1	ELK RIDGE
2	CITY COUNCIL MEETING
3	March 8, 2022
4	
5	TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING
6	This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday, March</b>
7	8, 2022, at 7:00 PM and preceded by a work session at 6:00 pm. The meeting was held at the Elk
8	Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this
9	Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members
10	of the Governing Body on March 7, 2022.
11	
12	WORK SESSION
13	<b>OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION</b>
14	Opening remarks were offered by Karl Shuler
15	Pledge lead by Mayor Haddock
16	
17	1. TRAILS DISCUSSION
18	Councilmember Thomas stated that Payson City is working on a grant for the Forebay Area and
19	Elk Ridge area. This is a very, very preliminary discussion, and the trails can and will change as
20	development comes in but the city needs to give Payson a trails map discussions for the grant. In
21	order to get funding, the trails cannot allow motorized vehicles. Discussion ensued with
22	residence on placement of the trails. The committee will meet again next week.
23	
24	2. <u>CE 3 DISCUSSION</u>
25	Larry Lee Planning Commission Member reported that the commission found a lot of interest in
26	preserving the mountain. There was an emphasis on referencing other parts of the code to cut
27	down the size of the code it's too large and hard to get through. Planning Commission would like
28 29	to consult with professionals on roads, wildlife etc. before making any final decisions.
29 30	Discussion ensued on how to protect the area without making the code too restrictive.
30	Councilmember Willis went through the clustering option. Smaller lots on cul de sacs helps preserve open space and creates less roads and infrastructure. Open space can be donated to the
32	city go into a trust or remain private.
33	erty go into a trust of remain private.
34	ELK RIDGE
35	CITY COUNCIL MEETING
36	March 8, 2022
37	
38	TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING
39	This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <b>Tuesday, March</b>
40	8, 2022, at 7:00 PM and preceded by a work session at 6:00 pm. The meeting was held at the Elk
41	Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this
42	Meeting, was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members
43	of the Governing Body on March 7, 2022.
44	ROLL CALL
45 46	Mayor: Robert Haddock
47 48	Council Members: Tricia Thomas, Jared Peterson, Cory Thompson, Tanya Willis, Absent Nelson Abbott
40	Others: Royce Swensen, City Recorder, Laura Oliver, Deputy Recorder, Deputy Coffey
50	Public: Larry Lee, Matt Calum, Karl Shuler, Melanie Paxton, Michael Hill, Jim Chase, Robert Strang
	- zener zang zee, maar earann, raar onarer, meranie i axton, mienaer rinn, sinn enase, Robert Silalig
51	ADDOMAL / ACENIDA TIME ED ANDE
52	APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME

53 54 55		ORY THOMPSON RICIA THOMAS S		APPROVE TH	IE AGENDA AND TIME FRAME							
56	V	OTE: AYE	(4)	NAY (0)	APPROVED Absent Nelson Abbott							
57				( )								
58	PUBLIC FORUM											
59	M	Mike Hill stated he has been a Firefighter for 32 years and is most concerned about ingress and egress										
60	of ·	f the city and now the city wants to put more homes up on the mountain and we can barely meet the										
61 62	Cl	city needs requirements for EMS and fire. The city is currently calling other cities to do our										
63	all	ambulance transports and now the city wants to add 400 more homes up on the hill. Now you want to										
64	th	add more fire protection when we have to call another city to do co2 detector clearing of a house and then our snow removal not the best. How are we going to meet the demands of the current population										
65		fore building more h		are we going to	meet me demands of the current population							
66		0	,									
67	REGULA	R CITY COUNCIL	MEETING AGE	NDA ITEMS:								
68 69	1.	HIGHLANDS AT										
70	1.				nearing the 180 days for the final approval.							
70		The city has not rec	eived all the revis	sions it has reque	ested and it is possible that the final will							
72		have to be denied.	The city has to de	iv or approve of	herwise it is automatically approved per							
73					but certified mail as well.							
74		,		i inte no o ontaning c								
75	2.	MUNICIPAL WAS	STEWATER REF	PORT								
76												
77		JARED PETERSO	N MOTIONED 1	THAT THE COU	JNCIL HAS REVIEWED THE							
78		MUNICIPAL WAS	STEWATER REF	ORT FOR THE	YEAR ENDING 2021 TANYA WILLIS							
79		SECONDED.										
80		VOTE:	AYE (4)	NAY (0)	APPROVED Absent Nelson Abbott							
81												
82	3.	STOP WORK ORI										
83		Mayor Haddock sta	ited the ordinance	has been request	ted by Public Works and before you tonight							
84		is the city attorney'	s recommendation	n. Councilmembe	er Peterson stated there isn't any way for							
85		the potential violate	or to appeal it. Th	s is still up to the	e discretion of who is deciding whether it is							
86		a violation because	the code says "an	ything". Discuss	sion ensued on what is the remedy and							
87		possible examples and how exactly would this work and what avenue does the city have to stop										
88	work on unpermitted, unsafe work. <u>Cory Thompson</u> stated other city codes have appeal clauses that add more days to the work stoppage because the appeals process can take 14 days (example)											
89 90					appeals process can take 14 days (example)							
90 91		and all work has to	stop until the mat	ter is resolved.								
91		TRICIA PETERSC	N MOTIONED	TO APPROVE C	ORDINANCE 22-02 STOP WORK 9-1-5							
93					ERSON SECONDED							
94					ERGONDED							
95		1. PARAGRAPH	1 -CHANGE CI	ΓΥ ΤΟ MAYOR								
96			E CITY TO MA									
97			TES CHANGE S		7							
98												
99		VOTE	AYE (	4) NAY	(0) APPROVED Absent Nelson Abbott							
100		Cory Thompson	AYE									
101		Jared Peterson	AYE									
102		Tanya Willis	AYE									
103		Tricia Thomas	AYE									

104	FENCE C	CODE 10-12-13 O	RDINANCE AMEN	DMENT	<u>[</u>						
105		Mayor Haddock stated this is to bring city code in line with state code with any fence over 7 feet									
106		has to have a permit. Jared Peterson wondered if a batting cage and tennis court are considered									
107		an enclosure is t	an enclosure is the same as a fence?								
108											
109		JARED PETER	SON MOTIONED 7	O APPF	ROVE	THE A	MENDMENT 22-01 FENCE COD	ЭE			
110		10-12-13 WITH THE FOLLOWING CHANGES SECTION H IN THE DESCRIPTION TO									
111											
112		ERRECTED GREATER THAN 7' INSTEAD OF 8" AND ADD BULLET POINT 6 STATING ANYTHNG OVER 7 FEET REQUIRES A PERMIT TANYA WILLIS									
113		SECONDED		21.100	onu						
114		SECONDED									
115		VOTE	AYE (4)		NAY	(0)	APPROVED Absent Nelson Abbo	\tt			
116		Cory Thompson			11111	(0)	THI I ROVED TOSER IVEISON A000	/11			
117		Jared Peterson	AYE								
117		Tanya Willis	AYE								
		Tricia Thomas	AYE								
119		Theia Thomas	AIL								
120	4			PPDDI	14037	22.202	2				
121	4.	<u>CITY COUNCI</u>	L MINUTES FROM	FEBRU	JAKY	22, 202	. <u>2</u>				
122			DIOLIDAL NELCON			TIONE					
123							D TO ADJOURN THE REGULAR				
124		CITY COUNCIL	L MEETING TRICIA	ATHOM	AS SE	COND	ED				
125		VOTE		NT 4 37	$\langle 0 \rangle$						
126		VOTE	AYE (4)	NAY	(0)	APPRO	OVED Absent Nelson Abbott				
127		LADED DETED					DACK DITO WORK SEGUON				
128				J ADJU	URIN A	AND GU	) BACK INTO WORK SESSION				
129		CORT THOMP	SON SECONDED								
130		VOTE	$A \times E(A)$	NIAV	(0)		OVED Absent Nelson Abbott				
131 132		VOIE	AYE (4)	NAY	(0)	AFFR	JVED AUSEIII NEISOII AUDOII				
132	WORK SI	ESSION CONTIN	UED								
133	WORK 5		ULD								
134	Co	uncilmember Tho	mnson stated conser	ation eas	ement	to are a f	ax write off and can be left as privat	to			
136			ed by the conservation		semen	is are a t	ax write off and can be left as privat	le			
130			•		ty hon	uus varie	s from; Provo 25%- Salt Lake up to				
138							I feels that 400% is out of line. May				
130							<u>r Willis</u> read from the General Plan	yOI			
140							r 1 dwelling per 1 acre of the				
141							by the available buildable area.				
142							area. Councilmember Thompson sta	ated			
143							the non-buildable area.				
144						-	g to happen in the foreseeable future	SO			
145							lector road. Discussion ensued on th				
146		100 000 00 00 000 000 000 000 000 000 0									
147	grade of the area. <u>Councilmember Peterson</u> stated that the city should not give any density bonus but to set a minimum lot size and trade trails for sidewalks. The economics, non-buildable area, sewer										
148							and only 3 houses will fit then that				
149							ch unbuildable land is there and				
150							back to ingress and egress and the				
151			available to attached		C 43310		suck to ingress and egress and the				
152	510	-P Brades of found	a randore to attached								
153											
155											
155											
100											