments to a total twenty-four (24) units along the first humder food (2007) maximum length in no case shall the Planning Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length of the topography of dwelling units. An exception is recessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography for dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the exception.           77         Discussion ensued on fire hores being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a lydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it that council has to approve it.           82         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           83         • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. Hower, being on a mountain side this can be a challeng and the rear arenas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the H   |     |   |
| 66         Jamily dwelling developments where the configuration or topography of the land reasonably limits           67         the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The number of dwelling units           68         may not be increased from the maximum of streen (16) dwelling units when the increased length           69         exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the maximum number of           70         dwelling units accessing the cui-de-sac in multi-family dwelling developments to a total versory-four           71         (24) units along the five hundred foot (300) maximum length. In no case shalt the Planning           73         dowelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed clength due to the topography           74         limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls           76         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how           78         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how           78         Discussion ensued on fire requested exception from the city engineer           78         Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the           78         Currently, genenally, City does not allow cuts for feet deep. How   |     |   |
| 67         the ability to provide is second access point in the local street system. The number of dwelling units may not be increased from the maximum of stateen (16) dwelling units when the increased length           68         may not be increased from the maximum of stateen (16) dwelling units when the increased length           69         exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the maximum number of           61         dwelling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family dwelling dwellopments to a total twenty-four           62         Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of           77         dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography           78         biscussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how           78         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how           79         long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the           78         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being adle to access a such as the Haskell Dylland Subdivision and the           78         Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and file sover 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dylland Subdivision and the           79         ong a necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drinainge  |     |   |
| 66         may not be increased from the maximum of sixteen (16) dwelling units when the increased length           69         exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the maximum number of           70         dwelling units accessing the cuid-de-sac in multi-family dwelling developments to a total neomy-four           71         (24) units along the five hundred foot (500) maximum length. In no case shalt the Planning           73         dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cuid-de-sac length due to the topography           74         limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length fails           74         mixing the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length fails           75         within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the           76         exception.           77         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how           78         Discussion ensued on fire requested exception from the city engineer           78         Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain           78         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           79         our archily, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain   |     |   |
| 66         exception is granted. The Planning Commission may grant an exception to the maximum number of<br>develling units accessing the cul-de-sac in multi-family developments to a total trenty-four<br>(24) units along the five hundred foot (500') maximum length. In no case shall the Planning<br>Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of<br>develling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography<br>limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls<br>within the maximum length of 800' in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the<br>exception.           77         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how<br>long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the<br>TRC. Planning Commission has altered approved it but the council has to approve it.           80         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           81         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           82         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           83         • Currently, generally. City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain<br>side this can be a challenge and there are areas such at III depth. In the example of the Haskell<br>Dryland Subdivision additional           84         the order Canyon Road without cutting a driveway wint to haltisde. In both cases there is<br>special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement is<br>special attention given to how the cuts and fills are  |     |   |
| dwelling units accessing the cut-di-scac in multif-family dwelling developments to a total neenty-four         (24) units along the five hundred foot (500) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning         Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of         dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cut-de-scale length due to the topography         limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls         response       exception.         The proposed length falls.       by our prove the exception.         The provide the exception.       fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the exception.         The Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the maximum length. Job constant and the transmitter of the dep. However, being on a mountain side this can be challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         Cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area         where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example were traves may to access the parcel from Loader Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside to enter the exaction day an example. The the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior   |     |   |
| 11       (24) mits along the five hundred for (500) maximum length. In no case shall the Planning         12       Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum number of         13       dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography         14       limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls         15       within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the         16       exception.         17       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         10       ng a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         18       The commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.         18       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         18       Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         16       Currently, generally, City does not allow that addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hilliside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the   |     |   |
| Commission grant a combined exception expanding the maximum length and the maximum runther of<br>dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cul-de-sac length due to the topography<br>limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls<br>within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the<br>exception.           77         Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how<br>long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the<br>Base can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the<br>TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.           81         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           82         Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer           83         • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain<br>side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the<br>Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell<br>Dryland Subdivision additional           86         parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is<br>special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to<br>the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade<br>requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the<br>property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet o   |     |   |
| 73       dwelling units. An exception is necessary for the proposed cu-lessar length due to the topography         74       limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls         75       within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the         76       exception.         77       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         80       TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         83       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain slde this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         84       Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills areasfort pervent rosion and settlement due to the astreation agrive and the property. This cut requires th   |     |   |
| 74       limiting the ability to provide a second access point to the local street system. The proposed length falls         75       within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the exception.         77       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         78       Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel hydre City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         76       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area         76       parcel from Loafer Caryno Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is         77       parcel for Doafer Caryno  |     |   |
| 75       within the maximum length of 800° in which the Planning Commission has authority to approve the exception.         77       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         78       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         82       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         83       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         84       Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         85       parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the action. As an example, w  |     |   |
| 6       exception.         77       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         78       TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         82       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         83       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision adthe Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision adtor food other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to mey the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and a settlement due to the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to mey the aximum grade requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of 1  |     |   |
| <ul> <li>Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.</li> <li>Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer</li> <li>Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet dep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional</li> <li>cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade requirements for both intersection and strees the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into a right so the extent of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the City staff asked the applicant is requirements, the abruct boy of the exclastion would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C</li></ul>         |     |   |
| 78       Discussion ensued on fire hoses being able to access a certain distance and the department is limited on how         79       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         80       TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         82       Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision diditional         84       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of the spreel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the hillside to enter the property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of         93       material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has   |     | exception.  |
| 9       long a hose can be connected to a hydrant. The fire department will make recommendation accordingly in the         80       TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the concil has to approve it.         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         82       Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         86       Dryland Subdivision additional         87       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade         93       requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the intersection and a maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the City staff asked the applicant to provide  |     | Discussion annual on fire bases being able to access a contain distance and the demontry out is limited on h      |
| 80       TRC. Planning Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.         81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         83       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         86       Dryland Subdivision additional         87       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area         88       where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is         90       special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to         91       the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of         92       the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade         93       requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the         94       property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of         95       material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a maximum of 8% slope on th  |     |   |
| 81       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         82       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         86       Dryland Subdivision additional         87       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area         88       where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the         90       special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to         91       the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of         92       the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade         93       requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the         93       requirements for both intersection and streets. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the         94       property. This cut requires that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the         94       the exynoted tha publicant to provide a drawing is found on Sheet CS.0. Negative numbers         95       material. By doing so the propery   |     |   |
| 82       Second bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer         83       • Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional         86       Dryland Subdivision additional         87       cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area         88       where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the         89       parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is         90       special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to         91       the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of         92       the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade         93       requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the         94       protery. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of         95       material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the  |     | The. Flamming Commission has already approved it but the council has to approve it.                               |
| <ul> <li>Currently, generally, City does not allow cuts and fills over 7 feet deep. However, being on a mountain side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell Dryland Subdivision additional</li> <li>cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the parcel from Loafer Caryon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing its found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from existing ground. The applicant is requesting an exception to the maximum sever-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed,</li></ul> |     | Second hullet point for requested exception from the city engineer  |
| 84side this can be a challenge and there are areas such as the Haskell Dryland Subdivision and the85Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell86Dryland Subdivision additional87cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area88where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the89parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is90special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of93the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the<   |     |   |
| 85Houghton parcel where the City has allowed additional cut and fill depth. In the example of the Haskell<br>Dryland Subdivision additional86Dryland Subdivision additional87cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area<br>where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the<br>parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is<br>special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to<br>the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of<br>2293requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the<br>property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of<br>maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the<br>height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has<br>been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the<br>City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent<br>of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers<br>show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from<br>existing ground. The applicant is requesting an<br>exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the<br>challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading<br>these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways<br>to access the dwellings. It is important  |     |   |
| 86Dryland Subdivision additional87cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area88where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the89parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is90special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and   |     |   |
| 87cut and fill was necessary to slope the interior streets toward the east to get drainage out to an area88where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the89parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is90special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102excisting ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt   |     |   |
| 88where it would not flood other properties. In the Houghton example there was no way to access the<br>parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is<br>special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to<br>the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of<br>the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade<br>requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the<br>property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of<br>maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the<br>height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has<br>been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the<br>City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers<br>show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from<br>existing ground. The applicant is requesting an<br>exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the<br>challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading<br>these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways<br>to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being<br>proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant<br>and reshapes the site.100Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and<br>some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge ho  |     |   |
| 89parcel from Loafer Canyon Road without cutting a driveway into the hillside. In both cases there is90special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104chalenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrup  |     |   |
| 90special attention given to how the cuts and fills are addressed to prevent erosion and settlement due to91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access th  |     |   |
| 91the action. As an example, with the proposed subdivision, the only possible way to access the interior of92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the103challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading104challenges with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant105and reshapes the site.106Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and107proposed with this consideration. The  |     |   |
| 92the parcel is to bring a street from Elk Ridge Drive. In order to meet the City maximum grade93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes   |     |   |
| 93requirements for both intersection and streets the only solution is to cut into the hillside to enter the94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the90City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent910of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers92show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from93exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the94challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading95these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways96to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being97proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant98and reshapes the site.99Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and98some of the lots won't be able to   |     |   |
| 94property. This cut requires the removal of 13 to 14 feet of95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the97height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the buildable area. Discussion   |     |   |
| 95material. By doing so the proposed street is a gentle slope of 4% for 100 feet into the intersection and a<br>maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the<br>height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has<br>been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the<br>City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent<br>of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers<br>show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from<br>existing ground. The applicant is requesting an<br>exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the<br>challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading<br>these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways<br>to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being<br>proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant<br>and reshapes the site.100Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and<br>some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
| 96maximum of 8% slope on the remainder of the street. This also has an added benefit that it reduces the<br>height of the exposed face on the Parkside Cove property. A large area in the proposed development has<br>been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the<br>City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent<br>of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers<br>show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from<br>existing ground. The applicant is requesting an<br>exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the<br>challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading<br>these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways<br>to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being<br>proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant<br>and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and<br>some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   | 95  |   |
| 98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109110110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   | 96  |   |
| 98been cut down to create lots that have useful buildable areas. Knowing that this was being proposed the99City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109110110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     | •   |
| 100of the excavation would be clearly understood. The drawing is found on Sheet C5.0. Negative numbers101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars  | 98  |   |
| 101show the depth of cut from the existing ground and positive numbers show the depth of fill from102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars  | 99  | City staff asked the applicant to provide a drawing showing the cut and fill areas on a grid so the extent        |
| 102existing ground. The applicant is requesting an103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars  | 100 |   |
| 103exception to the maximum seven-foot cut and fill requirements. This drawing clearly shows one of the104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars  |     |   |
| 104challenges with this parcel is that the ground quickly slopes upward from Elk Ridge Drive. By regrading105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109110110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   | 102 |   |
| 105these areas, the abrupt slopes are removed, and the lots will not require cuts an fills and steep driveways106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109110110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
| 106to access the dwellings. It is important that the Planning Commission is comfortable with what is being107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
| 107proposed with this consideration. There is no question that the earthwork being proposed is significant108and reshapes the site.109Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
| 108and reshapes the site.109100110Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and111some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
| 10911011011<   |     |   |
| Discussion ensued on taking 13 feet off the top of the development. Slopes over 30% cannot be touched and<br>some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars  |     | and reshapes the site.  |
| some of the lots won't be able to hold a huge home due to the buildable area. Discussion also ensued on cars   |     |   |
|  |     |   |
| backing out onto and main road. A field trip is planned for the next meeting for council to go to the hillside so  |     |   |
|  | 112 | backing out onto and main road. A field trip is planned for the next meeting for council to go to the hillside so |

| 113        | that council can see exactly what is being cut off the top and how the hillside will change and the elevations of |
|------------|---|
| 114        | the hillside and the proposed road.   |
| 115        | Discussion ensued on the compaction time of the fill being 6-12 months. Council has concerns with this and        |
| 116        | would like the development to be done all at once so the once the grading is done and something goes wrong the    |
| 117        | city isn't left with a big scar. This is something that would go in the development agreement.                    |
| 118        |   |
| 119        | Third bullet point for requested exception from the city engineer:  |
| 120        | • The final exception request is partly brought on by a request from the City staff. Due to the exposed           |
| 121        | slope in Parkside Cove and its proximity to Lighthouse Circle the City requested that the sidewalk be             |
| 122        | constructed at the back of curb thus allowing the traditional park strip width to be an added buffer              |
| 123        | between the sidewalk and the slope. This will help with safety if a child riding a bike rides off the             |
| 124        | sidewalk and also will simplify maintenance for the City as the landscaping will not be split between             |
| 125        | the two sides. The request is to allow the sidewalk to be located along the back of curb which is an              |
| 126        | exception from the traditional street cross section. If the Planning Commission is in agreement with              |
| 127        | any of the requested exceptions, please include the recommendation to approve the exceptions in the               |
| 128        | motion.   |
| 129        |   |
| 130        | Discussion ensued on who would maintain the retention pond and surrounding area behind the sidewalk.              |
| 131        | Discussion ensued on whether the retention pond is big enough to handle the runoff and how the retentions         |
| 132        | pond respond. The retention pond, by code, has to handle a 100 year storm for that subdivision and that most      |
| 133        | runoff goes down Elk Ridge Dr. The lot on the cul-de-sac has a gas line going through the back part of the        |
| 134        | property which cannot be moved and cannot be built on and perhaps the cul-de-sac should be shortened a bit        |
| 135        | and lot 12 enlarged.  |
| 136        |   |
| 137        | 2. <u>21/22 TENTATIVE BUDGET</u>  |
| 138        | Mayor Ellis stated that tonight they will go through the enterprise funds. The city is not going to increase      |
| 139        | the water rate at this point but will plan on doing a complete water analysis. The increase may also depend       |
| 140        | on an SESD increase. Payson is raising rates .79 a month per household. The 2021/22 sewer fund is                 |
| 141        | running negative \$5900. It has been addressed on the expense side of the budget but not on the revenue           |
| 142        | side. The city needs to raise sewer rates \$2.00 a month per household (tentatively); the city cannot run         |
| 143        | negative on an enterprise fund. The city charges \$14.25 per can (first can). The second can is \$8.25 this is    |
| 144        | \$1.25 under what should be charged. The second can is being subsidized by the first can which needs to           |
| 145        | stop. Recycle can is \$10 a month which needs to be \$11.38 per can. All cans need to go to true cost lower       |
| 146        | the first can to \$13.95 increase second can to \$9.80 and recycle to \$11.40. Does the council want to raise it  |
| 147        | once for the next 3-4 years or yearly at actual current costs. The city projects are funded from, sewer, water    |
| 148        | etc. which come out of these funds. Storm drain needs \$1,500,000 next year. There would have to be an            |
| 149        | \$8-\$10 increase on storm drain and that's just barely touching what is possibly needed. Storm drain is going    |
| 150        | to be included in the capital facilities, so the city understands what is really needed. Right now storm drain    |
| 151        | will not increase until we understand what is needed more clearly.  |
| 152        | Discussion ensued on other items that council would like in the budget such as animal mitigation.                 |
| 153        | 2 NUISANCE CODE   |
| 154<br>155 | 3. NUISANCE CODE<br>CORV. THOMPSON MOTIONED TO TABLE THE NURSANCE CODE FOR THIS EVENING                           |
| 156        | CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO TABLE THE NUISANCE CODE FOR THIS EVENING JARED PETERSON SECONDED                        |
| 157        | JARED PETERSON SECONDED   |
| 158        | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED  |
| 159        | VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED   |
| 160        | MOTION TO ADJOURN Nelson Abbott motioned to adjourn Jared Peterson seconded.                                      |
| 161        | WOTTON TO ADJOORN Nelson Abbout motioned to adjourn jared Peterson seconded.                                      |
| 162        | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED  |
| 163        | NAT (0) AFFROVED  |
| 164        | Laura Merer   |
| 165        | Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder   |
|            | Duara Onter, Deputy Accorder  |



# **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, May 25,</u> <u>2021 at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be preceded by a Work Session at 6:00. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

# 6:00 PM WORK SESSION

1. Field Trip Lighthouse Subdivision

## 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

| 1.<br>2.<br>3. | Lighthouse Subdivision<br>Dan Ford Subdivision Concept Discussion<br>Appointment of Planning Commission Members | see attachment                                     |
|----------------|---|--|
| 4.<br>5.<br>6. | 21-22 Tentative Budget<br>Financial Review<br>Approval of City Council Minutes for April 27, 2021               | see attachment<br>see attachment<br>see attachment |
| wo             | RK SESSION CONT.  |  |
| 3.<br>4.       | Cul-de-sac Frontage: Hillside Residential Zone<br>General Plan Hillside Residential<br>Animal Code Discussion   | see attachment see attachment                      |
|                | Nuisance Code   | see attachment                                     |

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

# Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 24<sup>th</sup> day of May 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

# **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of May 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1<br>2<br>3  | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>May 25, 2021   |  |  |  |  |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 4  | Hity 20, 2021   |  |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6<br>7<br>8<br>9                              | <ul> <li>TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING         This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday, May 25, 2021</u>,         <u>at 7:00 PM</u>. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.         Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.         Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on May 24, 2021.     </li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16<br>17       | <ul> <li>ROLL CALL         <ul> <li>Mayor: Ty Ellis</li> <li>Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson</li> <li>Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i>, David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i>, Shay Stark, <i>City Planner</i>, Deputy Gary Coffe,</li> </ul> </li> <li>Public: Judy Grantham, Terry Bjornson, Kara Bjornson, Scot Bell, Gary Winterton, Nate Brusik, Bob Ward, Dan Ford,</li> </ul>  |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19<br>20<br>21                               | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION<br>Opening remarks were offered by Cory Thompson<br>Pledge lead by Nelson Abbott  |  |  |  |  |
| 22   | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24<br>25<br>26                               | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME JARED PETERSON SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>27   | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36 | <ul> <li>PUBLIC FORUM</li> <li><u>Gary Winterton</u> stated that easement by necessity may be used in order to access his property.</li> <li><u>Terry Bjarnson</u> stated that he cannot find the information on the subdivision. Mayor asked that Royce help Terry after meeting with the website.</li> <li><u>Kara Bjarnson</u> would like to know if the city has decided to create precedent in cutting the hilltop.</li> <li><u>Judy Grantham</u> lives in the 55+ and her backyard faces the proposed Lighthouse Subdivision. Has the city engineers or county engineers surveyed the property in the last 10-20 years regarding water, drainage, irrigation, sewer lines, soil erosion and flooding. Has the city consulted the city attorney's office regarding prior development proposals for these same</li> </ul> |  |  |  |  |
| 37   | properties. She understands that this has been turned down for development in the past.   |  |  |  |  |
| 38<br>39<br>40                                     | WORK SESSION           1. FIELD TRIP LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION           City council and Mayor Ellis went to the Lighthouse Heights Subdivision property.   |  |  |  |  |
| 41<br>42   | <b>REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:</b>   |  |  |  |  |
| 43<br>44   | 1. LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION   |  |  |  |  |
| 45<br>46<br>47<br>48                               | <u>Tricia Thompson</u> confirmed with Shay Stark that Lighthouse was asked to put sumps in the cul-de-sac. <u>Shay</u><br><u>Stark</u> confirmed this for the overflow. <u>Cory Thompson</u> is concerned about the drainage pipe and the slope of<br>the pipe not being sufficient for flow. <u>Shay Stark</u> stated that there are tests that are required to make sure there<br>is flow. If there are areas under 1% slope if there is ponding or stoppage, then the pipe is removed and flow   |  |  |  |  |
| 49<br>50<br>51                                     | corrected. The pond has been designed to handle the industry standard 100 year storm. There will be a 12" pipe<br>in place for overflow to mitigate anything over a 100 year old storm. As a pipe gets bigger it can be laid flatter<br>and still have flow unlike a small pipe or a pipe that is too big and flat which could collect leaves and debris.   |  |  |  |  |
| 52<br>53<br>54<br>55                               | The pipe is 78"-79" set vertical, and water will flow over into it. The end of the pipe is down in the box. By having flow come off the top of the pond you don't have all the force of the water into the retention pond pushing on that pipe. 79 is at the top of the pond and 78 is the height of the grate. Mayor Ellis stated that he has been   |  |  |  |  |
| 55<br>56<br>57<br>58                               | concerned that the impact of storms have been underestimated in the past and wants to be sure not to<br>underestimated them now. <u>Shay Stark</u> stated as an engineering firm, standard equations are used otherwise the<br>firm, any firm, could be found liable. With storm water there are standard processes and equations, so much in<br>fact that the federal government paid to create the original software that is used to do those calculations. Shay  |  |  |  |  |

| 59       | Stark has found through his experience in Elk Ridge, mathematics work but we are going above and beyond  |
|----------|--|
| 60       | the standards. Cory Thompson is concerned about what type of ground the pipe is going to be in and about the   |
| 61       | pipe settling and interfering with flow. Shay Stark stated that he thought the pipe would be placed in natural   |
| 62       | soil. Discussion ensued on how deep the pipe will be. Jared Peterson stated that the deepest part of the trench is   |
| 63       | about 10 feet below the sidewalk after the haircut. Tricia Thomas asked if the homes in the back are not built   |
| 64       | and only the homes along Elk Ridge Dr are built would there still be a haircut. Shay Stark stated that there were  |
| 65       | no actual plans submitted for that concept. Mayor Ellis stated that he is still concerned about the drainage. Jared  |
| 66       | Peterson recapped the pond will hold a 100-year storm, sumps were added, and there is an overflow pipe. Shay   |
| 67       | Stark's one concern was that the neighborhood below would be flooded so extra measures were added.   |
| 68       | Discussion ensued on the cut of the hill and whether it is setting precedence. Jared Peterson stated that there is   |
| 69       | more than a 7-foot cut on Parkside Cove. Discussion ensued on Mr. Winterton's property, and zero maintenance   |
| 70       | on the retaining pond. Mayor Ellis stated the matter to tonight is to vote on the exception to cut the hilltop.  |
| 71       |  |
| 72       | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE EXCEPTION FOR THE 13 1/2 FOOT CUT,   |
| 73       | WHICH IS 7 FEET BEYOND WHAT IS ALLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS, AS   |
| 74       | PRESENTED JARED PETERSON SECONDED  |
| 75       |  |
| 76       | VOTE:AYE (3)NAY (2)Approved  |
| 77       |  |
| 78<br>70 | Cory Thompson and Nelson Abbott vote NAY   |
| 79       | 2 DAN FORD SURDIVISION CONCEPT DISCUSSION  |
| 80<br>81 | 2. <u>DAN FORD SUBDIVISION CONCEPT DISCUSSION</u><br>Show Stark stated that in the Concert Plan the area lageted down Leafer Converse Read would be in the former of the second down to be a state of the seco |
| 81       | Shay Stark stated that in the General Plan the area located down Loafer Canyon Road would remain a natural   |
| 82       | area maybe with a trail or other amenity to protect that drainage. This drainage area is important enough for the city to put it in the general plan to protect and preserve it and zone it as public use and not residential. Another   |
| 83       | item the city needs another road to get people out of the city. The idea is to bring people down Canyon View   |
| 85       | and Sunset, across Kimber's property and down on to Loafer Canyon. Dominion Gas likes the plan of having   |
| 86       | the gas line under the road, which is the best protection for it. The city has had some casual discussion with the   |
| 87       | Kimber's about a road crossing the property at Sunset, which they prefer it over the gas line as well. There is  |
| 88       | flexibility in the back of these lots to align the road accordingly. Currently this is zoned R&L20,000. Due to the   |
| 89       | amount of ground they are giving to the city, the development is asking for more density by mixing in some   |
| 90       | smaller lots, which are at least 14,000 sq ft. with some open space. There are a couple things that can be done  |
| 91       | without setting precedence and keeping it equitable to the development and beneficial to the city. The city could  |
| 92       | consider rezoning to R1-15,000. The general plan must be amended at the same time. The zone change would   |
| 93       | only increase the density of about 5-6 lots. Discussion ensued on the property along Loafer Canyon road. <u>Mayor</u>  |
| 94       | <u>Ellis</u> stated that this is to let the developer know if the council is agreeable to the concept presented before more  |
| 95       | funds are put towards the project.   |
| 96       | son sense i sen  |
| 97       | 3. APPOINTMENT OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS  |
| 98       | Mayor Ellis stated that he has appointed to new members to the Planning Commission. Maureen Bushman will   |
| 99       | be an alternate and Jared Case will be the fulltime commission member.   |
| 100      |  |
| 101      | 4. 21-22 TENTATIVE BUDGET  |
| 102      | Mayor Ellis stated the city is not changing any water rates at this time. The city will look at water rates again in   |
| 103      | December. Payson raised sewer cost \$.79 which brings the operating revenue to zero. The city needs operating  |
| 104      | revenue to be at 2%. The Mayor recommends an increase of \$2.25 for sewer to cover operating costs. Jared  |
| 105      | Peterson asked why the city doesn't become a partner with Payson instead of a customer. Storm Drain - the city   |
| 106      | is still needing some numbers from Aqua Engineering for the Capital Facilities analysis.   |
| 107      | Garbage -lower first can rate from \$14.25 to \$13.90 and raise the second can from \$8.25 to \$9.75. The second   |
| 108      | can is being subsidized by the first can this gets rid of the subsidy. Recycle- raise from \$10. To \$11. This is in   |
| 109      | anticipation of Republic Services raising rates. As prices for recycling increase residents are changing out the   |
| 110      | recycle can for a second can. Next meeting is the public hearing for the tentative budget.   |
| 111      |  |
| 112      | 5. <u>FINANCIAL REVIEW</u>   |
| 113      | There were no concerns.  |
| 114      |  |
|          |  |

| 115        | 6. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR APRIL 27, 2021 |                                   |                      |                    |   |
|------------|--|-----------------------------------|----------------------|--------------------|---|
| 116        |  |                                   |                      |                    |   |
| 117        |  | JARED PETERSON MC                 | TIONED TO A          | PPROVE THE         | CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR APRIL 27,                  |
| 118        |  | 2021 JIM CHASE SECO               | NDED                 |                    |   |
| 119<br>120 |  | VOTE:                             | AVE (E)              | NIAN (O)           |   |
| 120        |  | VOIE:                             | AYE (5)              | NAY (0)            | APPROVED  |
| 121        |  | MOTION TO ADJOUR                  | N NELSON AR          | POTT MOTION        | NED TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR CITY                     |
| 123        |  | COUNCIL MEETING ANI               | TO GO BACK           | INTO WORK SI       | ESSION CORY THOMPSON SECONDED.                      |
| 124        |  |                                   | o to do brien        | intro work of      | LISION CONTINUES ON SECONDED.                       |
| 125        |  | VOTE:                             | AYE (5)              | NAY (0)            | APPROVED  |
| 126        |  |                                   | (-)                  |                    |   |
| 127        | WORK SESSI   | ION CONTINUED                     |                      |                    |   |
| 128        | 2.   | CUL-DE-SAC FRONTA                 | CE HILLSIDE E        | PESIDENTIAL 7      | IONE  |
| 120        |  |                                   | SE MELSIDE F         | LSIDENTIALZ        | LONE  |
| 129        |  | Royce Swensen explained           | that in all the oth  | er zones cul-de-sa | ac frontage can be a minimum of 60 feet. This is    |
| 130        |  | missing in Hillside Residen       | tial, and it needs t | o be consistent w  | ith the other zones.                                |
|            | 2  |                                   |                      |                    |   |
| 131        | 3.   | GENERAL PLAN HILLSIDE RESIDENTIAL |                      |                    |   |
| 132        |  | The General Plan refers to H      | Hillside Residentia  | al as having anima | al rights the code needs to be updated accordingly. |
|            |  |                                   |                      |                    |   |
| 133        | 4.   | ANIMAL CODE DISCUS                | SSION                |                    |   |
| 134        |  | Discussion ensued on animation    | al rights.           |                    |   |
| 105        | -  | NUIGANCE CODE                     |                      |                    |   |
| 135        | 5.   | NUISANCE CODE                     |                      |                    |   |
| 136        |  | Council went through current      | nt draft and discus  | sed how fines are  | determined. This will be on the next council work   |
| 137        | session.   | 5                                 |                      |                    | second and the second of the next coulder work      |
|            |  |                                   |                      |                    |   |
| 138        |  |                                   |                      |                    |   |
| 139        |  |                                   |                      | $\varphi$          | A.  |
| 140        |  |                                   |                      | Lai                | ua Muer   |
| 141        |  |                                   |                      | Laura Oliver       | , Deputy Recorder                                   |



### **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, June 8, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

#### 7:00 PM PUBLIC HEARING

0004/0000 7

| 20                                     | 21/2022 Tentative Budget  | see attachment |
|--|---|----------------|
| (<br>F<br>A                            | GULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:<br>Opening Remarks if Volunteered<br>Pledge of Allegiance<br>Approval/Agenda Time Frame<br>Roll Call   |                |
| 1.<br>2.<br>3.<br>4.<br>5.<br>6.<br>7. | Eagle Scout Presentation: Scout Jake<br>Fire Department Recap: Fire Chief Waite<br>Survey Presentation: Ron Gailey<br>Lighthouse Heights Subdivision Preliminary Plat<br>C&L Estates, Accept into the City and Accept into Durability<br>4 Way Stop Sign Meadow Lark and Christley<br>Watering Restrictions | see attachment |

- 8. 2021/2022 Tentative Budget Approval
- 9. Nuisance Code
- 10. City Council Minutes for May 11, 2021
- 11. City Council Minutes for May 25, 2021

see attachment see attachment see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 47h day of June 2021.

amali

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

# CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 7th day of June 2021.

wer, alla Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 52       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 1        | ELK RIDGE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3<br>4   | June 8, 2021   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This Public Hearing and regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | Tuesday, June 8, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | Ridge, Utah.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11 | Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on May 24, 2021.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Mayor: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director, Deputy Gary Coffey, Seth Waite,   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16       | Firechief  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17<br>18 | Public: Cindi Ellis, Jeremy Johnson, Paul Crook, Bob Clark, Aaron Ludwig, Reuben Lisonbee, Gary Winterton,   |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Dan Towsey, Saundra Burke, Brian Burke, Brad Turner, Jennifer Yorgensen, Travis Tasker   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>21 | Opening remarks were offered by Cory Thompson<br>Pledge lead by Scout  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME WITH THE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26<br>27 | ADDITION OF PUBLIC FORUM AND TIME FOR EDUCATIONAL PURPOSES JIM CHASE SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29       | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | PUBLIC HEARING - 2021/2022 TENTATIVE BUDGET  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       | Mayor Ellis opened the public hearing  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33<br>34 | There were no comments from the public regarding the 2021/2022 Tentative Budget  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | Mayor Ellis stated the state statute states there are key things to follow- all public meetings has to be done in front  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | of the public. There is no requirement to have public comment. Residents are always urged and welcomed to  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37       | discuss their concerns with any city councilmember outside the meeting. There are some exceptions whenever   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       | there is a change to the budget the city must hold a public hearing which is published in a paper, and invite the  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | public for comment. Tonight, council has the tentative budget for 2021-2022 budget. All land use issues must   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40<br>41 | have a public hearing which generally goes before the Planning Commission.<br>There is also a Public Forum which is an open time for the residents to make a comment to the council. |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       | This is not a requirement and there are a lot of cities which do not have public forum. This is not a discussion time  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | but residents are allowed to make any comment they feel is needed. The agenda is set by the Mayor and can be   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44       | left off the agenda at the Mayors choice.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO CLOSE THE PUBLIC HEARING FOR THE TENTATIVE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47<br>48 | BUDGET FOR THE 2021-2022 FISCAL YEAR NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED<br>VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49       | VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50       | PUBLIC FORUM   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52       | Jeremy Johnson stated that his family came to Elk Ridge for the access to public land. He is frustrated that access  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53       | has been closed off and does not know why; perhaps due to others tearing up the land. This can be addressed but  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54<br>55 | keep the land open to the public. There was a private road, Bennion Creek, that was deemed a public right of way   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55       | by the Utah Supreme Court due to being open to the public for over 10 years. He would like to council to keep the land open.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57       | Aaron Ludwig appreciates the work the council does on behalf of the city and is concerned over the loss of access  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58       | to the mountain. His family loves the access to the mountain that Elk Ridge has and he is aware that current access  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
- is through private land. He is respectful of other people's property, but he would like access through it. He is 59 requesting that the city look at all legal opportunities to open access to the mountain. 60
- Reuben Lisonbee stated\_Elk Ridge is a beautiful place. He was drawn to Elk Ridge because of the access to the 61 mountains. He enjoys the mountains with his family, The views are second to none. It is a spiritual place. There 62 are water pipes and utilities and a road that run up the mountain that the city put in. The tax payers paid for that 63 road and would like to get some usage from the money that resident put into the road and open access to the 64 65 mountains and take advantage of the views and the peace.
- Gary Winterton stated that it is not Lighthouse Subdivision obligation to give me access to his property just only 66 if the city council decides that it needs to be given. The City Council and Planning Commission has the obligation 67 to look at the whole area before any subdivision is approved. He missed an opportunity when the 55+ development 68 was approved. He apologizes that he was not here, that was his bad, but did not realize it at the time. It was his 69 70 responsibility. He knows the city sent out letters, that is all you can do. He spoke with his attorney, and he believes that the city has an obligation to provide access and whether it's through Lighthouse land. He apologized again. 71 Its is the cities obligation to provide access one way or another. He does not have to go out and buy a lot to get 72 73 that access. 74
- Dan Towsey lives at 55+ and is concerned about cutting the hilltop above his home and would like more 75 clarification on how that will be done. 76
  - Travis Tasker would also like to be able to access the mountains as well.

#### **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:** 78 79

77

80

81

82

83

84

85

86

87

88 89

90

91 92

93 94

96

97

98 99

100

101

102 103

105

## 1. EAGLE SCOUT PRESENTATION; JAKE BUNTON

Jake Bunton stated that he lives in Orem but attends scouts here, where his grandmother lives because it is active troop. He would like to put reflectors around the roundabout and the islands leading up to them . He would place yellow reflectors at the medians and 3 feet at the medians ends and 10 feet on the roundabout and the islands Cost for reflectors is approximately \$1.70 each totaling \$600-\$700 plus adhesive which he would like to ask the city to help with costs and the rest would be raised in a fund raiser. This would be done on a Saturday and they would have to close the road. They would need to get requirements from an engineer. Mayor Ellis stated that in order to have it on this year's budget the materials would have to be purchased and in the city's possession before June 30. The labor can be done after June 30th. There is \$500 in this year's budget. The city needs detailed plans and dates to close the road.

## JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE JAKE BUNTON'S EAGLE SCOUT PROJECT AND **DONATE \$500 JARED PETERSON SECONDED**

VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) Approved

#### 95 FIRE DEPARTMENT RECAP: FIRE CHIEF WAITE 2.

Fire Chief Waite gave a recap of the fire department personnel, calls and equipment. The fire department has a total of 27 personnel 3 EMTs resigned this week. Recruitment is always a struggle. Fire calls went up 21% EMS call were up over 100% 2020. 20% of the calls were from the care center. The fire Department is good shape and has almost everything it needs but it always needs more personnel. The fire truck is fully operational after 20 years. Mayor Ellis asked if the city would ever need 2 trucks. Fire Chief stated that by typing all the trucks that we have the fire department can now respond with the truck that was recently purchased as a 2<sup>nd</sup> truck. Discussion ensued on volunteer hours and documentation and the rating of the city and what it takes to lower the rating. Rating is every 5 years. There will be fire training in March/April 2022.

- 104 SURVEY PRESENTATION: RON GAILEY 3.
  - Ron Gailey went over the results of the city survey held in May.

#### 4. LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT 106

Mayor Ellis stated that last meeting the council approved the exception to remove more than the 7% cut that is 107 allowed in code. The other issue was the distance of the cul-de-sac which was handled through Planning 108 Commission. Drainage, cuts and roadways were hashed through last weekend. Tricia Thomas asked about access 109 Gary Winterton's property. Mayor Ellis stated that the city has spoken with it's attorney and this is a civil matter 110 between the developer and Mr. Winterton. Mayor Ellis stated that notice is sent to residents 300 feet of any 111

development. When Parkside Cove development came in the letters were sent to the residents. That is the 112 opportunity to work with the developer to make sure they have access to their property. It is not the city's 113 responsibility to call the developer and tell them they have to make access for the other property owner. This is a 114 115 civil matter between landowners. This is not the city's place to negotiate with the landowners, this is strictly a 116 civil matter. Royce Swensen stated that Mr. Winterton met with the city and Shay and the difficulties of developing that property. Jared Peterson pointed out issues he would like dealt with during final- lot 12 buildable 117 area and put on the mylar the restrictions on lot 12, the area between the pond and the road, retaining wall details, 118 119 bonding on the phases because of the cut on phase 2. NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION 120 121 PRELIMINARY PLAT JIM CHASE SECONDED 122 VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (1) Approved Cory Thompson voted Nay 123 C&L ESTATES, ACCEPT INTO THE CITY AND ACCEPT INTO DURABILITY 124 5. 125 JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO ACCEPT C&L INTO THE CITY AND ACCEPT ENTRANCE 126 127 INTO DURABILITY TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED. VOTE: NAY (1) 128 AYE (4) Approved 129 **4 WAY STOP SIGN MEADOW LARK AND CHRISTLEY LANE** Jared Peterson stated that this intersection is hazardous. There are tire marks all over the intersection. There is a 130 131 tree that is also impeding line of sight of the stop sign, as well as a slight curve in the street. It is unknown if the tree is within the 45 feet of the corner line of sight code. The tree will be measured to find out exactly where it 132 133 is. The tree needs to be trimmed or removed if it is blocking the stop sign. JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO TURN THE INTERSECTION OF MEADOW LARK LANE AND 134 CHRISTLY LANE INTO A FOUR WAY STOP TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED 135 VOTE: 136 AYE (2) NAY (3) Not Approved 137 Council will look at this after gathering more information- Field trip next work session. 138 7. WATERING RESTRICTIONS Mayor Ellis stated the Governor issued his third executive order. Cory Thompson asked how the wells were 139 140 running and how often the tanks are checked. David Jean stated they are fine and checked all the time. Mayor Ellis stated the shallow wells are dry, but ElkRidge City does not have a shallow well. The deeper wells - 800 141 feet deep are fine. Nelson Abbott stated that the city should be on a permanent restriction. Council is concerned 142 about not enforcing mandatory restrictions. Council agrees that water restrictions will remain as a 143 recommendation and will be amended to mandatory restriction if needed. 144 145 8. 2021/2022 TENTATIVE BUDGET APPROVAL 146 147 Mayor Ellis stated the only difference is the capital facilities plan for storm drain has been changed according to 148 the figures that the city received from Aqua. 149 NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE 2021/2022 TENTATIVE BUDGET **RESOLUTION 21-06-08-1R SUBJECT TO THE TENTATIVE NUMBERS FOR THE OFFICIAL** 150 151 **CERTIFIED TAX RATE JARED PETERSON SECONDED** VOTE: 152 AYE (5) NAY (0) Approved 153 Jim Chase AYE 154 Jared Peterson AYE 155 Nelson Abbott AYE

| 156<br>157 |     | Tricia Thomas<br>Cory Thompson | AYE<br>AYE          |                    |                           |                     |
|------------|-----|--------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------------------|---------------------|
| 157        |     | cory mompson                   | MIL                 |                    |                           |                     |
| 158        | 9.  | NUISANCE COD                   | E                   |                    |                           |                     |
| 159        |     | JARED PETER                    | SON MOTION          | ED TO TABLE T      | HE NUISANCE CODE COF      | OV THOMBSON         |
| 160        |     | SECONDED                       |                     |                    | THE NOISANCE CODE COP     | I Inomison          |
| 161        |     | VOTE:                          | AYE (5)             | NAY (0)            | Approved                  |                     |
| 162        | 10. | CITY COUNCIL                   | MINUTES FOR         | R MAY 11, 2021     |                           |                     |
| 163        |     | Jim Chase state that           | line 96 correct ty  | po and line 123 re | vise sentence.            |                     |
| 164        |     | JIM CHASE MOT                  | <b>FIONED TO AP</b> | PROVE THE M        | INUTES FOR MAY 11, 2021   | WITH CODDECTION     |
| 165        |     | <b>TO LINE 96 AND</b>          | 123 CORY THO        | OMPSON SECO        | NDED                      | WITH CORRECTION     |
|            |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 166        |     | VOTE:                          | AYE (5)             | NAY (0)            | Approved                  |                     |
| 167        | 11. | CITY COUNCIL                   | MINUTES FOR         | R MAY 25, 2021     |                           |                     |
| 168        |     | Jim Chase stated lir           | ne 89 correct type  | ).                 |                           |                     |
| 169        |     |                                | te of contest type  |                    |                           |                     |
| 170        |     | NELSON ABBO                    | TT MOTIONE          | D APPROVE          | THE MINUTES FOR M         | IAV 25 2021 WITH    |
| 171        |     | <b>CORRECTION T</b>            | O LINE 89 AS S      | STATED TRICL       | A THOMAS SECONDED         | 2021 WIIII          |
| 172        |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 173        |     | VOTE:                          | AYE (5)             | NAY (0)            | APPROVED                  |                     |
| 174        |     |                                |                     | (-)                |                           |                     |
| 175        |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 176        | MOT | TION TO ADJOUR                 | N NELSON AB         | BOTT MOTION        | ED TO ADJOURN THE REG     | ULAR CITY COUNCIL   |
| 177        | MEE | TING TRICIA THO                | MAS SECONDE         | D                  |                           | obine en l'éconteil |
| 178        |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 179        |     | VOTE:                          | AYE (5)             | NAY (0)            | APPROVED                  |                     |
| 180        |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 181        |     |                                |                     |                    |                           |                     |
| 182        |     |                                |                     |                    | 0                         | 5                   |
| 183        |     |                                |                     |                    | PAN                       |                     |
| 184        |     |                                |                     | C                  | Xaura Mein                | ec                  |
| 185        |     |                                |                     | Lau                | a Oliver, Deputy Recorder |                     |

Laura Oliver, Deputy Récorder



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a Public Hearing in conjunction regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, June 22, 2021 at 7:00 PM preceded by a work session at 6:00 pm. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah,

#### 6:00 PM WORK SESSION

1. Field Trip: Meadow Lark and Christley Intersection

#### 7:00 PM **PUBLIC HEARING**

2020/2021 Final Amended Budget

see attachment

## **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Public Forum Roll Call

- 1. Resolution: 2020/2021 Final Amended Budget
- 2. Resolution: Certified Tax Rate

3. Resolution: City Fee Schedule; Garbage and Sewer

- 4. Water Usage: Public Works Report
- 5. Food Trucks
- 6. Ambleview Estates Subdivision (Smart Property)
- 7. Financial Review
- 8. City Council Minutes for June 22, 2021

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 22<sup>nd</sup> day of June 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1        | ELK RIDGE   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3<br>4   | JUNE 22, 2021   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for Tuesday, June 22, 2021,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | at 7:00 PM. The meetings were held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | Notice of the time, place and Agenda of these Meetings, were provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10  | Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on June 22, 2021.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson, Absent- Jared Peterson,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director, Deputy Gary Coffey,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15<br>16 | Public: Judy Grantham, Terry Bjornson, Kara Bjornson, Scot Bell, Gary Winterton, Nate Brusik, Bob Ward, Dan Ford,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | Opening remarks were offered by Cory Thompson   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | Pledge lead by Nelson Abbott  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23 | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME JARED PETERSON  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | VOTE: AYE (5) NAY (0) APPROVED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | PUBLIC FORUM  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29 | Gary Winterton stated that easement by necessity may be used in order to access his property.<br>Terry Bjarnson stated that he cannot find the information on the subdivision. Mayor asked that Royce help Terry after meeting    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30       | with the website.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | Kara Bjarnson would like to know if the city has decided to create precedent in cutting the hilltop.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       | Judy Grantham lives in the 55+ and her backyard faces the proposed Lighthouse Subdivision. Has the city engineers or county   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33       | engineers surveyed the property in the last 10-20 years regarding water, drainage, irrigation, sewer lines, soil erosion,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34<br>35 | and flooding. Has the city consulted the city attorney's office regarding prior development proposals for these same properties. She understands that this has been turned down for development in the past.                      |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | properties, one understands that this has been turned down for development in the past.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37       | WORK SESSION  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       | 1. FIELD TRIP LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39<br>40 | City council and Mayor Ellis went to the Lighthouse Heights Subdivision property.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41       | REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | 1. LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44       | Tricia Thompson confirmed with Shay Stark that Lighthouse was asked to put sumps in the cul-de-sac. Shay  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45<br>46 | Stark confirmed this for the overflow. Cory Thompson is concerned about the drainage pipe and the slope of the pipe not being sufficient for flow. Shay Stark stated that there are tests that are required to make sure there    |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47       | is flow. If there are areas under 1% slope if there is ponding or stoppage, then the pipe is removed and flow   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48       | corrected. The pond has been designed to handle the industry standard 100 year storm. There will be a 12" pipe  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49       | in place for overflow to mitigate anything over a 100 year old storm. As a pipe gets bigger it can be laid flatter  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50<br>51 | and still have flow unlike a small pipe or a pipe that is too big and flat which could collect leaves and debris.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52       | The pipe is 78"-79" set vertical, and water will flow over into it. The end of the pipe is down in the box. By having flow come off the top of the pond you don't have all the force of the water into the retention pond pushing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53       | on that pipe. 79 is at the top of the pond and 78 is the height of the grate. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated that he has been  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 54       | concerned that the impact of storms have been underestimated in the past and wants to be sure not to  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 55       | underestimated them now. Shay Stark stated as an engineering firm, standard equations are used otherwise the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56<br>57 | firm, any firm, could be found liable. With storm water there are standard processes and equations, so much in  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57<br>58 | fact that the federal government paid to create the original software that is used to do those calculations. Shay Stark has found through his experience in Elk Ridge, mathematics work but we are going above and beyond         |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | - The new round an ough his experience in Encience, manematics work out we are going above and beyond   |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 59  | the standards. Cory Thompson is concerned about what type of ground the pipe is going to be in and about the         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 60  | pipe settling and interfering with flow. Shay Stark stated that he thought the pipe would be placed in natural       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61  | soil. Discussion ensued on how deep the pipe will be. Jared Peterson stated that the deepest part of the trench is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62  | about 10 feet below the sidewalk after the haircut. Tricia Thomas asked if the homes in the back are not built       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63  | and only the homes along Elk Ridge Dr are built would there still be a haircut. Shay Stark stated that there were    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64  | no actual plans submitted for that concept. Mayor Ellis stated that he is still concerned about the drainage Jared   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65  | Peterson recapped the pond will hold a 100-year storm, sumps were added, and there is an overflow pine Shave         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66  | Stark's one concern was that the neighborhood below would be flooded so extra measures were added                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67  | Discussion ensued on the cut of the hill and whether it is setting precedence. Jared Peterson stated that there is   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68  | more than a 7-foot cut on Parkside Cove. Discussion ensued on Mr. Winterton's property, and zero maintenance         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69  | on the retaining pond. Mayor Ellis stated the matter to tonight is to vote on the exception to cut the hilltop.      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71  | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE EXCEPTION FOR THE 13 1/2 FOOT CUT,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72  | WHICH IS 7 FEET BEYOND WHAT IS ALLOWED IN THE CONSTRUCTION STANDARDS, AS   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73  | PRESENTED JARED PETERSON SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75  | VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (2) Approved   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77  | Cory Thompson and Nelson Abbott vote NAY   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79  | 2. DAN FORD SUBDIVISION CONCEPT DISCUSSION   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80  | Shay Stark stated that in the General Plan the area located down Loafer Canyon Road would remain a natural           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81  | area maybe with a trail or other amenity to protect that drainage. This drainage area is important enough for the    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82  | city to put it in the general plan to protect and preserve it and zone it as public use and not residential. Another |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83  | item the city needs another road to get people out of the city. The idea is to bring people down Canyon View         |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84  | and Sunset, across Kimber's property and down on to Loafer Canyon. Dominion Gas likes the plan of having             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85  | the gas line under the road, which is the best protection for it. The city has had some casual discussion with the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86  | Kimber's about a road crossing the property at Sunset, which they prefer it over the gas line as well. There is      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87  | flexibility in the back of these lots to align the road accordingly. Currently this is zoned R&L20,000. Due to the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88  | amount of ground they are giving to the city, the development is asking for more density by mixing in some           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89  | smaller lots, which are at least 14,000 sq ft. with some open space. There are a couple things that can be done      |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90  | without setting precedence and keeping it equitable to the development and beneficial to the city. The city could    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91  | consider rezoning to R1-15,000. The general plan must be amended at the same time. The zone change would             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92  | only increase the density of about 5-6 lots. Discussion ensued on the property along Loafer Canyon road. Mayor       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93  | Ellis stated that this is to let the developer know if the council is agreeable to the concept presented before more |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94  | funds are put towards the project.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96  | 3. APPOINTMENT OF PLANNING COMMISSION MEMBERS  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97  | Mayor Ellis stated that he has appointed to new members to the Planning Commission. Maureen Bushman will             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98  | be an alternate and Jared Case will be the fulltime commission member.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | 4. <u>21-22 TENTATIVE BUDGET</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 | Mayor Ellis stated the city is not changing any water rates at this time. The city will look at water rates again in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 | December. Payson raised sewer cost \$.79 which brings the operating revenue to zero. The city needs operating        |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 103 | revenue to be at 2%. The Mayor recommends an increase of \$2.25 for sewer to cover operating costs. Jared            |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 104 | Peterson asked why the city doesn't become a partner with Payson instead of a customer. Storm Drain - the city       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 105 | is still needing some numbers from Aqua Engineering for the Capital Facilities analysis.                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 106 | Garbage -lower first can rate from \$14.25 to \$13.90 and raise the second can from \$8.25 to \$9.75. The second     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 107 | can is being subsidized by the first can this gets rid of the subsidy. Recycle- raise from \$10. To \$11. This is in |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 108 | anticipation of Republic Services raising rates. As prices for recycling increase residents are changing out the     |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 109 | recycle can for a second can. Next meeting is the public hearing for the tentative budget.                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 110 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 111 | 5. <u>FINANCIAL REVIEW</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 112 | There were no concerns.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 114 | 6. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR APRIL 27, 2021   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 115 |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
|-----|-----------|---|-----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------|--|--|
| 116 |           | JARED PETERSON M  | OTIONED TO            | APPROVE THE          | CITY COUNCIL MINU             | TES FOR APRIL 27        |  |  |
| 117 |           | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR APRIL 27, 2021 JIM CHASE SECONDED |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 118 |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 119 |           | VOTE:   | AYE (5)               | NAY (0)              | APPROVED                      |                         |  |  |
| 120 |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 121 |           | MOTION TO ADJOUR  | N NELSON A            | BBOTT MOTIO          | NED TO ADJOURN TH             | E REGULAR CITY          |  |  |
| 122 |           | COUNCIL MEETING AN  | D TO GO BACI          | K INTO WORK S        | ESSION CORY THOMP             | SON SECONDED            |  |  |
| 123 |           |   |                       |                      |                               | Soft BECONDED.          |  |  |
| 124 |           | VOTE:   | AYE (5)               | NAY (0)              | APPROVED                      |                         |  |  |
| 125 |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 126 | WORK SESS | ION CONTINUED   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 127 | 2.        | CUL-DE-SAC FRONTA   | GE HILLSIDE           | RESIDENTIAL          | ZONE                          |                         |  |  |
| 128 |           | Royce Swensen explained   | that in all the ot    | her zones oul do a   | oo fuantana aya ha a si '     |                         |  |  |
| 129 |           | missing in Hillside Resider   | tial and it needs     | to be consistent w   | ith the other zenes           | num of 60 feet. This is |  |  |
|     |           |   | initial, and it needs |                      | tui uie ouiei zones.          |                         |  |  |
| 130 | 3.        | GENERAL PLAN HILL   | SIDE RESIDEN          | NTIAL                |                               |                         |  |  |
| 131 |           | The General Plan refers to  | Hillside Resident     | tial as having anima | al rights the code needs to b | be updated accordingly. |  |  |
| 132 | 4.        | ANIMAL CODE DISCU   | SSION                 |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 133 |           | Discussion ensued on anim   | al rights.            |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 134 | 5.        | NUISANCE CODE   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 135 |           | Council went through curre  | ent draft and discu   | ussed how fines are  | determined. This will be o    | n the next council work |  |  |
| 136 | session.  |   |                       |                      |                               | the content work        |  |  |
| 137 |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |
| 138 |           |   |                       |                      | 2                             | 2                       |  |  |
| 139 |           |   |                       | Za                   | ina Olive                     | e )                     |  |  |
|     |           |   |                       |                      |                               |                         |  |  |

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, July 13, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be preceded by a Work Session at 6:00. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

- 1. Surplus Ford 550 and Plow Truck
- 2. Zone Change Proposal of Parcels 30:074:0014, 30:076:0011 and 30:074:0040 from R&L 20,000 To R1-15,000 see attachment
- 3. Proposed Code Amendment 10-9A-12-5 Lot Frontage
- 4. Premier Point 4 Preliminary and Final Plat
- 5. Food Trucks
- 6. Approval of City Council Minutes for June 8, 2021

## WORK SESSION CONT.

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 9th day of July 2021.

aura/1 Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 9th day of July 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment

| 1<br>2<br>3  | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>JULY 13, 2021   |
|--|--|
| 4<br>5<br>7<br>8<br>9<br>10  | <ul> <li>TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING         This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday, July 13, 2021, at 7:00</u> <u>PM</u>. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.         Notice of the time, place and Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on July 12, 2021.     </li> </ul>  |
| 10<br>11<br>12<br>13<br>14<br>15<br>16   | ROLL CALL<br>Mayor: Ty Ellis<br>Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson, Absent- Jared Peterson,<br>Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, <i>Public Works Director</i><br>Public: Tanya Willis, Michael Hill, Marcia Vincent, Stephanie Gricius, Gordon Cotrell, Darlene Cottrell, Tori Black,<br>Liz Smith, Cindi Ellis, Tanner Prestwich, Gordon Reynolds, Richard Wilcox   |
| 17<br>18<br>19<br>20   | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION<br>Opening remarks were offered by Cindi Ellis<br>Pledge lead by Jim Chase   |
| 21<br>22<br>23<br>24   | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME<br>NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME CORY THOMPSON<br>SECONDED  |
| 25<br>26<br>27   | VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVEDAbsent Jared Peterson   |
| 28<br>29<br>30<br>31<br>32<br>33<br>34<br>35<br>36<br>37<br>38<br>39<br>40<br>41<br>42<br>43<br>44<br>45<br>46<br>47<br>48<br>49<br>50<br>51<br>52<br>53<br>54<br>55<br>56 | <ul> <li>PUBLIC FORUM</li> <li>Tanya Willis is concerned the increased density and traffic will create safety issues for the children in areas with no sidewalks. There has been no traffic or pedestrian safety study. Before the city approves higher density, the city needs to work with the school district to get the elementary school built. She is also concerned with water and the levels of the water tanks in the morning. The city needs to make more water storage before making more density. It is not the city's job to make it economically feasible for the developer.</li> <li>Michael Hill stated the growth that is being asked for isn't feasible. Loafer Canyon Rd ingress and egress is to narrow and asked if this road going to be widened. Elk Ridge Dr also needs to be widened. The evacuation was a nightmare because of the size of the existing roads.</li> <li>Marcia Vincenti is concerned about the water and having enough water for more density. She would like access to where the well water and the water shares are coming from and information about the aquifer. This information should be available to the residents. She is concerned about where kids are going to ride their bikes and would like a traffic study before the rezoning is allowed. She would like to have greenspace and walking paths on the existing neighborhoods.</li> <li>Gordon Cottrell would like to have a trail behind the homes on Sage Lane. He is concerned that the roads and sidewalks won't be wide enough and is concerned about the increase in traffic and water usage with the drought. Tory Black stated she knows development is inevitable. We moved here for the country like atmosphere and think the development should resemble what she has with large lots and does not agree in changing it to a ½ to 1/3. She is bothered that this was all approved without any study or roads or plans for roads and Meadow Lark will be a superhighway. No having access to the plans makes it look like the city doesn't want us to know.</li> <li>Liz Smith echo</li></ul> |
| 57<br>58   | going to City Council. A council member also spoke at the last planning commission meeting, and whether votes were swayed or what, he don't know, but he was surprised at the votes of the planning commission of 3 ayes, 1  |

59 opposed and 1 abstained. He feels that the developer was out of line by not following the protocols in place and backdooring to city council before it comes to planning commission. Residence who attended the meeting told him 60 they were told planning commission was just a formality and that the rezoning had already been approved. His 61 concern is protocols were violated and when developers violate those protocols there should be some sort of 62 63 penalties.

65 Mayor Ellis stated that the city has had concept discussion. A developer will come in and will show a concept to the council to see if they are even in the ballpark before they spend \$50,000- \$75,000 on engineering to do the plan set 66 67 and then have the council just kill it. The developer did so and the council said it looks fairly well thought through and move forward if they felt like it to take it to the planning commission. The council did not approve anything, in 68 fact tonight would be the very first step of approving anything if they approve anything and if they do anything 69 tonight. Nothing has been approved other than talking at a concept level. As the process of development is gone 70 through there are a lot of issues that are taken into consideration, lot sizes, traffic, water, sewer etc. the list is fairly 71 long to see if the city can move forward on it at all. When the developer came in originally the council told them 72 73 they would not be allowed to put anything in the canyon. The developer talked about doing smaller lots and were told you can talk about anything you want. Put it on a piece of paper and bring it in and we'll take a look and talk it 74 through and that is where we are right now; the potential to move from R&L20,000 to R1-15,000. Concerning water, 75 76 when he became mayor he was under the impression that the bigger the lot the less the water. That is the opposite of what happens. I'm going to commit to the council to prove that theory. He has 4 years worth of water data on 77 every home so he can run reports that he can prove this out. The more house on the lot less water is used. Less water 78 79 is used as density increases. Nothing has been approved and this is the very, very beginning. We have not even seen 80 the preliminary plan, just concept where the city has said no we need a road over here, we have to have a road off 81 of sunset going out of the city. They have to do concept. It's better if they come in and talk to us. We want to have the conversation. It's their land if you do not want them to build go buy the land. We cannot stop people but we can 82 control it manage it and do it right, work with them and get a good environment. We want a good neighborhood that 83 is our goal. I don't know how many of you have moved in in the last 5 years but he has been her for 28 years. He, 84 (pointing to Nelson Abbott), screwed up my view and he's a good friend of mine now. We cannot stop people; we 85 have to do it wisely, manage it with water use, traffic etc. Love the comment on the elementary school. Those are 86 all issues we are working on, but the city has not approved anything. We are going to move on but he appreciates 87 88 the comments 89

### 90

91 92

93

94

95 96

99

100

101

102

103

104 105

106

107

108

109 110

111

112

113

64

# **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

#### 1. SURPLUS FORD 550 AND PLOW TRUCK

## NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO SURPLUS THE FORD 550 AND THE 1999 PLOW TRUCK JIM CHASE SECONDED

#### VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson

#### 97 2. ZONE CHANGE PROPOSAL OF PARCELS 30:074:0014, 30:076:0011 AND 30:074:0040 FROM R&L 20,000 TO 98 R1-15,000

Mayor Ellis stated that Jared Peterson feeling that he is ok as long as we are not increasing the sewer connection count that what is in the general plan. The General Plan states developable area at this location is 122 units. The developer brought in 94 on the concept. Cory Thompson asked why the developer wants to rezone this. Mayor Ellis stated the city took the canyon out of the developed area and the main road was placed at the end of Canyon View. Gordon Reynolds stated that the slopes there are 35% and not buildable anyway. Mayor Ellis stated that if the lots stay at R&L20,000 then he recommends taking out the L (livestock). The mayor wants to see the water difference between the 20,00 and 15,000 lots and 12,000 lots. Tricia Thomas stated the city does not owe the developer anything because they can't build on the ravine and lowering the lot size shouldn't be based on that. Stephanie (from the Developer) stated that the preliminary plat was just turned in last week and there are 91 lots and could very well go down in due to the slopes. He is also concerned about the city having to maintain the ravine. Cory Thompson is concerned about the comments of thoughts of the Planning Commission member who spoke earlier and recommends sending it back to Planning Commission to review their decision. Nelson Abbott stated he has several concerns: the general plan is zoned R&L 20,000, all the homes to the north and west bought their lots knowing there would be 20,000 sq ft. lots. There is also the number of sewer connections the city has. The city needs to make sure that the city does not use up all the sewer connections otherwise the south part of the city will not have any connections for development. His 3rd concern is the city having to maintain the ravine in the canyon and that it

| <ul> <li>115</li> <li>116</li> <li>CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO MOVE THE PROPOSAL OF PARCELS 30:074:0014, 30:076</li> <li>117</li> <li>30:074:0040 FROM R&amp;L 20,000 TO R1-15,000 BACK TO PLANNING COMMISSION TO REC</li> <li>118</li> <li>THEIR RECOMMENDATION NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED</li> <li>119</li> <li>120</li> <li>VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>121</li> <li>122</li> <li>3. PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE</li> <li>123</li> <li>124</li> <li>NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI</li> <li>125</li> <li>126</li> <li>VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>127</li> <li>Tricia Thomas AYE</li> </ul>   | CONCIDER              |
|--|-----------------------|
| 117       30:074:0040 FROM R&L 20,000 TO R1-15,000 BACK TO PLANNING COMMISSION TO REC         118       THEIR RECOMMENDATION NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED         119       119         120       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         121       122       3.       PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE         123       124       NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI         125       126       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         127       Tricia Thomas       AYE  | CONCIDER              |
| 118       THEIR RECOMMENDATION NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED         119       119         120       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         121       122       3.       PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE         123       124       NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI         125       126       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         127       Tricia Thomas       AYE  |                       |
| 119VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVEDAbsent Jared Peterson1211223.PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE123124NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI125126VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVED127Tricia ThomasAYE   | ECONDER               |
| 120VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVEDAbsent Jared Peterson121122123123124124125125126127127128129129120120121122123124125125126127127128129129120120121122123124125125125126127128129 </td <td>ECONDED</td>  | ECONDED               |
| 121         122       3. PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE         123         124       NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI         125         126       VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         127       Tricia Thomas AYE   | ECONDER               |
| 122       3.       PROPOSED CODE AMENDMENT 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE         123       124       NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS SI         125       125         126       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         127       Tricia Thomas       AYE   | ECONDER               |
| 123         124       NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS ST         125         126       VOTE:       AYE (4)       NAY (0)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         127       Tricia Thomas       AYE  | FCONDED               |
| 124NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE 10-9A-12-5 LOT FRONTAGE TRICIA THOMAS ST125126126127127128129129129120120120121121122123124125125126127127128129129120120121121122123124125125126127127128129129129120120120121122123124125125126127127128129 <t< td=""><td>ECONDED</td></t<>  | ECONDED               |
| 125NAY (0)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson126VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)127Tricia ThomasAYE  | FCONDED               |
| 126VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson127Tricia ThomasAYE  | ECONDED               |
| 127 Tricia Thomas AYE  |                       |
|  |                       |
|  |                       |
| 128 Nelson Abbott AYE  |                       |
| 129 Jim Chase AYE  |                       |
| 130 Cory Thompson AYE  |                       |
| 131  |                       |
| 132 4. PREMIER POINT 4 PRELIMINARY AND FINAL PLAT  |                       |
| 133 Mayor Ellis stated this is a 2-lot subdivision. It is technically a lot line adjustment but due to the landowners  | ship the Utah         |
| 134 County Recorder requested it to go through the subdivision process.  | •                     |
| 135  |                       |
| 136 JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVED PREMIER POINT 4 SUBDIVISION NELSON ABBOTT S   | ECONDED               |
| 137  |                       |
| 138 VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson   |                       |
| 139  |                       |
| 140 5. <u>FOOD TRUCKS</u>  |                       |
| 141 Cory Thompson stated this draft of the proposed code states 1. Food Trucks are not generally permitted in  | in residential        |
| 142 neighborhood, and 2. Food Trucks can be permitted to operate in residential neighborhood if the homeowner files  | a conditional         |
| 143 use permit and follows the requirements of that permit. Any commercial business should not be in a residential r   | reighborhood          |
| 144 unless the business is in the home. <u>Tricia Thomas</u> stated that the city needs to make this available without trucks  | being parked          |
| 145 in front someone's home. Tricia Thomas wanted confirmation that a food truck can operate at a private party that   | at is not open        |
| 146 to the public. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated that the city's goal is to have a place for food trucks to operate safely.  | at is not open        |
| 147  |                       |
| 148 CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO SEND THE FOOD TRUCK AMENDMENT TO PLANNING CO   | MMISSION              |
|  |                       |
| 149 AS PRESENTED IN CITY COUNCIL JIM CHASE SECONDED  |                       |
| 149     AS PRESENTED IN CITY COUNCIL JIM CHASE SECONDED       150  |                       |
| 150  |                       |
| 150  |                       |
| 150151VOTE:AYE (3)NAY (1)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson152   |                       |
| 150151VOTE:AYE (3)NAY (1)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson152   |                       |
| <ul> <li>150</li> <li>151 VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (1) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>152</li> <li>153 6. <u>APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021</u></li> </ul>   |                       |
| <ul> <li>150</li> <li>151 VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (1) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>152</li> <li>153 6. <u>APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021</u></li> </ul>   |                       |
| <ul> <li>150</li> <li>151 VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (1) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>152</li> <li>153 6. <u>APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021</u></li> <li>154</li> <li>155 JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202</li> </ul>  |                       |
| <ul> <li>150</li> <li>151 VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (1) APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson</li> <li>152</li> <li>153 6. <u>APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021</u></li> <li>154</li> <li>155 JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202</li> <li>156 ABBOTT SECONDED</li> <li>157</li> </ul>  |                       |
| 150151VOTE:AYE (3)NAY (1)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson1521536.APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021154155JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202156ABBOTT SECONDED157158VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson   | 21 NELSON             |
| 150         151       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152         153       6. <u>APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021</u> 154         155       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       VOTE:       AYE (4)         158       VOTE:       AYE (4)         160       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUI   | 21 NELSON             |
| 150151VOTE:AYE (3)NAY (1)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson1521536.APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021154155JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202156ABBOTT SECONDED157158VOTE:AYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson159  | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |
| 150         151       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152         153       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         154         155       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       VOTE:       AYE (4)         158       VOTE:       AYE (4)         159       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         161       COUNCIL MEETING AND TO GO BACK INTO WORK SESSION CORY THOMPSON SECO  | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |
| 150       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         153       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         154       155       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       158         158       VOTE:         159       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         160       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         161       COUNCIL MEETING AND TO GO BACK INTO WORK SESSION CORY THOMPSON SECONDED         162       163       VOTE:  | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |
| 150       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         153       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         154       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       VOTE:       AYE (4)         158       VOTE:       AYE (4)         160       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         161       COUNCIL MEETING AND TO GO BACK INTO WORK SESSION CORY THOMPSON SECONDE         162       VOTE:       AYE (4)         163       VOTE:       AYE (4)   | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |
| 150       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         153       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         154       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       VOTE:       AYE (4)         158       VOTE:       AYE (4)         160       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         161       COUNCIL MEETING AND TO GO BACK INTO WORK SESSION CORY THOMPSON SECONDA         162       VOTE:       AYE (4)         163       VOTE:       AYE (4)         164       Image: AYE (4)       NAY (0)         164       Image: AYE (4)       NAY (0) | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |
| 150       VOTE:       AYE (3)       NAY (1)       APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson         152       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         153       6.       APPROVAL OF CITY CONCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 2021         154       JIM CHASE MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JUNE 8, 202         156       ABBOTT SECONDED         157       VOTE:       AYE (4)         158       VOTE:       AYE (4)         160       MOTION TO ADJOURN NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGUL         161       COUNCIL MEETING AND TO GO BACK INTO WORK SESSION CORY THOMPSON SECONDE         162       VOTE:       AYE (4)         163       VOTE:       AYE (4)   | 21 NELSON<br>LAR CITY |



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge has cancelled the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, July 27, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting is normally held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

CANCELLED

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 15<sup>th</sup> day of July 2021.

-a Oliver

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 15th day of July 2021.

Hover am

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a regular <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, August 10,</u> <u>2021 at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

- 1. Zone Change Proposal of Parcels 30:078:0284, 30:078:0290 and 30:078:0207 from Hillside Residential To R1-15,000 (Fairway Dr) see attachment
- 2. Zone Change Proposal of Parcels 30:074:0014, 30:076:0011 and 30:074:0040 from R&L 20,000 To R1-15,000 (Loafer Canyon) see attachment
- 3. Camelot Estates Release Durability
- 4. Budget Amendment Civic Center
- 5. Approval of City Council Minutes for June 22, 2021

see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 9<sup>th</sup> day of August 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 9<sup>th</sup> day of August 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1        |                        |  | ELK RIDGE  |   |  |  |  |  |
|----------|------------------------|--|--|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | AUGUST 10, 2021        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4        | TIME AND PLACE OF      | MEETINO  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6   |                        |  | Flk Ridge City Counc   | il was scheduled for Tuesday, August 10,  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        |                        |  |  | Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        |                        |  |  | vided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah  |  |  |  |  |
| 9        |                        | h, and to the members o  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 10       |                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL              |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis        | no Noloon Abbott Trioi   | Thomas Line Chases   | Com Thomas About In 1D  |  |  |  |  |
| 13<br>14 |                        |  |  | Cory Thompson, Absent- Jared Peterson,<br>uty Recorder, David Jean, <i>Public Works</i>                       |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | Directo                |  | , Laura Onver, Dep   | ary Recorder, David Jean, Tublic Works  |  |  |  |  |
| 16       |                        |  | Aaron Ludwig, Wes J  | ones, Jamie Elder, Tanya Willis, Stephanie  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       |                        |  |  | y Lee, Clint Ashmead, Patricia Gunnerson,   |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | Cheyn                  | Gunnerson  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | <b>OPENING REMARKS</b> | - INVITATION   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |                        | s were offered by Aaron  | 1 Ludwig   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | Pledge lead by N       | Jelson Abbott  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24 | APPROVAL/AGENDA        | I INE FRAME  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | NELSON ABBO            | TT MOTIONED TO   | APPROVE THE A  | GENDA AND TIME FRAME TRICIA   |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | THOMAS SEC             |  |  | GENERAL PROPERTY INTERVIE   |  |  |  |  |
| 27       |                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 28       | VOTE:                  | AYE (4)  | NAY (0)  | APPROVED Absent Jared Peterson  |  |  |  |  |
| 29       |                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 30       | PUBLIC FORUM           |  | '1, 1 1, i   |   |  |  |  |  |
| 31<br>32 |                        | ants to remind the counc   | -  | hey can so that there is access to the public   |  |  |  |  |
| 33       |                        |  |  | oad into Elk Ridge. There are only 2 roads  |  |  |  |  |
| 34       |                        |  | e  | Id like the council to stick with the general   |  |  |  |  |
| 35       |                        |  |  | small to be the 3 <sup>rd</sup> access. Please keep the   |  |  |  |  |
| 36       |                        | something happens to E   |  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 37       |                        |  |  | s there has been vandalism on property but  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       |                        | a way to work somethin   | -  |   |  |  |  |  |
| 39       |                        |  |  | rway Dr. He is supporting Richard Barton n and the mountainous feel of the area and                           |  |  |  |  |
| 40<br>41 |                        | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·  | all was a second of the second s | e and make sense with the area.   |  |  |  |  |
| 42       | -                      |  | -  | t of debris that ends up on South Elk Ridge   |  |  |  |  |
| 43       |                        |  |  | ted sandbagging the area or finding a more  |  |  |  |  |
| 44       |                        | o keep the debris from w   |  | 55 5  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       |                        |  |  | h, there are a lot of people that couldn't get  |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | -                      |  |  | g Mr. Barton's property and what he really  |  |  |  |  |
| 47       |                        |  |  | the 4 acres can't be divided further.   |  |  |  |  |
| 48       |                        |  |  | ng cut out. That is a major east west corridor  |  |  |  |  |
| 49<br>50 |                        |  |  | buld create a true 3 <sup>rd</sup> access. The proposed the access down without making a true 3 <sup>rd</sup> |  |  |  |  |
| 51       |                        |  |  | nd would like the council to make sure that   |  |  |  |  |
| 52       |                        | corridors are part of the  |  | and the the coulor to make but that   |  |  |  |  |
| 53       |                        | The second s |  |   |  |  |  |  |
|          |                        |  |  |   |  |  |  |  |

## **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

## 1. ZONE CHANGE PROPOSAL OF PARCELS 30:078:0284, 30:078:0290 AND 30:078:0207 FROM HILLSIDE RESIDENTIAL TO R1-15,000 (FAIRWAY DR)

<u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated this piece of property currently is zoned as Hillside Residential. The proposal is to rezone the lots around the cul-de-sac as R1-15,000 and the remaining 4 acres remain as Hillside Residential. <u>Nelson Abbott</u> stated lot 4 which is 4.11 acres was originally zoned CE 1 in order to protect the area due to the topography. <u>Shawn Elliot</u> who was on the planning commission at that time stated due to circumstances at that time they had to approve this area with 1/3 acre lots. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated that there have been several different plans that have been presented and would like to know what the owner wants to do. <u>Richard Barton</u> stated that the plan that was presented to the Planning Commission and City Council tonight is what he intends to do. He could make 5 lots on his land without rezoning but wants to keep the 4 acres in the back to build his home. Richard Barton would agree to a stipulation being in the agreement that he could not further divide the acreage and he plans to keep the 4 acres accessible to people who like to walk and stated that Shay Stark, the city planner, stated that this plan is the best plan for the environment and the area.

<u>Nelson Abbott</u> confirmed that this is not a flag lot. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated that the lot does have frontage on the cul-de-sac. This will be on the next agenda for decision.

2. <u>ZONE CHANGE PROPOSAL OF PARCELS 30:074:0014, 30:076:0011 AND 30:074:0040</u> FROM R&L 20,000 TO R1-15,000 (LOAFER CANYON)

Mayor Ellis stated the Planning Commission looked at this again and sent it back with no changes. which was if the development fails the zone reverts to R&L 20,000. The city tried to get money through MAG to put a road in through this area to give the city another egress/ingress. The plan was to come off Sunset and into Goosenest. The problem with coming off Goosenest is the slope is too steep. The angle it would have to come into Goosenest really makes the right hand turn difficult. So, the city went with the plan of taking Sunset on down and connecting it to Loafer Canyon. When the developer came in with a development here the city told them where the city needed the road. This development gives the city super connectivity to the city. This will also give the city the opportunity to deal with the storm drain and the water on Park Dr., Powell Rd., and Canyon View Dr. Discussion ensued on where the water will be diverted too, 11200 and or drainage area on Loafer Canyon. Mayor Ellis stated that it's important for the city to maintain control over the drainage area. Mayor Ellis recommends, if approved, to add some considerations; no more than 91 lots, keep 20%- 30% of the larger lots, and to be able to tie into Canyon View Dr. Discussion ensued on exactly where the road would connect to Canyon View Dr. and how traffic will flow down to Loafer Canyon and 11200. It does not connect to Ama Fille Lane. The property directly south of this development submitted application and the city is expecting the plans soon, which will finish the road to Canyon View Dr. Discussion ensued on whether the city should maintain control of the drainage area and if it was turned over to private property how could it be ensured the drainage would not be changed.

NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE REZONING PARCELS 30:074:0014, 30:076:0011 AND 30:074:0040 FROM R&L 20,000 TO R1-15,000 WITH THE STIPULATION THAT THERE ARE NO MORE THAN 91 LOTS, AND WITH THE INTENTION OF CREATING AN EGRESS OFF OF CANYON VIEW RD AND THE ZONE WILL REVERT BACK TO R&L 20,000 OF DEVELOPMENT FAILS TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED

| 100 | VOTE:         | AYE (4) | NAY (0) | APPROVED | Absent Jared Peterson |
|-----|---------------|---------|---------|----------|-----------------------|
| 101 | Tricia Thomas | AYE     |         |          |                       |
| 102 | Cory Thompson | AYE     |         |          |                       |
| 103 | Nelson Abbott | AYE     |         |          |                       |
| 104 | Jim Chase     | AYE     |         |          |                       |

| 105<br>106 | 3. | CAMELOT RID     | GE RELEASE OF DUI       | RABILITY            |                     |                          |
|------------|----|-----------------|-------------------------|---------------------|---------------------|--------------------------|
| 107        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 108        |    | ЛМ CHASE MO     | TIONED TO ACCEPT        | CAMELOT RID         | GE INTO THE         | CITY AND RELEASE         |
| 109        |    |                 | ELSON ABBOTT SECO       |                     |                     |                          |
| 110        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 111        |    | VOTE:           | AYE (4)                 | NAY (0)             | APPROVED            | Absent Jared Peterson    |
| 112        |    |                 | 2008. (RC)              | ~ /                 |                     |                          |
| 113        | 4. | BUDGET AMEN     | DMENT CIVIC CEN         | ГER                 |                     |                          |
| 114        |    |                 |                         |                     | st isn't the room 1 | needed to meet the needs |
| 115        |    | of the city.    |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 116        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 117        |    | CORY THOMPS     | ON MOTIONED TO M        | OVE THE BUD         | GET AMENDM          | ENT FOR \$200,000 TO     |
| 118        |    |                 | IG FOR THE CIVIC CE     |                     |                     |                          |
| 119        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 120        |    | VOTE:           | AYE (4)                 | NAY (0)             | APPROVED            | Absent Jared Peterson    |
| 121        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 122        | 5. | APPROVAL OF     | <b>CITY COUNCIL MIN</b> | <b>NUTES FOR JU</b> | NE 22, 2021         |                          |
| 123        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 124        |    | NELSON ABBOT    | IT MOTIONED TO AP       | PROVED THE (        | CITY COUNCIL        | MINUTES FOR JUNE         |
| 125        |    | 22, 2021 CORY T | HOMPSON SECONDE         | ED                  |                     |                          |
| 126        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 127        |    | VOTE:           | AYE (4)                 | NAY (0)             | APPROVED            | Absent Jared Peterson    |
| 128        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 129        |    | MOTION TO A     | DJOURN NELSON A         | BBOTT MOTIO         | NED TO ADJC         | URN THE REGULAR          |
| 130        |    | CITY COUNCIL    | MEETING JIM CHASE       | E SECONDED.         |                     |                          |
| 131        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 132        |    | VOTE:           | AYE (4)                 | NAY (0)             | APPROVED A          | bsent Jared Peterson     |
| 133        |    |                 |                         |                     |                     |                          |
| 134        |    |                 |                         | $\wedge$            |                     |                          |
| 135        |    |                 |                         | - Vau               |                     | ver                      |
| 136        |    |                 |                         | Laura Oliver,       | Deputy Recorde      | er                       |



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a public Hearing in conjuction with the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, August 24, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

> **Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

## **PUBLIC HEARING**

Budget Amendment for Civic Center Architectural Drawings and Engineering

see attachment

## **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

- 1. Budget Amendment for Civic Center Architectural Drawings and Engineering
- 2. Ambleview Estates Preliminary Plat Discussion
- 3. Lighthouse Heights Subdivision Final Plat Discussion
- 4. Nuisance Code; Specific Nuisances
- 5. Commercial Vehicle Code
- 6. Accessory Apartment Code
- 7. Financials
- 8. Approval of City Council Minutes for July 13, 2021

see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment

see attachment see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 23rd day of August 2021.

ana flou

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 23rd day of August 2021.

lever

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1        |                                   |   |   | ELK RIDGE               |  |  |  |  |
|----------|-----------------------------------|---|---|-------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | AUGUST 24, 2021                   |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 4        |                                   |   |   | ,                       |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | TIME AND PLA                      |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | T                                 | his public he   | aring and regularly                           | scheduled meeting of    | f the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for   |  |  |  |
| 7        | Tuesday,                          | August 24,  | 2021, at 7:00 PM                              | I. Notice of the time   | e, place, and Agenda of these Meetings, were   |  |  |  |
| 8        |                                   |   |   | city website, the Utal  | n Public Notice Website, and to the members of   |  |  |  |
| 9        | the Gover                         | ning Body of  | n Aug. 23, 2021.                              |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 10<br>11 | ROLL CALL                         |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: 7                          | v Ellis   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 13       |                                   |   | elson Abbott, Tricia                          | Thomas, Jared Peter     | son, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson  |  |  |  |
| 14       |                                   |   |   |                         | Works Director, Deputy Coffey  |  |  |  |
| 15       |                                   |   |   |                         | Bybee, George Potter, Erin Clausen, Gaylene  |  |  |  |
| 16       | Jacobson                          | , Jake Chase  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | <b>OPENING REM</b>                | ARKS-INV  | <b>ITATION</b>                                |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | Opening                           | remarks wer   | e offered by Cory T                           | Thompson                |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | Pledge 1                          | ead by Jim C  | Chase   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 20       |                                   |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | APPROVAL/AG                       | ENDA TIM  | LE FRAME                                      |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 22<br>23 | LADED                             | DETEDSON  | MOTIONED TO                                   | ADDOVE THE              | AGENDA AND TIME FRAME NELSON   |  |  |  |
| 23<br>24 |                                   | SECOND  |   | AFFROVE THE             | AGENDA AND TIME FRAME NELSON   |  |  |  |
| 25       |                                   | SECOND  |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 26       |                                   | VOTE:   | AYE (5)                                       | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED   |  |  |  |
| 27       |                                   |   |   | × 7                     |  |  |  |  |
| 28       | 7:00 PM - <u>P</u>                | UBLIC HEA   | ARING:  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 29       | B                                 | UDGET AN  | <b>IENDMENT</b>                               |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 30       |                                   |   |   |                         | · · · · · · · · · · · ·  |  |  |  |
| 31       |                                   |   |   |                         | ndment is for \$200,000 to begin the   |  |  |  |
| 32<br>33 |                                   |   | rawings engineering<br>public comments        | for the civic center.   |  |  |  |  |
| 33<br>34 | 1.                                |   | puone comments                                |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | JA                                | ARED PETE   | RSON MOTIONED                                 | TO CLOSE THE PU         | JBLIC HEARING CORY THOMPSON  |  |  |  |
| 36       |                                   | ECONDED   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 37       | V                                 | OTE:  | AYE (5)                                       | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED   |  |  |  |
| 38       |                                   |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | PUBLIC FO                         |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 40       | There was                         | s no public co  | omment  |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 41<br>42 | <b>REGULAR CITY</b>               | Y COUNCIL   | MEETING AGE                                   | NDA ITEMS:              |  |  |  |  |
| 43       |                                   |   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 44       |                                   | and the second se |   | THE CIVIC CENT          | ER ARCHITECTURAL DRAWINGS AND  |  |  |  |
| 45       |                                   | NGINEERI  |   | 41                      |  |  |  |  |
| 46<br>47 | IV.<br>th                         | layor Ellis su  | area this will enable                         | ine city to get the doc | cuments and plans in order to move forward with the plans. All of the funds for this have been put |  |  |  |
| 48       |                                   |   | so the public call vi<br>gs and will come out |                         | the plans. All of the funds for this have been put   |  |  |  |
| 49       | a                                 | , aj m savinte  |   | or mose rundo.          |  |  |  |  |
| 50       | Ν                                 | ELSON AB  | BOTT MOTIONED                                 | TO AMEND THE            | CAPITAL PROJECT FUTURE   |  |  |  |
| 51       |                                   |   |   |                         | Y RESOLUTION 21-08-24-1R FOR   |  |  |  |
| 52       | А                                 | RCHITECT  | URAL DRAWINGS                                 | S AND ENGINEERI         | NGS CORY THOMPSON SECONDED.  |  |  |  |
| 53       | Append                            | 0.775   |   |                         |  |  |  |  |
| 54       |                                   | OTE:  | AYE (5)                                       | NAY (0)                 | APPROVED   |  |  |  |
| 55       | Ji                                | m Chase   | AYE   |                         |  |  |  |  |

| Jared Peterson | AYE |
|----------------|-----|
| Nelson Abbott  | AYE |
| Tricia Thomas  | AYE |
| Cory Thompson  | AYE |

## 2. AMBLEVIEW ESTATES PRELIMINARY PLAT DISCUSSION

<u>Nelson Abbott</u> stated the commercial area has shrunk to 2 acres from 7-9 acres which is a concern. This is 1 of 2 places the city has approved for commercial and does not want the city to give it up to residential. Once it is gone it is gone. Also, there are too many lots according to the Development Capacity Map in the General Plan. <u>Jared Peterson</u> does not want the lots to exceed the Development Capacity map and is concerned about sewer hookups and capacity. <u>Tricia Thomas</u> is concerned the commercial is too small and it needs to be bigger. She is still not sure how commercial will work there but thinks it should be bigger but not the whole 8 acres. <u>Cory Thompson</u> stated if commercial does ever come to Elk Ridge this is most likely place; the city has to make sure there is a place for it. Discussion ensued on the amount of commercial space that would be acceptable and appropriate and fronting 11200 and not Elk Ridge Dr. <u>Ryan Bybee</u>, developer, would like to have more direction on what the city does want. The general plan and the annexation does have flexibility. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> recommends Ryan speak with the city planner in more depth regarding the lot numbers and the size of the commercial area.

## 3. LIGHTHOUSE HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION FINAL PLAT DISCUSSION

<u>Tricia Thomas</u> asked about the concerns the city planner had on the 6 inch line and is the sewer being a little shallower ok. <u>Nelson Abbott</u> stated the fire department did testing and the pressure and flow and the results were to be sent to Shay Stark. Discussion ensued on the sewer and water pressure. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated that the drainpipe was upgraded to 18 inches. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> is most concerned about the tank above this area the city would like a stub put in for a drainage pipe to catch any overflow from the tank. The pipe is something the city would put in; it is not the developer's responsibility. Discussion ensued on drainage and maintaining the land next to the retaining pond. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> recommends that developer meet with Shay Stark about the stub and to make sure all the issues council wanted were in the plans.

## 4. NUISANCE CODE: SPECIFIC NUISANCES

<u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated the amendment on the parking of trailers on the road was brought forward by the deputy in order to make this code enforceable. Discussion ensued on the term "unattended" and unsafe items parked on the road and an appropriate time period.

CORY THOMPSON MOTIONED TO MOVE THE NUISANCE CODE:SPECIFIC NUISANCE TO PUBLIC HEARING AT THE NEXT MEETING JIM CHASE SECONDED

VOTE:AYE (5)NAY (0)APPROVED

## 5. <u>COMMERCIAL VEHICLE CODE</u>

Mayor Ellis stated the amendment is just taking out words "such as"

JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO MOVE COMMERCIAL VEHICLE CODE TO PUBLIC HEARING NEXT MEETING NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED.

## 6. ACCESSORY APARTMENT CODE

Discussion ensued on allowing accessory apartments in an accessory building. The draft will be sent to the attorney for review.

## 7. <u>FINANCIALS</u>

There were no concerns or questions.

8. APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JULY 13, 2021

| 109 | NELSON ABBOT        | Γ MOTIONED TO     | APPROVE CIT        | TY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JULY 13, 2021 |
|-----|---------------------|-------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------------|
| 110 | WITH THE STATE      | ED CORRECTION     | IS TRICIA THOM     | MAS SECONDED.                        |
| 111 |                     |                   |                    |                                      |
| 112 | VOTE:               | AYE (4)           | NAY (0)            | APPROVED Abstained- Jared Peterson   |
| 113 |                     |                   |                    |                                      |
| 114 | MOTION TO ADJOURN N | Velson Abbott mot | ioned to adjourn 7 | Tricia Thomas seconded.              |
| 115 |                     |                   |                    |                                      |
| 116 | VOTE:               | AYE (5)           | NAY (              | (0) APPROVED                         |
| 117 |                     |                   | (                  | $\partial$                           |
| 118 |                     |                   | Jam                | a) Aluer                             |
| 119 |                     |                   | Laura Oliver,      | , Deputy Recorder                    |
|     |                     |                   |                    |                                      |



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a Public Hearing in conjunction with the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, September 14, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be preceded by dinner for councilmembers. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

Dinner for Councilmembers provided by Republic Services 6:00 PM

#### 7:00 PM PUBLIC HEARING

1. Nuisance: Specific Nuisance 4-2A-3

see attachment

2. Storage of Commercial Vehicles and Equipment in Residential Zones 10-12-26 see attachment

## **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

**Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

- 1. Houghton Subdivision Accept in the City and Durability
- 2. The Highlands at Elk Ridge Preliminary Plat
- 3. Zone Change Proposal of Parcels 30:078:0284, 30:078:0290 and 30:078:0207 from Hillside Residential to R1-15,000 (Fairway Dr)
- 4. Nuisance: Specific Nuisance 4-2A-3
- 5. Storage of Commercial Vehicles and Equipment in Residential Zones 10-12-26
- 6. Dark Sky Amendment 10-12-43, Clarification
- 7. Approval of City Council Minutes for August 10, 2021
- 8. Approval of City Council Minutes for August 24, 2021
- 9. Closed Session if Necessary

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 13<sup>th</sup> day of September 2021.

aura Oliver Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 13th day of September 2021.

aural Oliver Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment see attachment

see attachment see attachment

see attachment

| 1<br>2<br>3 | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>SEPTEMBER 14, 2021  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4           |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5<br>6      | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING<br>This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u> , <u>September</u>          |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0<br>7      | 14, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8           | Utah.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9           | Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10          | Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on September 13, 2021.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12          | ROLL CALL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13          | Mayor: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14          | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jim Chase, Jared Peterson, Absent - Cory Thompson   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15          | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16<br>17    | Public: Jennie Elder, Richard Barton, Trent Mehlhoff, Larry Lee, Weston Youd, Clint Ashmead, Cindi   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18          | Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19          | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20          | Opening remarks were offered by Tricia Thomas  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21          | Pledge lead by Nelson Abbott   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23          | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25          | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME TRICIA   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26          | THOMAS SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28    | VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30          | PUBLIC HEARING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32          | Mayor Ellis opened the public hearing  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34          | 1. NUISANCE: SPECIFIC NUISANCE 4-2A-3  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35<br>36    | 2. STORAGE OF COMMERCIAL VEHICLES AND EQUIPMENT IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES 10-   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37          | 2. STORAGE OF COMMERCIAE VEHICLES AND EQUITMENT IN RESIDENTIAL ZONES 10-<br>12-26  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39          | PUBLIC FORUM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40          | Jenny Elder they would like to state again that the hope the density does not increase at all, but they are in   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41          | favor of the plan as presented to date.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42          | Richard Barton he has met with a couple city councilmembers and Trent Mehlhoff and they are trying to  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43          | come up with a solution that works in order to keep Trent from being landlocked  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44          | <u>Trent Mehlhoff</u> wanted to again state that he does not want his property landlocked. He has been trying to work something out with Richard Barton. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45<br>46    | Weston Youd stated he lives in the canyon next to the city property and he would like to know if there are   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40          | any plans for the city property next to him and he would be interested in buying it. This property was   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48          | brought up as possibly selling it when he was on the council, and it was not the appropriate time to purchase  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49          | it at that time and then the subject was never brought up again.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51          | REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52<br>53    | 1. HOUGHTON SUBDIVISION ACCEPT IN THE CITY AND DURABILITY  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 54  |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
|-----|-----|--|---|-------------|----------------|------------------|---------------------------|----------------|--|
| 55  |     | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ACCEPT THE HOUGHTON SUBDIVISION INTO |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 56  |     | THE CITY AND I   | THE CITY AND INTO DURABILITY AS RECOMMENDED BY DAVID JEAN JIM CHASE |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 57  |     | SECONDED   |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 58  |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 59  |     | VOTE   | AYE (4)   | NAY         | (0)            | APPROVE          | D Absent Cory Thomps      | on             |  |
| 60  |     |  |   |             | (0)            |                  | o nobeli corj mompi       | 011            |  |
| 61  | IAR | FD PETERSON 1  | MOTIONED  | TO CL       | OSE T          | HE PUBLIC        | HEARING NELSON            | ABBOTT         |  |
| 62  |     | CONDED   | MOTIONED  |             | ODL 1          | IIL TODLIC       | ILAMINO NELSON            | ADDUIT         |  |
|     | BEC | ONDED  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 63  |     | VOTE   | A X E (A)   | NT A XZ     | (0)            |                  |                           |                |  |
| 64  |     | VOTE   | AYE (4)   | NAY         | (0)            | APPROVEL         | O Absent Cory Thompso     | on             |  |
| 65  |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 66  |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 67  | 2.  | THE HIGHLANDS  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 68  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | o be larger and there are |                |  |
| 69  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | d the slopes that hold th |                |  |
| 70  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | h in final plat. The city |                |  |
| 71  |     | roads with Highlan   | d, all the High   | and nam     | ed road        | s need to be ch  | anged. Page 3 needs to s  | say see C not  |  |
| 72  |     | C3 for future conne  | ections. Conce  | rns on dr   | ainage,        | there are a lot  | of places holding back    | the road that  |  |
| 73  |     | is not 2/1 which w   | ill need engine   | eering. V   | Vill the       | re be pressure   | issues with how the wa    | ater lines are |  |
| 74  |     | planned? David Jo  | ean stated ther   | e could     | be som         | e issues especi  | ally with fire flow. Ja   | red Peterson   |  |
| 75  |     | stated the top of M  | eadowlark pro   | bably ne    | eds a te       | mporary blow     | off right at the dead-end | d. Jim Chase   |  |
| 76  |     | stated the lots need   | to meet the m   | inimum      | frontage       | e on lots 88, 89 | , 90 etc. and secondary   | water needs    |  |
| 77  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | rns for the final plat.   |                |  |
| 78  |     |  |   |             |                | 5                | 1                         |                |  |
| 79  |     | JIM CHASE MO   | <b>TIONED TO</b>  | APPRO       | VE TH          | E PRELIMIN       | ARY PLAT FOR TH           | E              |  |
| 80  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | SURING THE FROM           |                |  |
| 81  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | N ABBOTT SECOND           |                |  |
| 82  |     |  |   | A La Q e la |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 83  |     | VOTE   | AYE   | (4)         | NAY            | (0) APPROV       | ED Absent Cory Thom       | inson          |  |
| 84  |     |  |   | (.)         |                | (0)111100        | LD Hobell Cory Then       | ipson          |  |
| 85  | 3.  | ZONE CHANGE I  | PROPOSALO   | FPARC       | FLS 30         | ·078·0284 30·    | 075:0290 AND 30:078:      | 0207 FROM      |  |
| 86  | 5.  | HILLSIDE RESID   |   |             |                |                  | 075.0290 IND 50.070.      | 0207 11(011    |  |
| 87  |     | <u>IIILLOIDL ILLOID</u>  |   | 1 15,000    | <u>, (17 m</u> |                  |                           |                |  |
| 88  |     | IARED PETERS   | SON MOTIO   | NFD T       | O AP           | PROVE TH         | E REZONING OF 3           | 20.072.0724    |  |
| 89  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | ENTAL TO R1-15,00         |                |  |
| 90  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | PON SALE OF PROI          |                |  |
| 90  |     | CHASE SECOND   |   |             |                |                  | I ON SALE OF TRUE         |                |  |
|     |     | CHASE SECOND   |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 92  |     | VOTE   | AVE   | (A)         | NIAN           |                  |                           |                |  |
| 93  |     | VOIE   | AIE   | (4)         | NAI            | (U)APPROV        | ED Absent Cory Thon       | ipson          |  |
| 94  | 4   | NUTE ANCE, ODEC  |   | NOT 4 2     | 1 2            |                  |                           |                |  |
| 95  | 4.  | NUISANCE: SPEC   | LIFIC NUISA   | NCE 4-2.    | A-3            |                  |                           |                |  |
| 96  |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 97  |     |  |   |             |                |                  | 7 AMENDING SPEC           |                |  |
| 98  |     | NUISANCE 4-2A-   | <b>3 WITH THE</b>   | E ADDIT     | TON O          | F THE WOR        | DS "ON THE STREET         | Г" JARED       |  |
| 99  |     | PETERSON SEC   | ONDED.  |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 100 |     | VOTE   |   | (4)         | NAV            | (0)APPROV        | /ED                       |                |  |
| 101 |     | TRICIA THOM  |   |             | 11/11          |                  |                           |                |  |
|     |     | JIM CHASE  | AYE   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 102 |     |  |   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |
| 103 |     | JARED PETER  | SON AYE   |             |                |                  |                           |                |  |

| 104 |    | NELSON ABBOTT        | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
|-----|----|----------------------|---|--|---------------|-----------|----------|----------------------|--|
| 105 |    | Cory Thompson Absent |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 106 | 5. | STORAGE OF COMME     |   | ES AN  | D EOUIF       | MENT      | IN RES   | SIDENTIAL ZON        | JES10-   |
| 107 |    | 12-26                |   | 20111  | <u>b Lyon</u> | 1,11,11,1 | III ILLA |                      | LOIU   |
| 108 |    | <u></u>              |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 109 |    | JARED PETERSON       | MOTIONED 7  | O AF   | PROVE         | ORD       | INANC    | E 21-8 AMEN          | IDING  |
| 110 |    | STORAGE OF COMMI     |   |  |               |           |          |                      | 1.11.11.11.12 (1.11)))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))))) |
| 111 |    | 10-12-26 JIM CHASE S |   |  | L LQC         |           |          |                      |  |
| 112 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 113 |    | VOTE                 | AYE (4  | 1)   |               | NAY       | (0)      | APPROVED             |  |
| 114 |    | TRICIA THOMAS        | AYE   | .)   |               |           | (0)      |                      |  |
| 115 |    | JIM CHASE            | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 116 |    | JARED PETERSON       | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 117 |    | NELSON ABBOTT        | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 118 |    | Cory Thompson absent | TTL .   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 119 |    | Cory monipson ubsent |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 120 | 6. | DARK SKY AMENDME     | NT 10-12-43 CI  | ARIFIC   | ATION         |           |          |                      |  |
| 121 | 0. | TRICIA THOMAS MO     |   |  |               | NACE      | 21-9 AN  | TENDING DAR          | K SKV  |
| 122 |    | 10-12-43 JIM CHASE S |   | I RO V   | LOIDI         |           |          |                      |  |
| 123 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 124 |    | VOTE                 | AYE (4  | 4)   |               | NAY       | (0)      | APPROVED             |  |
| 125 |    | TRICIA THOMAS        | AYE   | .)   |               | 11111     | (0)      | I I I KO V LD        |  |
| 126 |    | JIM CHASE            | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 127 |    | JARED PETERSON       | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 128 |    | NELSON ABBOTT        | AYE   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 129 |    | Cory Thompson absent |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 130 |    | eery mempeen weeen   |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 131 | 7. | APPROVAL OF CITY C   | OUNCIL MINU   | ΓES FO   | R AUGU        | IST 10.   | 2021     |                      |  |
| 132 |    | TRICIA THOMAS MO     | and have been short that the star of a second se |  |               |           |          | OM AUGUST 1          | 0. 2021  |
| 133 |    | WITH THE CLARIFIC    |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 134 |    | WERE FORMERLY CH     | 2 JIM CHASE   | SECON  | DED           |           |          |                      |  |
| 135 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 136 |    | VOTE AYE (           | 4)  | NAY  | (0)           | APPF      | ROVED    | Absent Cory Tho      | mpson  |
| 137 |    |                      | ,   |  |               |           |          |                      | 1  |
| 138 | 8. | APPROVAL OF CITY C   | OUNCIL MINU   | TES FO   | R AUGL        | JST 24,   | 2021     |                      |  |
| 139 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 140 |    | JIM CHASE MOTIONE    | d to approv   | E THE  | MINUT         | ES FRO    | OM AU    | GUST 24, 2021        | JARED  |
| 141 |    | PETERSON SECONDED    |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
|     |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 142 |    | VOTE AYE (           | 4)  | NAY  | (0)           | APPF      | ROVED    | Absent Cory Tho      | mpson  |
| 143 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          |                      |  |
| 144 |    | MOTION TO ADJOUR     |   |  |               | O AD.     | JOURN    | THE REGULAR          | R CITY   |
| 145 |    | COUNCIL MEETING TH   | LICIA THOMAS  | SECO   | NDED.         |           |          |                      |  |
| 146 |    |                      |   | State and the state of the stat | 12000         |           |          | (1952) p.445 (1944)- |  |
| 147 |    | VOTE: AYE (          | (4)   | NAY  | (0)           | APPR      | OVED A   | Absent Cory Tho      | npson  |
| 148 |    |                      |   |  |               |           |          | C                    |  |
| 149 |    |                      |   |  | N             |           | 1        | 1                    |  |
| 150 |    |                      |   | (  | Aa            | ela       | _0       | luce                 |  |
| 151 |    |                      |   | Laura  | Oliver,       | Deputy    | Record   | ler                  |  |



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge has cancelled the regular City Council Meeting on Tuesday, September 28, 2021 at 7:00 PM. The meeting is normally held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

#### CANCELLED

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 2021.

Alwer

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 24<sup>th</sup> day of September 2021.

Laura Alwer

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will be holding a <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, October 12,</u> <u>2021, at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

- 1. Audit Presentation Greg Ogden, CPA
- 2. Proposed Resolution 21-10-12-1R Storm Drain
- 3. Ratify Poll Vote- Park Grant
- 4. Approval of City Council Minutes for September 14, 2021

see attachment see attachment

see attachment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting.

Dated this 11<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021.

aura

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 11<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021.

aura M

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1<br>2<br>3 | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING<br>OCTOBER 12, 2021  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|-------------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 4           | OCTOBER 12, 2021   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5           | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6           | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for Tuesday, October 12,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7           | 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8           | Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10     | Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on October 11, 2021.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11          | ROLL CALL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12          | Mayor: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13          | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Cory Thompson, Jared Peterson, Absent - Jim Chase   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14          | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, Public Works  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15          | Director<br>Dublic: Larry Los Cordon Bounolds Frankis Bounolds Liz Maeller Dab Haddach Shally Navis Last   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16<br>17    | Public: Larry Lee, Gordon Reynolds, Frankie Reynolds, Liz Moeller, Rob Haddock, Shelly Neria, Leah<br>Neria, Greg Ogden  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18          | OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19          | Opening remarks were offered by Mayor Ellis<br>Pledge lead by Jared Peterson   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20<br>21    | Fledge lead by Jaled Felerson  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22          | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24          | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME CORY   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25          | THOMPSON SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26<br>27    | VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Jim Chase  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28          | VOTE.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30          | PUBLIC FORUM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31          | Liz Moeller stated she owns the 70 acres up by the water tank. They have an agreement with Elk Ridge   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32          | City to be able to have access to the water tank. There has been a lot of problems on their property. The public is using the access road and they are having a lot of problems with fire pits, broken glass, there is |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33<br>34    | even a manicured track on their land. They are requesting the city put a fence at the bottom of the access   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35          | road similar to what is in front of the pump house. They want to be good neighbors, but they need help.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37          | <b>REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:</b>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39<br>40    | 1. <u>AUDIT PRESENTATION GREG OGDEN, CPA</u><br><u>Greg Ogden, CPA</u> presented the results of the 20/21 audit. There were no findings or issues of   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41          | noncompliance. The city is in good financial shape. He is impressed that the city is able to not have  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42          | debt; the city is paying for things as you go instead of bonding in advance and paying them off for 30   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43          | years. The city staff does a great and thorough job in their accounting. Mayor Ellis asked how the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44          | non-operating revenues, 2-4% income range, is calculated and how does the city make sure that it's   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45          | not over generating or under generating. Greg Ogden stated the operating income or loss tells the city   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46          | if it is generating enough revenue in fees to cover the cost of running a department as well as cash   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47<br>48    | reserves for covering repairs and emergencies. <u>Mayor Ellis</u> asked where the audit goes from after Greg's audit. <u>Greg Ogden</u> stated that Curtis Roberts will submit audit to the state auditor office where |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49          | it will be placed on the state website. Generally, the state won't contact the city unless the same  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50          | finding comes up 3 years in a row. If those findings are not taken care of then the state starts holding   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51          | sales tax, property tax etc. until the problem is fixed.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53          |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 54  | 2. | PROPOSED RES   | OLUTION 21-1   | 0-12-1R   | STORM               |                     | N   |  |
|-----|----|--|--|-----------|---------------------|---------------------|---|--|
| 55  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 56  |    | <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated the city received funds from the Federal government from the American Rescue<br>Fund. He submitted a matching grant application for storm drain between Powell Road, Canyon View |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 57  |    | and Park Dr. which will tie into the new development that will give the city foundation for  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 58  |    | improvements for   | the future The   | ARPA      | orant m             | atch am             | ount could be up to \$475,000, which is   |  |
| 59  |    |  | improvements for the future. The ARPA grant match amount could be up to \$475, 000. which is \$300,000 plus the amount \$175,000 the residents will have to pay for their curb and gutter. |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 60  |    | \$500,000 pius me  | amount \$175,00  | o the res | sidents             |                     | to pay for their curb and gutter.         |  |
| 61  |    | CORV THOMPS  | ON MOTION  |           |                     | DESOI               | LUTION 21-10-12-1R FOR                    |  |
|     |    |  |  |           |                     |                     | ND FOR THE PURPOSE OF                     |  |
| 62  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 63  |    |  |  | IPROV     | ENTEIN              | IS AND              | MAINTENANCE JARED                         |  |
| 64  |    | PETERSON SEC   | UNDED  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 65  |    | VOTE   |  | NT 4 N7   | $\langle 0 \rangle$ |                     |   |  |
| 66  |    | VOTE   | AYE (4)  | NAY       | (0)                 | APPRO               | OVED Absent Jim Chase                     |  |
| 67  |    | Cory Thompson  | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 68  |    | Tricia Thompson  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 69  |    | Nelson Abbott  | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 70  |    | Jared Peterson   | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 71  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 72  | 3. | RATIFY POLL VO   |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 73  |    | Mayor Ellis sent or  | ut a poll vote for   | r the cou | inty par            | k grant fo          | or the amount of \$2,059.50 for the Mount |  |
| 74  |    | Loafer Picnic area.  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 75  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 76  |    | NELSON ABBO  | TT MOTION  | ED TC     | ) RAT               | IFY TH              | HE POLL VOTE FOR THE 20/21                |  |
| 77  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     | OUNT OF \$2,059.50 TRICIA THOMAS          |  |
| 78  |    | SECONDED   |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 79  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 80  |    | VOTE   | AYE (3)  | NAY       | (0) A               | BSTAIN              | (1) APPROVED Absent Jim Chase             |  |
| 81  |    | Cory Thompson  | ABSTAIN  |           | (0) 11              | Donm                | (1) THIRE TED TROSENT SIM Chase           |  |
| 82  |    | Tricia Thomas  | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 83  |    | Nelson Abbott  | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 84  |    | Jared Peterson   | AYE  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 85  |    | For the record Jim   |  | 7         |                     |                     |   |  |
| 86  |    | I of the record Jill   | Chase vote ATT   | 2         |                     |                     |   |  |
| 87  | 4. | APPROVAL OF C  | TTV COLINICII  | MINUT     | TES EC              | D CEDT              | EMBER 12 2021                             |  |
|     | 4. | AFINOVALOF   |  |           | IESIC               | N SEPT              | EMBER 12, 2021                            |  |
| 88  |    | IADED DETEDSC  |  |           |                     | א רדדירי כ          |   |  |
| 89  |    | JAKED PETEKSC  | N MOTIONEL   | 10 AP     | PROVE               | 1 HE M              | INUTES FROM SEPTEMBER 12, 2021            |  |
| 90  |    | TRICIA THOMAS  | S SECONDED.  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 91  |    | VOTE   | AYE (4)  |           | NAV                 | (0)                 | APPROVED Absent Jim Chase                 |  |
| 92  |    | VOIL   | AIL(4)   |           | INAI                | (0)                 | AFFROVED Absent Jim Chase                 |  |
|     |    | MOTION TO AT   | MOUDN. NEL   |           | DOTT                | MOTIO               | NED TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR                |  |
| 93  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 94  |    | CITY COUNCIL I   | VIEETING COP   |           | MP301               | N SECUI             | NDED.                                     |  |
| 95  |    | VOTE   |  |           |                     | $\langle 0 \rangle$ |   |  |
| 96  |    | VOTE:  | AYE (4)  |           | NAY                 | (0)                 | APPROVED Absent Jim Chase                 |  |
| 97  |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |
| 98  |    |  |  |           |                     | 1                   | A.C.                                      |  |
| 99  |    |  |  |           | $\rightarrow$       | Laur                | a Oliver                                  |  |
| 100 |    |  |  |           | Laŭra               | Oliver,             | Deputy Kecorder                           |  |
|     |    |  |  |           |                     |                     |   |  |



## AMENDED NOTICE AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will be holding a <u>City Council Meeting on Tuesday, October 26,</u> <u>2021, at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 7:00 PM - REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

- 1. Ambleview Estates Preliminary Plat Decision
- 2. Accessory Apartment Amendment
- 3. Employment Amendment
- 4. Fraud Assessment
- 5. Write Offs; Uncollectable Closed Utility Accounts
- 6. Financial Review
- 7. Approve Minutes from City Council Meeting from Oct. 12, 2021

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 26<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021.

aura Oliver

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

## **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 26<sup>th</sup> day of October 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment see attachment

see attachment

see attachment

see attachment

| 1        |  |                                      | ELK RIDGE                 |   |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--------------------------------------|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | OCTOBER 26, 2021   |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | TIME AND PLACE OF N  | AFETING                              |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 6        |  |                                      | Elk Ridge City Council    | l was scheduled for Tuesday, October 26,    |  |  |  |  |
| 7        |  |                                      |                           | Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        |  |                                      |                           | was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145   |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | E. Utah Ave, Payso   | on, Utah, and to the m               | embers of the Governing   | ng Body on October 26, 2021.                |  |  |  |  |
| 10       |  |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL  |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis  | NT 1                                 |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 13       |  |                                      |                           | npson, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase            |  |  |  |  |
| 14       |  |                                      | r, Laura Oliver, Depi     | uty Recorder, David Jean, Public Works      |  |  |  |  |
| 15<br>16 |  | Deputy Coffe<br>Tanya Willis, Liz Me | oeller                    |   |  |  |  |  |
|          |  | •                                    |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | OPENING REMARKS-   |                                      | l Ioon                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| 18<br>19 | Pledge lead by Ne  | were offered by David                | 1 Jean                    |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | Tredge read by Ne  | ISOII AUUUII                         |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | APPROVAL/AGENDA T  | <b>IME FRAME</b>                     |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 22       |  |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | NELSON ABBOT   | TT MOTIONED TO                       | APPROVE THE A             | GENDA AND TIME FRAME JARED                  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | PETERSON SEC   | ONDED                                |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | Association (1997) - 14  |                                      |                           | 1 100000000000000000000000000000000000      |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | VOTE:  | AYE (5)                              | NAY (0)                   | APPROVED                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | DIDLIC FODIM   |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29 | PUBLIC FORUM   | up on the city tellsing              | a to the chariff monito   | ring ATVS and off road vehicles on south    |  |  |  |  |
| 30       |  |                                      | ut a gate in at the top o |   |  |  |  |  |
| 31       |  |                                      |                           | ail meetings and to follow up in a week or  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       |  | and to contact the city              |                           | an meetings and to renow up in a week of    |  |  |  |  |
| 33       | 5  | ž                                    |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 34       | REGULAR CITY COUN  | CIL MEETING AGI                      | ENDA ITEMS:               |   |  |  |  |  |
| 35       |  |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 36       |  |                                      | INARY PLAT DECIS          |   |  |  |  |  |
| 37       |  |                                      |                           | d a revised plan and the only question the  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       |  |                                      |                           | oing to be sumps. If a basin is needed then |  |  |  |  |
| 39<br>40 | it needs to be in the plans for preliminary. <u>Ryan Bybee</u> confirmed that the development is planned   |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 40       | with sumps. <u>Tricia Thomas</u> confirmed that the commercial is accessed on 11200 and the residential does not open onto Elk Ridge Dr or 11200. Ryan Bybee confirmed this. <u>Jared Peterson stated he likes</u> |                                      |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 42       |  |                                      |                           | r or 11200 and the commercial acreage is    |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | larger and fro   | nting 11200. and mor                 | e in tune with the Ger    | neral Plan. The commercial unit for unit is |  |  |  |  |
| 44       |  |                                      |                           | alent to connections. Nelson Abbott stated  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       | this address h   | is issues. The plan m                | naximizes the frontage    | on 11200 for the commercial area. Jim       |  |  |  |  |
| 46       |  |                                      |                           | tes the area along 11200. Cory Thompson     |  |  |  |  |
| 47       |  |                                      |                           | ercial property and no one could tell him a |  |  |  |  |
| 48       | better place fo  | or it and he is convinc              | ed that the commercial    | needs to remain part of the development.    |  |  |  |  |
| 49       | NEL CONTAT   | DOTT MOTION                          |                           |   |  |  |  |  |
| 50       |  |                                      |                           | ABLEVIEW ESTATES PRELIMINARY                |  |  |  |  |
| 51<br>52 | FLAT AS PK   | LSENTED JAKED P                      | ETERSON SECONDI           | UD.   |  |  |  |  |
| 52       | VOTE:  | AYE (5)                              | NAY (0)                   | APPROVED                                    |  |  |  |  |
| 55       | VOIL.  |                                      | 1111 (0)                  |   |  |  |  |  |

| 10.00   |    |   |   |  |   |
|---|----|---|---|--|---|
| 54  |    |   |   |  |   |
| 55  |    |   |   |  |   |
| 56  | 2  |   |   |  |   |
| 57  | 2. | ACCESSORY APAR  |   |  |   |
| 58  |    | <u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated this  | is a draft of the acces   | ssory apartment w  | vith a few changes. This will go to Planning  |
| 59  |    |   |   |  | ensued on existing apartment grandfathered  |
| 60  |    |   |   |  | se frontage needed to be revised and access   |
| 61  |    |   |   | amendment and s  | send feed back for revisions before it is sent  |
| 62  |    | to Planning Commission  | on.   |  |   |
| 63  | 2  | EMDI OVA (ENT. AMI  |   |  |   |
| 64  | 3. | EMPLOYMENT AM   |   | C 1  |   |
| 65  |    |   |   |  | and powers for careful consideration. The   |
| 66  |    |   |   |  | port of the council is needed to change the   |
| 67  |    | powers and duties of the  | ne mayor. There are   | pros and cons to   | this; review it carefully for next council.   |
| 68  | 4  | EDALID ACCECCME   | NTT   |  |   |
| 69<br>70  | 4. | FRAUD ASSESSME  |   | 1  |   |
| 70  |    |   |   |  | he city is required to do. Elk Ridge has a  |
| 71  |    | _   | 6   | 1  | ved upon but there are no actions that need   |
| 72  |    |   |   |  | be approved upon with a written posily. The   |
| 73<br>74  |    |   |   |  | en policy. This will be part of the city audit  |
| 74<br>75  |    | and turned into the stat  | te. The council needs   | s to accept the ass  | sessment as presented.  |
| 73<br>76  |    | CODV THOMDSON   |   | CCEDT THE ED   | AUD RISK ASSESSMENT FROM THE  |
| 70  |    |   |   |  | REVISION DATE MARCH 2020 AS   |
| 78  |    | PRESENTED JIM CH  |   | VVIIII IIIL  | REVISION DATE MARCH 2020 AS   |
| 78<br>79  |    | I RESERTED JIM CI   | TASE SECONDED   |  |   |
| 13  |    |   |   |  |   |
| 80  |    | VOTE: AN  | VF (5)  | NAV(0)   | APPROVED  |
| 80<br>81  |    | VOTE: AY  | YE (5)  | NAY (0)  | APPROVED  |
| 81  | 5  |   |   | . ,  |   |
| 81<br>82  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL   | LECTABLE CLOS   | ED UTILITY A   | CCOUNTS   |
| 81<br>82<br>83  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved   | <u>ACCOUNTS</u> away and did not settle their final bill.   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84  | 5. | <u>WRITEOFF; UNCOL</u><br><u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated thes<br>They have been in coll  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account   | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>me, and they have<br>ad is a very small  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84  | 5. | <u>WRITEOFF; UNCOL</u><br><u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any account  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>me, and they have<br>ad is a very small  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account   | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>me, and they have<br>ad is a very small  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86  | 5. | <u>WRITEOFF; UNCOL</u><br><u>Mayor Ellis</u> stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any account  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>me, and they have<br>ad is a very small  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom  | <u>ACCOUNTS</u><br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir<br>is go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>nmended by the city accountant Curtis  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>//RITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>//RITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir<br>is go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SEC   | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>WRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>//RITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91  | 5. | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES  | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SEC   | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>WRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93  |    | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any account<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES<br>VOTE: AS<br>FINANCIAL REVIEV   | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>tts for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)   | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>WRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED   |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94                                      |    | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES<br>VOTE: AY<br>FINANCIAL REVIEW<br>Mayor Ellis pointed of                            | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tir<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)<br><u>W</u><br>ut an error that was f   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>ARITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95                                |    | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any account<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES<br>VOTE: AY<br>FINANCIAL REVIEW<br>Mayor Ellis pointed of<br>was correct, but it ha | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>is go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY THE<br>YE (5)<br>W<br>ut an error that was fue<br>doubled the July   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>amended by the city accountant Curtis<br>VRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95<br>96                          |    | WRITEOFF; UNCOL<br>Mayor Ellis stated thes<br>They have been in coll<br>Some of these account<br>written off any accoun<br>Richards.<br>JARED PETERSON N<br>CLOSED UTILITY A<br>GUIDANCE AS PRES<br>VOTE: AY<br>FINANCIAL REVIEW<br>Mayor Ellis pointed of                            | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>is go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY THE<br>YE (5)<br>W<br>ut an error that was fue<br>doubled the July   | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat  | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>amended by the city accountant Curtis<br>VRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95<br>96<br>97                    |    | WRITEOFF; UNCOLMayor EllisSome of these accountSome of these accountwritten off any accountRichards.JARED PETERSON NCLOSED UTILITY AGUIDANCE AS PRESVOTE:AYFINANCIAL REVIEYMayor Ellis pointed ofwas correct, but it hasoftware company. Th   | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>ats for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)<br>W<br>ut an error that was fund<br>doubled the July<br>here were no other qu                                      | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat<br>uestions or conce                                 | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>ARITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the<br>erns.                                  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95<br>96<br>97<br>98              | 6. | WRITEOFF; UNCOLMayor EllisSome of these accountSome of these accountwritten off any accountRichards.JARED PETERSON NCLOSED UTILITY AGUIDANCE AS PRESVOTE:AYFINANCIAL REVIEYMayor Ellis pointed ofwas correct, but it hasoftware company. Th   | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>ts go back to 2015 an<br>ats for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)<br>W<br>ut an error that was fund<br>doubled the July<br>here were no other qu                                      | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat<br>uestions or conce                                 | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>amended by the city accountant Curtis<br>VRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95<br>96<br>97<br>98<br>99        | 6. | WRITEOFF; UNCOLMayor EllisSome of these accountSome of these accountwritten off any accountRichards.JARED PETERSON NCLOSED UTILITY AGUIDANCE AS PRESVOTE:AYFINANCIAL REVIEYMayor Ellispointed ofwas correct, but it hasoftware company. ThAPPROVE MINUTES                             | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>is go back to 2015 and<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY THE<br>YE (5)<br>$\underline{W}$<br>ut an error that was ful<br>doubled the July<br>here were no other quits<br>S FROM CITY COU | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat<br>uestions or conce<br>UNCIL MEETIN                 | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>mended by the city accountant Curtis<br>ARITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the<br>erns.                                  |
| 81<br>82<br>83<br>84<br>85<br>86<br>87<br>88<br>89<br>90<br>91<br>91<br>92<br>93<br>94<br>95<br>96<br>97<br>98<br>99<br>100 | 6. | WRITEOFF; UNCOLMayor EllisSome of these accountSome of these accountwritten off any accountRichards.JARED PETERSON NCLOSED UTILITY AGUIDANCE AS PRESVOTE:AYFINANCIAL REVIEYMayor Ellispointed ofwas correct, but it hasoftware company. ThAPPROVE MINUTES                             | LECTABLE CLOS<br>se accounts are reside<br>lections for a long tin<br>its go back to 2015 an<br>its for at over 7 years<br>MOTIONED TO AP<br>ACCOUNTS IN THE<br>SENTED CORY TH<br>YE (5)<br>W<br>ut an error that was f<br>ad doubled the July<br>here were no other qu<br>S FROM CITY COU                  | ED UTILITY A<br>ents who moved<br>ne, and they have<br>ad is a very small<br>. This was recom<br>PROVE THE W<br>E AMOUNT OF<br>IOMPSON SECO<br>NAY (0)<br>from a software g<br>revenue on wat<br>uestions or conce<br>UNCIL MEETIN<br>PROVE THE OC | ACCOUNTS<br>away and did not settle their final bill.<br>e not been able to collect or in bankruptcy .<br>I list of 11 accounts, and the city has not<br>amended by the city accountant Curtis<br>WRITE OFF OF THE UNCOLLECTABLE<br>\$3,642.80 PER CURTIS ROBERTS<br>ONDED<br>APPROVED<br>glitch. The correct amount is in the register<br>ter sales. This has been rectified with the<br>erns.<br><u>NG FROM OCT. 12, 2021</u> |

| 103 | VOTE:        | AYE (4)           | NAY (0)       | APPROVED Abstain 1-Jim Chase |
|-----|--------------|-------------------|---------------|------------------------------|
| 104 |              |                   |               |                              |
| 105 | MOTION TO AI | DJOURN: NELSON AI | BOTT MOTIO    | NED TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR   |
| 106 | CITY COUNCIL | MEETING CORY THO  | MPSON SECON   | NDED.                        |
| 107 |              |                   |               |                              |
| 108 | VOTE:        | AYE (5)           | NAY (0)       | APPROVED                     |
| 109 |              |                   |               |                              |
| 110 |              |                   | $\rho$        | X                            |
| 111 |              |                   | Jau           | ca) (lever)                  |
| 112 |              |                   | Laura Oliver, | Deputy Recorder              |
|     |              |                   |               |                              |



ELK RIDGE CITY - 80 East Park Drive - Elk Ridge, UT - 84651 801.423.2300 <u>staff@elkridgecity.org</u> www.elkridgecity.org

### **NOTICE AGENDA**

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a Public Hearing in conjunction with the regular <u>City Council</u> <u>Meeting on Tuesday, November 9, 2021, at 7:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

### **CITY COUNCIL MEETING**

Opening Remarks if Volunteered Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call Public Forum

### **REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING and PUBLIC HEARING**

**<u>PUBLIC HEARING:</u>** 10-12-5 Accessory Building Regulations, Accessory Building Prohibited as Living Quarters, and 10-12-29 Accessory Apartment see attachment

- 1. 10-12-5 Accessory Building Regulations, Accessory Building Prohibited as Living Quarters, and 10-12-29 Accessory Apartment
- 2. Employment Amendment, Ordinance 21-11
- 3. Elk Ridge Property Subdivision
- 4. Financial Review
- 5. City Council Minutes for October 26, 2021

see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment

Closed Session if Necessary Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this  $8^{th}$  day of November 2021.

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

#### CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 8<sup>th</sup> day of November 2021.

Oliver, Deputy Recorder

Laura Onver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

| 1<br>2   | ELK RIDGE<br>CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 3        | NOVEMBER 9, 2021  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday</b> , <b>November</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | 9, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | Utah. Notice of the time, place, an amended Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10  | 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on November 18, 2021.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Council Members: Tricia Thomas, Cory Thompson, Jared Peterson, Jim Chase, Absent- Nelson Abbott,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, Public Works Director,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15<br>16 | Public: Larry Lee, Rory McWhorter, Dave Cherrington, Bruce Thorpe, Brett Robbins, Josh Boehler, Scott   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | Moldenhaur, Liz Moldenhaur, Tanya Willis, Jared Turley, Rob Haddock, Annette McWhorter,   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | Natalie, Erdmann, Kendall Call, Sarah Call, Megan Anderson  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | <b>OPENING REMARKS-INVITATION</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | Opening remarks were offered by Tricia Thomas   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21<br>22 | Pledge lead by David Jean   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME JIM  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | CHASE SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27<br>28 | VOTE: AYE (4) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent- Nelson Abbott  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30       | Mayor Ellis opened the Public Hearing for 10-12-5 Accessory Building Regulations, Accessory Building  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | Prohibited as Living Quarters, and 10-12-29 Accessory Apartment   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32<br>33 | Tanya Willis is concerned that the accessory building/accessory apartment has not been flushed out enough   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34       | and is concerned about what could slip through. She thinks that the accessory apartments residents can do   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | now is enough and she is aware that the city needs to do this for affordable housing but thinks the city  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | should wait.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37<br>38 | PUBLIC FORUM  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | <u>Rory McWhorter</u> - Concerned with the subdivision going in on Olympic Lane and thinks restrictive  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40       | covenants need to go in and is concerned about his view. People spent more money to purchase a view lot.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41       | He moved here because it is a bedroom community and its quiet, but he didn't know he could not even   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       | access the mountains and thinks the city should purchase property so residents can have access.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43<br>44 | <u>David Cherrington</u> - Rory stated what his concerns<br><u>Bruce Thorpe</u> - He served on Planning Commission a few years ago. The general plan had that area as a |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       | park and green space. They were not promised a park but was told there would never be houses there. He  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | wants the area to remain green space and does not feel that a home on the corner of Elk Ridge Dr and  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47       | Goosenest would be a good place to build.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48       | Brett Robbins- Bruce already stated his concerns as well.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49<br>50 | Josh Boehler-Also agrees with what Bruce said and thinks the city should stick with the general plan. He is within 300 feet and didn't get notified.                    |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 51       | <u>Tanya Willis-She is concerned about the 24 hour notice and a couple of the items on the agenda tonight</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 52       | were not posted until after 1pm today. The residents need to be informed, that is the law. The General Plan   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 53       | calls for this to be a park and people make monetary decisions based on the city's General Plan. One time   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 54  |                           |   | es her concerned about the city's ongoing revenue stream and the   |  |  |  |  |  |
|-----|---------------------------|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 55  | 1 1                       | upkeep on a new building<br>Jared Turley would like to know if anyone on the aity council has any direct or indirect herefit on the |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 56  |                           | Jared Turley would like to know if anyone on the city council has any direct or indirect benefit on the                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 57  |                           | property that has been discussed- rhetorical question. The gentlemen that gave the city property is upset                           |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 58  |                           | because the intent was to be green space and park. Not sure why the city needs a building, is concerned                             |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 59  |                           | about people losing their views.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 60  |                           |   | has outgrown this building- the city has 3 office employees, that  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 61  |                           |   | the master plan it is there to protect us. He is opposed to restricting  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 62  |                           |   | s politically motivated.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 63  |                           |   | ot being transparent with us and allowing us to understand what i  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 64  |                           |   | eals of the community. Does not understand why the city needs  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 65  |                           |   | should be in the commercial area.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 66  | Megan Anderson-se         | conds what Annette  | said.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 67  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 68  | Mayor Ellis thanked       | l all and closed the pu   | public forum.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 69  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 70  | JIM CHASE MOTIO           | ONED TO CLOSE T   | THE PUBLIC HEARING TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 71  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 72  | VOTE:                     | AYE (4)   | NAY (0) APPROVED Absent- Nelson Abbott   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 73  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 74  | Mayor Ellis turned t      | the time over to Plan   | uning Commission member Maureen Bushman to fill the counci   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75  |                           |   | on had regarding Longview Meadows.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76  | Maureen Bushman           | stated that the Planni  | ing Commission had concerns on the Canyon View Road layour   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77  |                           |   | e lots was short. The recommendation was the plan to be revise   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78  |                           |   | ry building needed clarification on size of the building allowed.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 79  |                           |   | fining/specific. Planning Commission would like to have a Tow  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 80  |                           |   | council member and planning commission member to answe   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81  |                           | rocesses etc. Tentativ  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82  | queenens, enpremi p       |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 83  | <b>REGULAR CITY COUNC</b> | CIL MEETING AGI   | ENDA ITEMS:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 84  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 85  | 1. <u>10-12-5 ACCE</u>    | ESSORY BUILDING   | G REGULATIONS, ACCESSORY BUILDING PROHIBITED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 86  | <u>AS LIVING Q</u>        | UARTERS, AND 10   | 0-12-29 ACCESSORY APARTMENT  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 87  | <u>Mayor Ellis</u> ma     | ade a few changes sine  | nce the council last saw the amendment. Jim Chase stated that  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 88  | short term renta          | al needs be in the ame  | endment for accessory apartment section as well. Cory Thompsor   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 89  | still is concerne         | ed about off street par   | rking and not being able to park in the front setback of the home.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 90  | Discussion ens            | ued on off street park  | king. Mayor Ellis stated that he does have concerns if this will   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 91  | change the com            | plexity of the city. Pl   | Planning Commission had concerns about the size of the accessory   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92  |                           |   | the code already that deals with that? What is to stop someone   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93  |                           |   | ory Thompson will work on the wording for off street parking.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94  | 2. EMPLOYMEN              | T AMENDMENT, C  | ORDINANCE 21-11  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 95  | Mayor Ellis tab           | oled this amendment i   | indefinitely. If council wants this to move forward to come and  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 96  | talk to him.              |   | •  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 97  |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 98  | 3. ELK RIDGE P            | ROPERTY SUBDIV  | VISION   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 99  |                           |   | to council that he intends to file application for subdivision. The  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 100 | city must follow          | the development pro   | ocess just like everyone else. It will go through public hearing etc.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 101 |                           |   | jan internet in the state of th |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 | 4. <u>FINANCIAL R</u>     | EVIEW   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 102 |                           | comments or concerns  | 18.  |  |  |  |  |  |
|     |                           |   |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 104   |    |                |                   |               |                                  |
|-------|----|----------------|-------------------|---------------|----------------------------------|
| 105   | 5. | CITY COUNCIL N | MINUTES FOR OCTOE | ER 26, 2021   |                                  |
| 106   |    |                |                   |               |                                  |
| 107   |    | CORY THOMPSC   | N MOTIONED TO AP  | PROVE THE M   | INUTES FOR OCTOBER 26, 2021, JIM |
| 108   |    | CHASE SECOND   |                   |               |                                  |
| 109   |    |                |                   |               |                                  |
| 110   |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)           | NAY (0)       | APPROVED Absent- Nelson Abbott   |
| 111   |    |                |                   |               |                                  |
| 112   |    | MOTION TO AI   | DJOURN: JIM CHASE | MOTIONED 7    | TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR CITY      |
| 113   |    | COUNCIL MEET   | TNG JARED PETERSC | N SECONDED    |                                  |
| 9 J T |    | LOTE           |                   |               |                                  |
| 114   |    | VOTE:          | AYE (4)           | NAY (0)       | APPROVED Absent- Nelson Abbott   |
| 115   |    |                |                   |               |                                  |
| 116   |    |                |                   | $\cap$        |                                  |
| 117   |    |                |                   | -             |                                  |
| 118   |    |                |                   | Nai           | nu alwa                          |
| 119   |    |                |                   | Laura Oliver, | Deputy Recorder                  |
|       |    |                |                   |               |                                  |



Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will hold a special <u>City Council Meeting on November 16, 2021,</u> <u>at 6:00 PM.</u> The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

## 6:00 PM - CITY COUNCIL AGENDA ITEMS:

Roll Call Approval/Agenda, Time Frame

## AGENDA ITEMS

1. Canvass and Certify 2021 Municipal Election Results

Adjournment

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 16<sup>th</sup> day of November 2021.

aura Muer

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### **CERTIFICATION**

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 16<sup>th</sup> day of November 2021.

aura Oliver

Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

| 1        | ELK RIDGE  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | <b>NOVEMBER 16, 2021</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4<br>5   | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This special meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday, November 16, 2021, at</b> |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | 6:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. Notice      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave,     |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9        | Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on November 16, 2021.                               |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       | ROLL CALL  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | Mayor: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Jim Chase, Cory Thompson, Absent - Tricia Thomas Jared Peterson            |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15       | Public: Tanya Willis, Joseph Willis, Christy Haddock, Rob Haddock  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | SPECIAL CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEM:  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | 1. Canvass and Certify 2021 Municipal Election Results   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | in <u>Juirub and John / 2021 Manorpar Elociton Robarts</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | Official Results   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | Mayor  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       | Robert Haddock 728 votes 57.2 %  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | Gordon Reynold 545 votes 42.8%   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       | George Potter eliminated   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | City Council   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | Tanya Willis684 votes 55.93%   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28       | Jared Peterson 539 votes 44.07%  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30       | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO PASS RESOLUTION # 21-11-16-1R ACCEPTING AND                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | CERTIFYING THE NOVEMBER 2, 2021 ELECTION RESULTS AS PROVIDED BY THE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       | UTAH COUNTY CLERK AUDITORS, ELECTION DIVISION JIM CHASE SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33       | VOTE AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34<br>35 | VOTE AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED<br>Cory Thompson AYE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | Nelson Abbott AYE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37       | Jim Chase AYE  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       | Juit Chase ATE   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | Jared Peterson Absent  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40       | Tricia Thompson Absent   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41       | Their Thompson Acosent   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       | MOTION TO ADJOURN: NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE SPECIAL CITY                                      |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | COUNCIL MEETING CORY THOMPSON SECONDED.  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44       | CONTRACTING CONTENTION DON DECONDED.   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       | VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | Absent Jared Peterson, Tricia Thompson   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47       |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48       | $\rho$ $\lambda$ .   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49       | - alle Muser   |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50       | Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder  |  |  |  |  |  |
|          | Laura Grier, Deputy Recorder   |  |  |  |  |  |



## NOTICE of AGENDA

Notice is hereby given that the City Council of Elk Ridge will be holding a City Council Meeting on Tuesday, December 14, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting will be held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 E. Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.

> **Opening Remarks if Volunteered** Pledge of Allegiance Approval/Agenda Time Frame Roll Call **Public Forum**

#### 7:00 PM -**REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:**

- 1. Voter Participation Area, School Board Boundaries Resolution 12-12-14-1R see attachment
- 2. Proposed Zoning Amendment of Parcels 30:075:0122 and 30:075:0107 From R&L 20,000 to R-1-20,000
- 3. Accessory Building, Accessory Apartment Proposed Amendment
- 4. Longview Meadows Estates Subdivision Preliminary Plat
- 5. South County Road Naming Discussion
- 6. Financial Review
- 7. Approval of City Council Minutes from Nov. 9, 2021
- 8. Approval of City Council Minutes from Nov. 16, 2021
- 9. Mayor Ellis

Handicap Access, Upon Request. (48 Hours Notice)

The times that appear on this agenda may be accelerated if time permits. All interested persons are invited to attend this meeting. Dated this 10<sup>th</sup> day of December 2021.

Oliver, Deputy Recorder

### CERTIFICATION

I, the undersigned, duly appointed and acting Deputy Recorder for the municipality of Elk Ridge, do hereby certify that a copy of the Notice of Agenda was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to each member of the Governing Body on the 10<sup>th</sup> day of December 2021.

alla) Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder

\*Please note: If you would like to address council in the Public Forum, you will be required to sign in and state the topic of

your discussion by the time the regular council meeting begins. You will then be called upon at your time to speak. Comments are limited to 2 minutes.

see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment see attachment

| 1        | ELK RIDGE  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|----------|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| 2        | CITY COUNCIL MEETING   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3        | <b>DECEMBER 14, 2021</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4        |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5        | TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6        | This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday</b> ,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7        | December 14, 2021, at 7:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8        | Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9<br>10  | Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on December 13, 2021. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12       | ROLL CALL  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13       | Mayor: Ty Ellis  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14       | Council Members: Nelson Abbott, Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Absent - Cory Thompson, Jim Chase   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15<br>16 | Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, David Jean, <i>Public</i>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17       | Works Director   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18       | Public: Larry Lee, Joe Wilkins, Michael Langton, Benjamin Merrill, Hank Goodnow, Collin  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19       | Brinkerhoff, Mari Paxton, Tom Pierce, Tanya Willis, Hailey Wilkerson, Trevor   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20       | Freemen, Millie Taylor, Elaine Auman, Alex Hill, Karston Crawford, Riley Perkins,  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21       | <b>OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION</b>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22       | Opening remarks were offered by Royce Swensen  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23       | Pledge lead by Tricia Thomas   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25       | APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26       | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27       | WITH THE SWITCHING OF ITEMS 3 AND 4 TO DISCUSS BOTH ASPECTS OF THIS<br>PROPERTY TOCETHER NELSON A PROTT SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28<br>29 | PROPERTY TOGETHER NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30       | VOTE: AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31       | Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 32       | Robert Cory Thompson, Juli Chase   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 33       | PUBLIC FORUM   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 34       | Tanya Willis stated her research showed that Elk Ridge is exempt from the moderate-income  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 35       | housing because the city's population is under 5000 because it is determined by population at the  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36       | date the bill was enacted. The city needs to work on this but feels that the allowing accessory  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37       | apartment in an accessory building is opening a pandora's box.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 38       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 39       | <b>REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:</b>  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 40       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 41       | 1. VOTER PARTICIPATION AREA, SCHOOL BOARD BOUNDARIES RESOLUTION 12-12-   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 42       | <u>14-1R</u>   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 43       | Mayor Ellis stated that the state was delayed in approving the voter boundary changes but  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 44       | requested that the cities approve the resolution for the current voter boundary with the   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 45       | understanding that new boundaries are being created.   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 46       | LADED DETEDGON MOTIONED TO ADDROUD DEGOLATION OF A A A A A   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47<br>49 | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE RESOLUTION 21-12-14-1R VOTER  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48<br>49 | PARTICIPATION AREA, SCHOOL BOARD BOUNDARIES WITH THE CURRENT<br>BOUNDARIES NELSON ABBOTT SECONDED  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 49<br>50 | DOUNDARIES NELSON ADDOI I SECONDED   |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 50       |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

| 51   | VOTE AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase                                  |
|------|--|
| 52   |  |
| 53   | Nelson Abbott AYE  |
| 54   | Tricia Thomas AYE  |
| 55   | Jared Peterson AYE   |
| 56   |  |
| 57   | 2. PROPOSED ZONING AMENDMENT OF PARCELS 30:075:0122 AND  |
| 58   | 30:075:0107 FROM R&L 20,000 TO R-1-20,000  |
| 59   | Maureen Bushman - Planning Commission briefed the council on the discussion on the             |
| 60   | rezoning of Longview Meadows the commission thought this made perfect sense to remove          |
| 61   | the animal rights from this size lot in this area.   |
| 62   | Mayor Ellis stated that the rezoning of this property is only taking the animal rights         |
| 63   | out, the size of the lots stays at 20,000. Discussion ensued that it makes sense to            |
| 64   | remove animal rights such as horses from this size lot.  |
| 65   |  |
| 66   | TRICIA THOMAS MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE REZONING OF  |
| 67   | PARCELS 30:075:0122 AND 30:075:0107 FROM R&L 20,000 TO R-1-20,000                              |
| 68   | JARED PETERSON SECONDED  |
| 69   |  |
| 70   | VOTE AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase                                  |
| 71   |  |
| . 72 | Nelson Abbott AYE  |
| 73   | Tricia Thomas AYE  |
| 74   | Jared Peterson AYE   |
| 75   |  |
| 76   | 3. LONGVIEW MEADOWS ESTATES SUBDIVISION PRELIMINARY PLAT                                       |
| 77   | Mayor Ellis stated this is the preliminary plat for Longview Meadows Estates. Discussion       |
| 78   | ensued on all the frontages of the lots which are now to code and the intersection at Canyon   |
| 79   | View and Sunset had been improved as well as the turnaround area for plows and emergency       |
| 80   | services on Sunset. Nelson Abbott stated that Sunset was originally named differently than     |
| 81   | Canyon View because it was intended to be cut off from Canyon View.                            |
| 82   |  |
| 83   | JARED PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE LONGVIEW MEADOWS  |
| 84   | ESTATES PRELIMINARY PLAT TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED  |
| 85   |  |
| 86   | VOTE AYE (3) NAY (0) APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase                                  |
| 87   |  |
| 88   | Nelson Abbott AYE  |
| 89   | Tricia Thomas AYE  |
| 90   | Jared Peterson AYE   |
| 91   |  |
| 92   | 4. ACCESSORY BUILDING, ACCESSORY APARTMENT PROPOSED AMENDMENT                                  |
| 93   | Discussion ensued on the change n the off-street parking for the accessory apartment to being  |
| 94   | allowed I the driveway in front of the house. Jared Peterson would like the city to check into |
| 95   | the moderate-income housing bill and clarify if the city is exempt or not.                     |
| 96   | с у у таката таката со пол   |
| 97   | NELSON ABBOTT MOTIONED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 21-11 10-15-5:                                     |
| 98   | ACCESSORY BUILDING REGULATIONS, 10-12-6: ACCESSORY BUILDING                                    |
| 99   | PROHIBITED AS LIVING QUARTERS: 10-12-29: ACCESSORY APARTMENTS:                                 |
| 100  | WITH THE EXCEPTION OF ALLOWING THE USE OF THE DRIVEWAY FOR                                     |
| 101  | OFF STREET PARKING FOR THE ACCESSORY APARTMENT LOCATED   |

| 102      | WITHIN THE FRONT SETBACK TRICIA THOMAS SECONDED |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
|----------|---|------------------|----------------|-----------|----------|--|--|--|
| 103      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 104      |   | VOTE             | AYE (3)        | NAY       | (0)      | APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase           |  |  |
| 105      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 106      |   | Nelson Abbott    |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 107      |   | Tricia Thomas    |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 108      |   | Jared Peterson   | AYE            |           |          |  |  |  |
| 109      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 110      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 111      | 5.  | SOUTH COL        |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 112      |   | The county m     | et with city l | eaders a  | and disc | cussed the names of the new roads and future       |  |  |
| 113      |   | roads and had    | l asked that c | ouncil g  | give the | eir opinions. The council was fine with the        |  |  |
| 114      |   | names county     | had chosen     | for the v | various  | roads around the county.                           |  |  |
| 115      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 116      | 6.  | <b>FINANCIAL</b> | REVIEW         |           |          |  |  |  |
| 117      |   | There were no    | o concerns     |           |          |  |  |  |
| 118      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 119      | 7.  | APPROVAL         | OF CITY C      | OUNCI     | L MIN    | IUTES FROM NOV. 9, 2021                            |  |  |
| 120      |   |                  |                |           |          | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·              |  |  |
| 121      |   | JARED PE         | TERSON M       | OTION     | ED TO    | O APPROVE THE MINUTES FOR                          |  |  |
| 122      |   | NOVEMBE          | CR 9, 2021, T  | RICIA     | THO      | MAS  |  |  |
| 123      |   | VOTE             | AYE (3)        |           |          | APPROVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase           |  |  |
| 124      |   |                  |                |           |          | ······································             |  |  |
| 125      | 8.  | APPROVAL         | OF CITY CO     | DUNCI     | L MIN    | UTES FROM NOV. 16, 2021                            |  |  |
| 126      |   |                  |                |           |          | present which was at the meeting on Nov. 16, 2021, |  |  |
| 127      |   | meeting.         | ,              |           | 1        | ,  |  |  |
| 128      |   | J                |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 129      | 9.  | Mayor Ellis g    | ave his thank  | ts and h  | is grati | tude to all those who supported him and the city.  |  |  |
| 130      |   | , c              |                |           | 0        |  |  |  |
| 131      | MO  | TION TO AD.      | JOURN: NEI     | LSON A    | ABBOT    | T MOTIONED TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR                  |  |  |
| 132      |   |                  |                |           |          | IAS SECONDED                                       |  |  |
| 133      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 134      | VO  | TE AYE           | (3) NAY        | (0)       | APPR     | OVED Absent Cory Thompson, Jim Chase               |  |  |
| 135      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 136      |   |                  |                |           |          | Laura Olever                                       |  |  |
| 137      |   |                  |                |           |          | Laura Oliver                                       |  |  |
| 138      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 139      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 140      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 141      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 142      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 143      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| 144      |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |
| percet W |   |                  |                |           |          |  |  |  |