		ELK RIDGE COUNCIL MEETING ptember 23, 2025	
TIME AND PLAC	E OF MEETING		
for <u>Tuesday</u> 80 East Park provided to	S. September 23, 2025, at 7: Drive, Elk Ridge, Utah. N	.00 PM . The meeting was lotice of the time, place, ar	ge City Council was scheduled neld at the Elk Ridge City Hall, nd Agenda of this Meeting was ah, and to the members of the
ROLL CALL			
Mayor: Rob Council Me Wixom	ert Haddock mbers: Melanie Paxton, Cory	y Thompson, Tanya Willis,	, Jared Peterson, Charles
•	ce Swensen, <i>City Recorder</i> , reen Bushman, Hank Goodn		order
Opening – N	Mayor Haddock		
	uncilmember Paxton		
	MEMBER PETERSON MOT OUNCILMEMBER THOMP		HE AGENDA AND TIME
VOTE	AYE (5)	NAY (0)	Approved
Maureen Bushman son updates. PUBLIC FORUM Mr. Goodnow - His several years ago. The county burn laws. Fire Chief Waite state grandfathered in but if burns are made out and EPA regulate but the hours for the CW	property was annexed into Eney had several things that he ted open burns are under the he would look into it. The Fetside the city's regulated open permits. Burn permits are VPP which is a way for cities	city, not the county. Burn irre Chief can get into big the burn that happens in the put on a daily log which to to do in-kind things to me	permits cannot be rouble and there are large fines
•	RY BIRD PRESENTATION)N	
Tabled			

2. <u>HB48 WILDLAND AND URBAN INFERFACE MODIFICATIONS: FIRE CHIEF WAITE</u>

48

Fire Chief Waite stated HB 48 Wildland Interface Modification takes effect January 1, 2026, that modifies the current Wildland Urban Interface (WUI), which the state has imposed. The things that this modification does are 1. Forces building owners to mitigate fire risk or pay a higher WUI fee. Money collected goes into a state fund for future fires in those areas. It is going to be governed by the county. The county is going to set up how they are going to inspect properties. There is going to be a tier for fees.: If the owner does nothing they will be categorized as fire risk 3 and pay the highest fee, if the owner does some things they will be categorized as fire risk 2 and pay a lower fee, if the owner does everything required, they are categorized as fire risk 1 and pay the lowest fee. 2. It makes casualty insurers look at the state database before assessing premiums against a property. There has not been any governance of how that gets done and companies are using all kinds of different methods to decide on premiums. This will change some of that, if the county is making the property owners more compliant to WUI requirements. Properties in areas that are not in that WUI cannot have a premium enforced on them. Right now, Elk Ridge City is kind of blacklisted when it comes to insurance. There have been a lot of residents, whether they are in the WUI area or not, who can't get or have had their fire insurance canceled or have exorbitantly high premiums because they live within Elk Ridge. Councilmember Wixom asked what is considered an interface area. Fire Chief Waite stated the WUI area was defined 25 years ago. 3. It forces the city to cooperate with the CWA and CWPP, which is the Community Wildland Protection Program, which is what the city is doing through the burn permits, and community actives, that take the dollar value and put that value towards the city's insurance policy through the state and if there is a fire that happens within the city and it goes into the wildland area, the state will come in and put that out. The city doesn't bear the brunt of those costs then. There is so much open language in the bill; the county will, the county will, the county will...but they don't say what the county is going to do. There is a lot of stuff that needs to be nailed down. Councilmember Thompson stated the bill states the county is going to be running a wildfire risk assessment mapping tool which tells what homes are high risk, what tool is that. Fire <u>Chief Waite</u> stated the county is supposed to be running it, but it hasn't been completely developed yet. The city is supposed to go through and define what the WUI is, some maps show the whole city, some do not. The city council has to define it through legislation and make sure it gets updated with the county and state. The city WUI area was defined a long time ago through city code, Councilmember Willis asked if that area is adequate. Fire Chief Waite stated yes, it is a good line. By January 2028 the bill has to be fully up and running and enforced. Everyone who is in the WUI area, which is defined by the city and turned into the state, will automatically be categorized as fire risk 3. To get that reduced, the property owner has to have the property inspected by a county inspector and tell the property owner what is needed to do to get down to fire risk 1. The county will come back and reinspect the property. The county then puts that fire risk into the county records against the property that the inspection has been done and the fire risk assessed, and it is up to the owner to maintain requirements to maintain the risk level. The property owner files an annual report with the county that everything is still compliant and every 5 years the property has to be reinspected. The county has not said anything about a public hearing yet. There is not enough information yet to educate the citizens yet. The city needs more input from the county and could invite the county Fire Warden to a work session. Fire Chief Waite has a direct line to the State Forester individual and the County Fire Warden and can reach out and see what they think. The county is probably scrambling trying to figure out how they are going to implement this. Even though the state legislature said, "you will", the county doesn't know "the how". At this time, we do not know what the standards will be. The Fire Chief told the county fire warden that there are lots here that don't have big enough properties to comply with 100 feet of defensible space. You can't cut your neighbor's property, so complying is impossible. The Fire Warden said he understands that. The Fire Chief also told him this looks like a tax not a fee, but he does understand the States side of it due to consequences of regions not having a maintained defensible space. For the last 40 years defensible space was not maintained

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56 57

58

59

60

61

62

63

64

65

66

67

68

69 70

71

72

73

74

75

76

77

78

79

80 81

82

83

84

85 86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96 97

98

99

and whole neighborhoods in LA burned because of it. Councilmember Willis stated that is why 100 the CE3 code was written the way it is, so that people can maintain defensible space. The Fire 101 Chief stated the bill also states that if there are developments that have an access road of 10% or 102 higher on road grades, you automatically get nicked because the state does not want roads over 103 10% grade. He has been fighting for that since he has been chief about the south end because they 104 105 couldn't get the road grades to 10% without cutting the entire hill out. He wanted to let the council know about the bill and when he receives more information, he will let them know. 106 107 108 The Fire Chief stated that the chipper program would need some work, who's renting the chipper and for how long, who would run the chipper. This cannot be a fire department thing, there is no 109 way; he doesn't have enough people to do what needs done now. In order to run something like 110 this, it would call for full-time fire fighters if the fire department has to run it. Discussion ensued 111 on what it would take to have full time fire fighters and grants. 112 113 1. FINANCIAL REVIEW 114 There were no concerns. 115 116 2. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR AUG 12, 2025 117 Line 115 is to if 118 119 COUNCILMEMBER PAXTON MOTIONED TO APPROVED MINUTES FOR AUGUST 12, 120 2025, WITH CHANGE ON LINE 115 COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON SECONDED. 121 122 Vote AYE (5) NAY(0)Approved 123 124 3. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR AUGUST 26, 2025 125 Add comma to roll call 126 127 COUNCILMEMBER PETERSON MOTIONED TO APPROVE MINUTES FOR AUGUST 26, 128 129 2025, COUNCILMEMBER PAXTON SECONDED 130 Vote AYE (5) NAY(0)Approved 131 132 4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR SEP. 9, 2025 133 134 COUNCILMEMBER WIXOM MOTIONED TO APPROVE MINUTES FOR SEP 9, 2025, 135 COUNCILMEMBER PAXTON SECONDED 136 137 VOTE AYE (5) NAY(0)Approved 138 139 COUNCILMEMBER WIXOM MOTIONED TO GO INTO CLOSED SESSION TO DISCUSS 140 ONGOING LITIGATION WITH LIGHTHOUSE SUBDIVISION COUNCILMEMBER WILLIS 141 **SECONDED** 142 143 **VOTE** NAY(0)AYE (5) Approved 144 145 Councilmember Wixom **AYE** 146 Councilmember Thompson AYE 147 Councilmember Peterson **AYE** 148

AYE

AYE

Councilmember Willis

Councilmember Paxton

149

150

151						
152	Adjournment					
153						
154	COUNCILMEMBER MOTIONED TO ADJOURN COUNCILMEMBER SECONDED					
155						
156	Vote	AYE(5)	NAY(0)	Approved		
157						
158						
159						
160						
161						
162						
163				Laura Oliver		