ELK RIDGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING February 27, 2024

TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING

This Public Hearing and regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u>, <u>February 27</u>, <u>2024</u>, <u>at 7:00 PM</u>. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on February 26, 2024.

WORK SESSION

EMERGENCY TRAINING FOR COUNCILMEMBERS

 Peter Quinter is Lieutenant for the Utah County Sheriff's Office and is the county designated emergency manager on record. He has been very active in the county emergencies. The Emergency Management Bureau was created 2 years ago by the Utah County Sheriff's Office to assist the Sheriff's office and the county. An incident is an occurrence caused by human or nature and includes terrorism that requires response or actions to prevent or minimize loss of life, or damage to property and or environment.

Local government is the first line of offense and is responsible to deal with an incident up until the entity needs assistance then it is time to contact the county for assistance. The county may be proactive. Because the Sheriff's Office may respond to an incident, the county may be involved from the beginning. If there is an incident, and the city declares a "disaster" an individual that is authorized by the city can procure needed items to manage the incident without going through the city's normal procurement requirements - "red tape". The county is the very first level that can declare a disaster when a city is seeking emergency federal funding. The county is reimbursed for funds used in a disaster from FEMA etc. Damage to homes does not count. What does count is damage to infrastructure such as roads, wells, canals, bridges etc. The county threshold is \$3,000,000, the state is about \$5,000,000. If several counties apply for funding not all counties may receive the funding, which is based on several criteria such as documentation, time window, etc. There is an appeal process.

NIMS is The National Incident Management System is the master guide play book which works under the Incident Command System (ICS). It is a narrowed scope of how to deal with an incident. Command is the who has the explicit authority to act in an incident which is the highest elected official. If it is local and contained in the city that authority is the mayor. The city has entities in place to take care of certain things, law enforcement issues would be handled by law enforcement, fire would be the fire department. The mayor has the authority to change who handles the incident if they think the incident is not being handled correctly. However, in a fire application if you tell fire personnel to leave the city is responsible which could be a million dollars a day. Multi Agency Groups (MAC) is the policy level body which comes into play when the incident is a multi-city event and works with surrounding cities. Emergency Operation Center (EOC) is the location where event managers gather to provide support for an incident. The Joint Information System handles the information that will be disseminated to the public to control who, how, where and when information is given. Overview of the senior official's role is to ensure the safety of citizens, protection of property, ensuring the continuity of government,

16	activating specific legal authorities, protective actions, delegating authority for an incident,					
17	coordinating with a PIO (public information), request assistance from other agencies through the					
18	EOC, resolve allocation conflicts, coordinate with other senior officials, community partners, and					
19	participating in MAC groups. When does city council get involved: City council gets involved					
50	when those in authority ask for your help, to make policy and get those policies to the incident					
51	managers and to stay out of the way. The council can come and see if there is anything to do and					
52	to listen to the professionals that have been put in place to handle incidents. There will be further					
53	training with the fire department.					
54	COUNCILMEMBER THOMPSON MOTIONED TO CLOSE THE WORKSESSION AND GC					
55	INTO THE REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS					
56	SECONDED					
57						
58	ELK RIDGE					
59	CITY COUNCIL MEETING					
50	February 27, 2024					
51 52	TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING This Public Hearing and regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for					
63	Tuesday, February 27, 2024, at 7:00 PM. The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Parl					
54	Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting was provided to the Payson					
55	Chronicle, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on February 26, 2024					
66						
57	ROLL CALL					
58	Mayor: Robert Haddock					
59 70	Council Members: Jared Peterson, Cory Thompson, Tanya Willis, Melanie Paxton, Charles Wixom via telephone					
71	Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , Deputy Monsen,					
72	Public: Larry Lee, Maureen Bushman					
73	OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION					
74	Opening remarks were offered by Jim Chase					
75	Pledge lead by Councilmember Paxton					
76						
77	APPROVAL/AGENDA TIME FRAME					
78 79	COUNCILMEMBER THOMPSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE AGENDA AND ADJUST THE TIME					
30	FRAME TO 7:01 PM COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED					
31	Mayor Haddock, Councilmember Paxton, Councilmember Peterson, Councilmember Thompson,					
32	Councilmember Wixom via telephone					
33	PLANNING COMMISSION UPDATE					
33	I DIR WITH G CONTINUES STOLE OF DIFFE					
34	Maureen Bushman stated Andrew Jackson is working on the general plan and watching the					
35	legislative session carefully and hopes to have a public hearing in April. Payson City would like					
36	to collaborate with Elk Ridge regarding the Forebay area at the Payson City Council room in					
87	March. This would not be a presentation, it is a round table discussion.					
0.0						
88						

PUBLIC FORUM

89

REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS:

1. <u>LOAFER CANYON/WOODLAND HILLS PARCEL 30:077:0226 DEVELOPMENT DISCUSSION</u>

Bucky Gay stated the parcel is on Loafer Canyon Road which is very steep. He owns all of the adjoining property which is in Woodland Hills. They are only interested in developing a portion of the parcel which is on top of the ridge. The City Council stated its concerns with all the runoff from Woodland Hills. Bucky Gay stated they have put in a check dam to control runoff. Councilmember Peterson stated he wouldn't have a problem with letting some of that be annexed but the runoff is still a problem and continues to get worse with development. The problem is Woodland Hills and not Bucky's, but it would be a good trade off. Bucky Gay stated the ridge itself would remain open space and will speak with Woodlands regarding the runoff issue.

2. ORDINANCE AMENDMENT WATER CONNECTIONS PER LOT

Discussion ensued that combining improved properties would only allow 1 water, sewer connection per lot. If another connection is needed a property owner may ask for an exception. The connections would be abandoned and stubbed at the property line. Maureen Bushman stated that Andrew Jackson helped the Planning Commission with the language. This would make it the standard and better able to have an accurate count. The city has to count all sewer connections when they actually are not functioning. A swimming pool doesn't need a second connection. There should not be a question to developers how many connections are allowed.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE ORDINANCE 24-3 AS WRITTEN COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS SECONDED

Councilmember Paxton AYE Councilmember Peterson AYE Councilmember Wixom AYE Councilmember Wixom AYE Councilmember Willis AYE Councilmember Thompson NAY Councilmember Thompson voted Nay, does not feel it is necessary.	113	VOTE	AYE (4)	NAY (1)	APPROVED
Councilmember Wixom AYE Councilmember Willis AYE Councilmember Thompson NAY	114		AYE		
Councilmember Willis AYE Councilmember Thompson NAY	115	Councilmember Peterson	AYE		
118 Councilmember Thompson NAY	116	Councilmember Wixom	AYE		
*	117	Councilmember Willis	AYE		
119 Councilmember Thompson voted Nay, does not feel it is necessary.	118	Councilmember Thompson	NAY		
	119	Councilmember Thompson vot	ed Nay, does not feel it i	s necessary.	

3. BEAUTIFICATION BUDGET AMENDMENT FOR PUBLIC HEARING

<u>Mayor Haddock</u> stated there is now a Beautification Committee and Trails and Parks Committee. The Beautification Committee has donations of \$500 coming in but they would like the city to match that with \$500 for the upcoming Beautification event.

COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO HAVE A PUBLIC HEARING TO AMEND THE BEAUTIFICATION COMMITTEE BUDGET.

VOTE AYE (4) NAY (1) APPROVED

4. ELK RIDGE DRIVE ROAD IMPROVEMENTS PROPOSAL

128

129

130

131

132

133

134

135

136

137

138

139

140

141

142

143

144

145

146147

148

149

150

151

152

153

154

155

156

157

158

159

160

161

162

163

164

165

166

Mayor Haddock stated this is for improving Elk Ridge Drive from Sky Hawk Way down almost the corner of 11200. Councilmember Wixom explained there would be curb and gutter and sidewalk. The sidewalk on the east side would only go down to where the commercial property starts. The county will not let any cuts in the new road for 5 years. The developer would need to reimburse the city for the water line extension that would only help that development. The cost for the county to do the project is \$550,000. The water line alone is \$80,000. Is it time to do it now or to do it down the road? Royce Swensen stated this project would need to be added to the capital facilities in order to use impact fees. The County would front the project and enter into an interlocal agreement with the city. Councilmember Peterson spoke with a colleague and the savings for the city to do it instead of the county would only save less than \$100,000 and will have to deal with all the issues. Councilmember Willis stated waiting to do it down the road could mean increasing the cost to a million dollars instead of \$200,000. On the other hand, the road would be tapered right where the city wants people to slow down and doesn't see the benefit of spending the money. Councilmember Paxton stated Salem City is planning a trail from 11200 all the way down to the highway and wants the city to put in the trail above 11200. Councilmemebr Thompson stated he agrees with Councilmember Willis not to spend that kind of money on that road for essentially 4 lots. Mayor Haddock stated if it were \$200,000, he would be on board with the construction. Councilmember Peterson stated the city asks every developer to bring the road up to standard. The city has an opportunity to improve a road that would benefit 99% of the residents and thinks the city should do it but doesn't agree with the cost of \$550,000.

Discussion will be continued at the next meeting.

Councilmember Wixom left the meeting.

5. COUNCIL REPORTS: SESD, 911, SUVMWA

SESD - Councilmember Thompson stated SESD is willing to come to the city and talk about power production, how prices are set, which will be very informative and interesting to the council. SESD purchases power from 7-8 different plants. There are a few legislative bills the city should watch, HB306, HB374, HB215 and SB189. HB306 could affect and or eliminate impact fees. The others have to do with solar and net metering. SESD had a meeting with some government weather experts which stated due to climate change there could have increased outages, and Utah will likely become drier and an increase in fire risk. There was also a report concerning groundwater subsidence, when the total water held in an aquifer is reduced due to excess pumping the ground actually shrinks reducing the aquifer space.

<u>911 - Councilmember Peterson</u> stated the city spent quite a bit of money on radios a while back and there was concern they wouldn't work with the new technology the county is implementing but they will work with the newer technology.

<u>SUVMWA - Mayor Haddock</u> stated the last meeting they voted in the chair etc. there were no other updates.

167	6.	FINANCIAL REVIEW						
168		There were no concerns.						
169 170 171 172	7.	APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JOINT WORK SESSION JANUARY 30, 2024 Councilmember Willis stated she would like the recommendation from Sheri that the city have a city administrator added around line 50.						
173 174 175		COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE THE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR JANUARY 30, 2024, WITH THE STATED ADDITION COUNCILMEMBER THOMPSON SECONDED						
176		VOTE	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED			
177 178 179	8.	APPROVAL OF CITY COU SESSION FOR FEBRUARY Tabled		PLANNING COMMIS	SION JOINT			
180 181		COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON TO TABLE THE FEBRUARY 13, 2024, MINUTES COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS SECONDED						
182 183		COUNCILMEMBER PETERS SECONDED	SON MOTIONED TO A	DJOURN COUNCILME	MBER WILLIS			
184 185			Laura Oliver					