ELK RIDGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING								
April 23, 2024								
TIME AND PLACE OF MEETING								
This regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridge City Council was scheduled for <u>Tuesday</u> , April 23, 2024,								
<b>at 7:00 PM</b> . The meeting was held at the Elk Ridge City Hall, 80 East Park Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah.								
Notice of the time, place, and Agenda of this Meeting was provided to the Payson Chronicle, 145								
E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the members of the Governing Body on April 22, 2024.								
ROLL CALL								
Mayor: Robert Haddock								
Council Members: Jared Peterson, Tanya Willis, Melanie Paxton, Charles Wixom, Late - Cory								
Thompson Otheret Boyce Swanson City Recorden Lours Oliver Derects Recorden Derects Manage								
Others: Royce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , Laura Oliver, <i>Deputy Recorder</i> , Deputy Monsen, Public: Larry Lee, Maureen Bushman, Jim Graham, KC Bush, Ammon Christiansen, Collin								
Brinkerhoff, Gary Kinney, Stephen Simmons, Scott Bell, Tricia Gunnerson, Scott Bennett								
Dimiterition, Sur Jimmey, Stephen Sminions, Seett Den, Their Sumierson, Seett Demiter								
WORK SESSION								
CHARLES WIXOM MOTIONED TO START THE WORK SESSION MELANIE								
PAXTON SECONDED								
VOTEAYE (4)NAY (0)APPROVED Cory Thompson absent								
MIKE HANSON- REVIEW OF ELK RIDGE SUBDIVISION CODE								
Mike Hanson went through an overview of the legislative changes to subdivision for residential.								
The city council cannot be involved in the approval of a subdivision. The city needs to decide								
who/what the land use authority will be. The land use authority can be an engineer on retainer, the								
clerk the planning commission. The city will need an appeal authority.								
The main bullet points are as follows, the power point is attached to the minutes.								
For subdivision applications for 1-2 family (and City home) residential:								
• City Council cannot be the LUA (land use authority) for preliminary or final applications.								
The land use authority can be an engineer on retainer, the clerk the planning commission or a land								
use authority can be created.								
• Planning Commission cannot be the LUA (land use authority) for <u>final</u> applications.								
<ul> <li>City can require subdivision improvement plans in <u>only</u> preliminary OR final.</li> </ul>								
• City is limited to four "review cycles" of back and forth with a developer for considering								
subdivision improvement plans (and one public hearing) City has 15 business days for								
each review cycle.								
<ul> <li>City must approve applications that "check all the boxes."</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>City can combine preliminary and final applications into one.</li> </ul>								
Mike Hanson's group are going to update the city code and the application.								
whice manson's group are going to update the city code and the application.								
Power Point is attached to the minutes.								
<b>OPENING REMARKS- INVITATION</b>								
Opening remarks were offered by Councilmember Thompson								
Pledge lead by Councilmember Willis								

49	ROLL	CALL							
50		Mayor: Ro	bert Haddock						
51	Council Members: Jared Peterson, Cory Thompson, Tanya Willis, Melanie Paxton, Charles								
52	Wixom								
53			oyce Swensen, City R						
54		Public: La	arry Lee, Maureen B	ushman, Jim Graha	am, KC Bush, Am	non Christiansen, Collin			
55	Brinkerhoff, Gary Kinney, Stephen Simmons, Scott Bell, Tricia Gunnerson, Scott Bennett								
56									
57	APPR	OVAL/AGE	NDA TIME FRAMI	Æ					
58									
59		COUNCILM	<b>MEMBER PETERSO</b>	N MOTIONED TO	APPROVE THE A	GENDA TIME FRAME			
60	COUNCILMEMBER WIXOM SECONDED								
61		VOTE	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED				
62	PLANNING COMMISSION UPDATE								
63	Maureen Bushman stated the public hearing for the General Plan will be May 2.								
64	PUBL	IC FORUM							
65			y - Thanked the city f						
66	Tricia Gunnerson – The turnaround on Salem Hills needs to be fixed. The turnaround is 2 feet								
67	smaller now and trucks are turning around in their driveways. Would like cement barriers and a								
68	no parking signs.								
69	Scott Bennett- Loafer Canyon at the north end where it meets Canyon View needs to have signs								
70	or something warning of the turn and stop sign.								
71			0 0	1 - 8-					
72	REGU	LAR CITY	COUNCIL MEETIN	IG AGENDA ITEN	AS:				
73	REGULAR CITY COUNCIL MEETING AGENDA ITEMS: 1. LISA GRAHAM PRESENTATION								
74									
75		Lisa Grahar	n stated the city code	has deficiencies reg	arding streets. Som	e of the older			
76	<u>Lisa Graham</u> stated the city code has deficiencies regarding streets. Some of the older streets in the city do not comply with the current width standards and designation and								
77	when fully developed will never be able to meet the width standards due to lack of right								
78			ese roads cannot hand						
79			nt. Emergency service						
80		road width a	and too much traffic.						
81									
82	2.	<b>LONGVIE</b>	W ESTATE/HIGH	LANDS SUBDIVIS	SION EXTENSION	N			
83									
84			erhoff Developer state						
85	some of the roads need to be repaired where there is some sinkage as well as fix why								
86		there is sink	age. The final punch	list has not been do	ne yet.				
87			4000						
88			MEMBER WIXOM						
89			W AND HIGHLAND		TO BE COMPLETI	E BY DEC 31,			
90		2024, COU	NCILMEMBER WI	LLIS SECONDED					
91		NOTE							
92		VOTE		(5) AYE	(0) NAY	ALL APPROVED			

93	3.	2024-2025 TENTATIVE BUDGET							
94 95 96 97 98 99		Discussion ensued on vac truck costs and usage, Goosenest and Sky Hawk Park costs, office remodel costs, street sweeper costs, the final section of the dedicated water line on Elk Ridge Dr. to Fairway tank, grant writer costs, cost of road and trail from Sky Hawk to commercial area, parklet at Sunset and Canyon View Dr, . <u>Mayor Haddock</u> would like to look into a possible study for a sewer plant.							
100 101 102 103 104	4.	<b><u>REPORTS SESD, 911, SUVMWA</u></b> <u>Councilmember Thompson</u> stated SESD will be here in council next month for presentation. <u>Councilmember Peterson</u> stated 911 is having retention problems. <u>Mayor Haddock SUVMWA no updates</u>							
105 106 107 108	5.	<b>RECAP PAYSON WORK SESSION FROM APRIL 11, 2024</b> Discussion ensued on the Payson work session.							
109 110	6.	FINANCIAL REVIEW There were no concerns.							
111 112 113	7.	APPROVAL OF CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 26, 2024							
114 115 116		COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE CITY COUNCIL MINUTES FOR MARCH 26, 2024, WITH THE CORRECTION ON LINE 40 AND LINE 121 COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS SECONDED							
117		VOTE	(5) AYE	(0) NAY	ALL APPROVED				
118 119		COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO ADJOURN MELANIE PAXTON SECONDED.							
120		VOTE	(5) AYE	(0) NAY	ALL APPROVED				
121 122				Laura	Olwer				

123

Laura Oliver

## Subdivision Amendment

Elk Ridge



### **Option 1: Combined Review Process**



#### SUBDIVISION APPLICATION FOR 1-2 FAMILY RESIDENTIAL TIMELINE + PROCESS [COMBINED APPROACH]



# **Option 2: Traditional Review Process (Final)**

Does the City prefer the combined or traditional (preliminary and final) approach for 1-2 family residential applications? Note: the combined approach gives the City more flexibility in how it considers subdivision improvement plans. It also allows the Planning Commission to be involved for the whole process (otherwise they can only be involved for the preliminary phase).

Should ALL subdivision applications be reviewed in the same way that 1-2 family residential ones are?

put the City Council or Planning Commission in charge of applications that are not lengthen the review timeline, allow for more review cycles and/or hearings, and The City can make all applications follow the same "SB 174" process, but can for 1-2 family residential.

If using the traditional approach, who should the Land Use Authorities be for preliminary and final applications?

Commission cannot be the LUA for the final phase. The City Engineer or any other The City Council cannot be the LUA for either phase, and the Planning City staff can be the LUA for either.

review committee" that includes members of the Council, Commission and others. The City can also make the Land Use Authority for either phase a "subdivision

What is/isn't working about the City's current subdivision process?

What could be more efficient? What do developers get tripped up by? What would make your job easier?