ELK RIDGE CITY COUNCIL MEETING NOVEMBER 11, 2024						
ΓIME AND PLAC	E OE MEETING					
			regularly scheduled meeting of the Elk Ridg			
_	•		er 11, 2024, at 7:00. The meeting was held			
			te, Utah. Notice of the time, place, and Agend			
			ele, 145 E. Utah Ave, Payson, Utah, and to the			
members of	the Governing Bo	dy on Nov. 10, 2024.	•			
OLL CALL	_					
	yor Haddock					
			Cory Thompson, Charles Wixom			
	•		ver, Deputy Recorder, Deputy Monsen,			
Public: Ma	ureen Bushman, L	arry Lee, Lisa Grahar	n, Clint Ashmead			
PPROVAL/AGE	NDA TIME FRA	ME				
COLINGIA	AEM ADED WHILL					
			PPROVE THE AGENDA TIME FRAME			
COUNCIL	MEMBER PETER	SON SECONDED				
VOTE	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED			
	MISSION UPDA	` ′	THI THE VED			
	-		ed the subdivision amendment and went			
•	•	estions and added a fe	ew from Greg Shelton. The Language and			
raphics were straig	htforward.					
PUBLIC FORUM						
There was no public	comment					
nere was no public	Comment					
REGULAR CITY	COUNCIL MEET	TING AGENDA ITE	MS:			
			<u></u>			
1. RIRIE SUI	BDIVISION PLA	T D RELEASE FRO	M DURABILITY			
COUNCIL	MEMBER PETER	SON MOTIONED TO	APPROVE THE RELEASE OF			
DURABILI	TY OF RIRIE SU	BDIVISION COUNC	ILMEMBER WILLIS SECONDED			
VOTE						
	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED			
	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED			
	. ,	` '				
2. RIDGE VI	EW MEADOWS	PLAT C RELEASE	OF DURABILITY			
2. RIDGE VII COUNCILM	EW MEADOWS	PLAT C RELEASE MOTIONED TO RE	<mark>OF DURABILITY</mark> ELEASE RIDGE VIEW MEADOWS FROM			
2. RIDGE VII COUNCILM	EW MEADOWS	PLAT C RELEASE	<mark>OF DURABILITY</mark> ELEASE RIDGE VIEW MEADOWS FROM			

3. RESOLUTION 24-11-12-2R AWARD PARK CONTRACT -DESIGN AND **ENGINEERING**

43

44

45

46

47

48

49 50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69

70

71 72

73

80

81

82

83

Mayor Haddock stated the city received 5 bids, City Council and Planning Commission all agreed on the number 1 pick. Councilmember Paxton stated she presented the park to the Outdoor recreation initiative (ORI) and asked for funds for the design and engineering bid amount of \$360,560. The city would have to match 20%. The decision will be made late November by ORI.

Discussion ensued on the amount of bid which would be done in 3 phases. The cost of the park is estimated to be 3 million. The city can apply for multiple grants for one project. The ORI wants to grant funds to projects that are more likely to be successful. Councilmember Thompson stated he did an informal poll, and the result was the residents he spoke with were in favor of the park as long as the pickleball courts at Sky Hawk Park were built. Councilmember Paxton stated the pickle ball courts would be done first. Councilmember Paxton stated that Curtis Roberts confirmed that the Park Impact fees can be used for all the parks, they are not collected for specific parks. Councilmember Willis would like the attorney to confirm that. The number 1 bid is Civil Science. Councilmember Paxton stated the city needs to approve the 30% for phase 1 which is \$490,800 in order to go for grants in January. Councilmember Wixom asked what the burden to the city would be, how much it would be to maintain the completed park. The city needs to be sensitive to the aging residents and what their tax dollars are being used on. Councilmember Willis stated they asked that maintenance be minimized by minimizing green grass, and to have a holding tank if they do have a water feature to help water any grass. This is a nature park and having scrub oak etc. is part of the design. Maintenance projections are part of the number 1 bid. Councilmember Peterson stated the city did a survey and lots of residents wanted a park as long as it did not raise taxes and appreciates that the city is applying for and looking ahead at grants. A poll vote was taken, and he had had an issue with taking a poll vote on park funds. Poll votes are for emergencies, and this is not a need but a want and not an emergency and he is glad that this is being discussed. It was very resounding that the community does not want their taxes raised. What are the legalities of an official poll vote, 3 out of 5 voted to approve a bid, is the city held legally to that. No one appeared to be changing their vote.

COUNCILMEMBER THOMPSON MOTIONED TO RATIFY THE POLL VOTE FOR RESOLUTION 24-11-12-2R TO AWARD PARK CONTRACT AND ENGINEERING TO CIVIL SCIENCE COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS SECONDED

74	VOTE	AYE (3)	NAY (2)	APPROVED
75	Councilmember Paxton	AYE		
76	Councilmember Wixom	NAY		
77	Councilmember Peterson	NAY		
78	Councilmember Willis	AYE		
79	Councilmember Thompson	AYE		

4. SUBDIVISION CODE AMENDMENT

Councilmember Willis stated there are a lot of areas in the development code that have areas in the HR1 and CE3 code where the city council decides such as road grades, curbing and other

special provisions. The development code and the subdivision code are intertwined. If the city council no longer makes those determinations, who does? This needs clarification from the Hansen Group. Council determined that SRC meetings need to be noticed and public when making decisions. Royce Swensen was able to get Jacob Hansen on the phone. Jacob Hansen (via telephone) stated those decisions are a gray area. If council is comfortable with the Planning Commission making those decisions, then the code can be changed and insert the Planning Commission into those items or require special approval before an application is submitted. Since the Planning Commission already had a public hearing, the city does not have to have another public hearing for further changes. Discussion ensued on the 180-day rule for amending a code and once a city has started amending a code the city has 180 to pass the code during which time any application submitted falls under the new amendments. Jacob Hansen mentioned placing a moratorium and he was unclear as to that provision and would have to check. (The city received the state code with explanation from Mike Hansen) The first order of business would be to adopt what the city has so far and then amend the rest of the code. Councilmember Wixom asked if the Planning Commission really has the authority to make exceptions to the code? Jacob Hansen stated the council could include a provision that the subdivision code supersedes all development code, put a moratorium on zones that are affected, or pass what the council has as is, or roll the dice and or wait and over the next month and half the edits can be made and the council can pass this is January or February. Those provisions in questions could be cleaned up and modified in the city standards. Mayor Haddock asked if the committee decision had to be unanimous; is that regardless of how many committee members are voting? Jacob Hansen stated the way it is currently written states it has to be unanimous no matter if its 3 or 5 members. Mayor Haddock stated the city would like that changed to a majority; if there are only 3 members (a quorum) voting, it has to be unanimous. Councilmember Willis would like the subdivision trails language clearer that if there is a trail on the trail map it had to go in the subdivision. Jacob Hansen stated the City Council retains control of the development agreement and the performance bonds for durability. Mayor Haddock stated the code needs to be passed in the December meeting. All the questions and changes, and the development code will be discussed again. Councilmember Peterson went through the questions and restated the changes to them. SRC needs to have a public meeting when taking action and majority vote as stated previously; the Mayor appoints committee members and council approves and removes members, council recommends council member, planning commission recommends a planning commission member. There was no determination on time of services. 2-2-4 changes to provision 2, Delete unfinished line on page 9. Road still needs to be changed 3.5 minimum or as stated in city standards. Page 22 Trails code needs to be drafted. Councilmember Paxton and Councilmember Willis stated asphalt trails are more desirable to trail users than concrete. Councilmember Peterson is still concerned about the storm water chart. Vehicular access to infrastructure shall be defined by city engineer as well implementing the code as to who maintains ponds.

5. CAPITAL FACILITIES TRANSPORTATION

Tabled

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92 93

94

95

96

97

98

99

100

101

102

103

104

105

106

107

108

109

110

111

112

113

114

115

116

117 118

119

120

121

122

123

124

6. CAPITAL FACILITIES WATER

125		Tabled								
126	7.	CAPITAL FACILITIES SEWER								
127		Tabled								
128 129 130	8.	<u>CITY COUNCIL APPROVAL OF</u> MINUTES FROM OCT 8, 2024 Line 14 fix comma and some typos								
131		COUNCILMEMBER WIXOM MOTIONED TO APPROVED THE MINUTES FOR								
132		OCTOBER 8, 2024 WITH THE CHANGES AS STATED COUNCILMEMBER								
133		THOMPSON SECONDED								
134		VOTE	AYE (4)	NAY (0)	ABSTAINED (1)	APPROVED				
135		Councilmember Peterson abstained								
136										
137		COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS MOTIONED TO ADJOURN COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON								
138		SECONDED								
139		VOTE	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	APPROVED					
140										
141										
142		Laura Oliver								